

Introduction to the Cintec Anchoring & Reinforcement System Non Destructive Test Procedure. Client Reports from International Testing Establishments with relevent standards.

# TEST DATA FOR CINTEC ANCHORS

BUILDING RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT U.K. ACCELERATED 40 YEAR LIFE CYCLE-FIRE-TESTING -- FREEZE THAW TESTING ARCON, TORONTO CANADA-UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE AUSTRALIA WALL TIES. ISIS CANADA (university of Manitoba) BEHAVIOUR OF CINTEC ANCHORS subjected to ULTIMATE LOAD TEST AND FREEZE THAW FOR THE CANADIAN PARLIAMENT. MTA ARCH REPAIRS W168th street & W181st street station, NEW YORK CITY ANCHOR TESTING

Empire State Building, New York. Union Court House NewJersey. Testwell Labtatories & Simpson Gumbertz& Heger (SGH). Mission San Jaun Capistrano,

(Sanctuary walls). The Roselund Engineering Company & Twining Laboratories, CA. New York Schools Construction Authority PS230K & PS238K Versitile Consulting & Testing, New York. Nuclear Power Station Wylfa testing of wall anchors, Celtest Ltd, Wales, UK

# SECURING THE PAST FOR THE FUTURE

# CINTEC'S ANCHORING AND REINFORCEMENT SYSTEM

## ANCHOR SYSTEM AND REINFORCEMENT SYSTEM WE DESIGN AND MANUFACTURE THE WORLD'S MOST INNOVATIVE STRUCTURAL ANCHOR SYSTEM AND REINFORCEMENT SYSTEM

As the designer and manufacturer of the pre-eminent reinforcement systems and anchoring systems for masonry structures, Cintec<sup>™</sup> has cemented its reputation internationally.

For over a quarter of a century, Cintec<sup>™</sup> has secured and reinforced historic and historical buildings, masonry bridges, monuments, railway structures, retaining walls and harbour walls using reinforcement systems and anchor systems.

The patented Cintec<sup>™</sup> reinforcement system and anchor system is straightforward: injecting a proprietary cementitious fluid grout into an anchor surrounded by a fabric sock, which has already been placed in an oversized drilled hole. The anchor system and reinforcement system's ingenuity lies in its versatility. Drawing on decades of experience and testing, our designers can customize it to any specification.

Our engineered solution reinforces an array of materials—stone, concrete, clay, terra cotta, adobe, and even timber. It can be used under water and in weak substrates.

Even as it restores, stabilizes, strengthens, and repairs, the system does not compromise the parent material. And because the reinforcement system and anchor system becomes part of the structure, it does not visibly alter a structure's appearance.

From intricate wall ties to solid bar anchors over 30 metres (100 feet) long, Cintec<sup>™</sup> will develop the reinforcement system and anchor system solution that will fit your project.

The Cintec<sup>™</sup> reinforcement system and anchor system offer a remarkably versatile, proven approach to internally strengthen masonry buildings and structures.

The system works by pre-drilling an oversized hole in the structure and inserting an anchor body surrounded by a fabric sock. A cementitious grout is injected through the middle of the anchor under low pressure. It passes through a series of grout flood holes into the fabric sock, inflating the entire assembly like a balloon.

### THE ANCHOR

The fire-resistant structural anchor is designed specifically for the loads and configuration of each application. Cintec<sup>™</sup> designs generally use anchors that are non-corrosive metal, typically stainless steel in various grades.

### THE GROUT

Cintec<sup>™</sup>'s Presstec<sup>™</sup> grout is a proprietary pure mineral grout tested in accordance with the strict German DIN standards and does not contain any resin binders. The grout is specially formulated to have a very high viscosity prior to setting. Its non-absorptive properties provide durability and freeze-thaw resistance.

### THE SOCK

The sock is a specially woven polyester-based fabric sleeve with expansion properties to suit the diameter of the bore hole and particular substrate. It retains the grout in such a way that the cured grout bulb conforms to the cavities in the substrate, providing a strong mechanical lock to the connected elements. The grout milk that seeps through the sock also forms a cementitious bond with the parent material.

### PERPENDICULAR OR IN-PLANE STRENGTHENING

Internal strengthening can be perpendicular to or in the plane of the face of the masonry units, components or systems. Perpendicular strengthening involves tying together the deteriorated masonry or tying the wythes of composite or cavity systems. In-plane internal reinforcement can be in any direction in the plane of the wall. In-plane reinforcement can increase in-plane compressive, shear and tensile strength and out-of-plane shear and bending strength.

# STRENGTHENING OF THE INTERCONNECTION OF DIFFERENT STRUCTURAL MATERIALS

Cintec<sup>™</sup> reinforcement system and anchor systems can be very effective and often are the only means available to strengthen the tying of vertical and horizontal elements of a building. Typical examples would be the tying of poured gypsum, cast-in-place concrete or hollow core precast roof and floor diaphragms to masonry walls. This method of tying is especially effective in reducing earthquake risks in unenforced masonry structures

### POST-TENSIONED STRENGTHENING

Internal and interconnection strengthening can be post-tensioned. Post-tensioning is advantageous as a strengthening method as it induces compressive stresses in the masonry. This reduces the risk of tensile stresses developing in the structure, which results in cracking.

# Cintec International Limited Cintec North America

## Non Destructive Test Procedure



Install Cintec anchor and allow to cure for seven days, attach test rig as shown above and apply a 20kn load to settle the rig.

Zero the displacement gauge.

Apply the loads in 20kn increments, leave the applied load for 2 minutes and log the movement and load at the beginning of the 2 minutes and at the end of the 2 minutes.

Log all readings on the Cintec test data sheet.

Continue applying the load until the required load is achieved or the displacement gauge shows significant creep in the anchor, this will be noticed with a drop in the hydraulic pressure gauge reading and an increase in the displacement reading.

All measurements can then be logged and a load curve obtained

**Client Reports from International Testing Establishments** 

• BUILDING RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT (UK) STRUCTURAL INTEGRATY DIVISION.

Moisture / Temperature Cycling Test on Cintec wall ties. "40 year Accelerated Life Cycle Testing"

2 Hour Fire Testing.

- CELTEST LTD BANGOR, GWYNEDD NORTH WALES, UK. British Nuclear Fuels, Magnox Generation Wylfa Power Station, UK. Testing of Cintec wall anchors (to resist a Systemic Event). 1351881: part 116:1983
- ARCON TEST, INCORPORATED, CANADA.
  Freeze thaw testing un-directional freeze thaw performance of Cintec Masonry Anchors (EN 772 part 2 ASTM C942)
- UNIVERSITY OF NEW CASTLE RESEARCH ASSOCIATION AUSTRALIA.
  Wall tie test to Dr 97300-97302 (revision of AS 2699-1984) Appendix A and amendment No 1 to
  AS 3700-1998
- ISIS CANADA Environmental Investigation on the behaviour of Cintec Anchors subjected to ultimate load test. (Ottawa Canadian Parliament West Block Rehabilitation Project) ASTM E1512 ASTM C666/C661M
- FUTURE TEC CONSULTANTS OF NEW YORK INC, USA. Anchor testing program MTA Arch repairs at W168 Street - W181 Street Stations New York Subway. New York, USA ASTM E488-9696
- VERSATILE CONSULTING & TESTING SERVICES INC, DOUGLASTON, NY Anchor testing for parapet walls. New York Schools Construction authority. PS 230K Brooklyn, NY & PS 238K Brooklyn, NY. ASTM E1512. NYC.DDC as per item 04525 – Terra Cotta restoration & repair anchors Paragraph 2.2

- TESTWELL LABORATORIES OSSINING, NY and SIMPSON GUMPERTZ and HEGER. Shear load test for masonry and Terra Cotta. Union County Court House Elizabeth New Jersey, USA.
- TWINING LABORATORIES OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, USA. Mission San Juan Capistrano C.A. Tension tests on Cintec wall anchors. "stabilization of stone wall" ASTM E1512

Cintec Testing is carried out to the following International standards.

BS EN 846-2	2000	Methods of testing for ancillary components for masonry – Part 2 Determination of bond strength of prefabricated bed joint reinforcement in mortar joints.
BS EN 772-1	2011	Methods of test for masonry units Part 1 : Determination of compressive strength.
BS EN 1015	1999	Methods of test for mortar for masonry. Determination of flexural and compressive strength.
ASTM E1512	1993	Standard test methods for strength of anchors in concrete and masonry elements. These test methods cover procedures for determining the static, seismic, fatigue and chock, tensile and shear strengths of post- installed and cast-in-place anchorage systems in structural members.
ASTM C666/ C666M-03	2008	Standard test method for resistance of concrete to rapid freezing.
ASTM E1512-01	2007	Standard test methods for testing bond performance of bonded anchors.
BS 5080-1	1993	Structural fixings in concrete and masonry- Part 1: method of test for tensile loading.
BS 5080-2	1993	Part 2: method for determination of resistance to loading in shear.

- DIN EN 772-22 2019 Methods of test for masonry units Part 22: Determination of freeze/thaw resistance of clay masonry units; German version EN 772-22-2018
- ASTM C942 2008 Standard test method for compressive strength grouts.
- ASTM C42 / C1019 Standard test method for sampling and testing grout.
- STANDARDS OF PRESSTEC GROUT . STANDARD, PRESSTEC 2000 & WHITE
- Standard Date Title and definition

#### COMPONENTS, DEFINITIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CONFORMITY CRITERIA

- DIN EN 197-1 2011 Cement Composition, Requirements and conformity of standard Cement
- DTN 1164-10 2013 Cement with special properties
- DIN EN 196 2011 Cement, Testing methods
- DIN EN 459-1 2010 Building lime
- DIN 51043 1979 Trass, Pozzolanic material, Requirements, testing
- DIN EN 934-2 2012 Additives for concrete, mortar and injection grouts
- DIN EN 13139 2002 Mineral aggregates, fractions for mortar
- DIN EN 932 2002 Determination of general properties of mineral aggregates

### **TESTING OF MORTARS CONTAINING MINERAL BINDERS**

- DIN 18555-3 1982 Determination of strengths
  - -6 1987 Determination of adhesive tensile strength
- DIN EN 1015-1 2007 Determination of stone fractions
  - -2 2007 Sampling and Preparation of mortars for testing
  - -3 2007 Determination of consistence of fresh mortar
  - -6 2007 Determination of bulk density of fresh mortar
  - -7 1998 Determination of air content of fresh mortar
  - -9 2007 Determination of workability time
  - -10 2007 Determination of bulk density of dry mass
  - -11 2007 Determination of compressive strength and flexural strength
  - -12 2000 Determination of bond shear strength

- DIN EN 1052-3 2002 Determination of shear strength
- DIN 4272-5 1994 Determination of swell behavior

### QUALITY CONTROL

- DIN 18200 2000 Inspection, Internal control, Third party inspection
- DIN 18557 1982 Factory mortar, production, control and delivery

07.10.2019

### **GROUT STANDARDS**

### **EXPLANATION**

### LISTED CRITERIA

### STANDARDS

You will find two cited kinds of Standard:

- DIN, i.e. German National Standard
- DIN EN, European Standard with German Provenience.

The Numbers of Standards and dates depend from their first emission, respectively are from their update caused for the extended use in the European area.

### Examples:

The main listed Standard for testing DIN EN 1015 of 2007 is based on the former DIN 18 555 of 1982. The aggregates for mineral grouts DIN EN 13139 have better definitions as the former DIN 1045 for concrete.

The mentioned Standards on the list of today are reported in 3 groups: Components, Testing and Quality control.

### COMPONENTS

The cited components refer to all CINTEC grouts, PRESSTEC Standard, PRESSTEC 2000 and PRESSTEC White.

### TESTING OF MORTARS AND QUALITY CONTROL

The mentioned testing standards are destined for mortars for masonry. CINTEC grouts as mineral injection grouts can only be defined and tested by the use of these Standards for mortars.

BRE Tecnical Consultancy

Structural Integrity Division



CLIENT REPORT:

Moisture/Temperature cycling tests On the Cintec remedial wall tie

for: Cintec Ltd., Factory Road, Newport, S. Wales NP20 5FA

by S K Arora

November 1990

Enquiry Number 02831

Building Research Establishment Garston, Watfoed, Herts. WD2 7JR Telephone 0923 894040 Fax 0923 664096

#### NTRODUCTION

This report gives results of pull-out tests on Cintec Harke remedial tie embedded in a clay brick, having been subjected to accelerated moisture/temperature cycling over a period of three months. The object of the exercise was to test the long term performance of the tie anchors under conditions of wetting by rain of the external walls of a structure into which they would be incorporated followed by drying.

#### THE ANCHOR SYSTEM

The literature supplied by the manufacturers of the system, Messrs Cavity Lock Systems Ltd. of Newport, Gwent, describes Cintec-Harke replacement wall tie as a cementitious anchor. The standard design is a long stainless steel hollow tube of  $8 \text{mm} 0.0.^1 \times 1 \text{mm}$  thickness provided with a mesh polyester fabric sleeve or a 'sock' of required diameter at each end. A specially designed cementitious grout is injected into the socks through the tie under pressure in predrilled position(s) in the cavity wall requiring replacement tie(s). The pressure is maintained until the inflated socks are hard and the grout milk with bonding agents are driven out to give good bond between the inflated sock and the background material. The grout is a Presstec or S.T.M.A. grout<sup>1</sup>.

#### EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The anchor used in the pull-out tests was a special design of 165-175mm long 8mm 0.D. x 1mm stainless steel hollow tube, with an 85mm long sock provided at one end only which would inflate to a diameter of approximately 22mm. The background material chosen for the test specimens was a flat faced solid wire-cut facing clay brick of 212mm x 100mm x 65mm size. The anchor sock was embedded through one of the 212mm x 65mm faces to its full depth, with the steel tube coming out through the other face. Three spare specimens were also prepared with the anchor sock embedded to a lesser depth of around 60mm, with the remaining part providing a bulge of anchor material into a reamed out hole of 40mm diameter. This was done to test a situation where a positive re-entrant tension fixing is to be provided in a wall, in case the grout to brick bond fails.

The specimens made with the said brick supplied by BRE were prepared by the manufacturers at their own premises and delivered to BRE three days later.

The test programme assumed that a masonry wall in reality would be exposed to rain such as to saturate it fully with water at least once a year. Trials were made to ensure wetting of the brick in a water tank to saturation followed by drying in an electric oven heated to  $40^{\circ}C(\pm 2^{\circ}C)$  temperature, to a constant weight. A half hour soak in a water tank followed by a minimum of two days of drying was found sufficient to meet the requirements.

The BRE contract stipulated 20 pull-out tests on brick/anchor specimens, five each to be tested at: seven days cure after construction of the specimens, and then after 10, 20 and 40 cycles of wetting/drying of the specimens. A further three specimens of 60mm embedment length referred above were also tested after 40 wetting/drying cycles.

The pull-out testing was carried out on a standard Universal Testing machine with a maximum load capacity of 20 Tonnes, calibrated to BS 1610: 1985 Grade 2. The test brick was placed in a small restraining rig made out of a rectangular hollow steel section designed to hold the brick firmly along its 'anchored' face. A side load of about 3.5 N/mm<sup>2</sup> pressure was applied on the bed faces to simulate condition of confinement of the brick in a real wall. Vertical restraint was provided by small wedge strips keeping the top surface of the brick tightly parallel against the upper part of the frame.

#### TEST RESULTS

#### Clay brick

For the clay brick used, trial tests indicated a water absorption after a 1/2 hour soak of 15.0%, which approximates the full saturation value after a 24 hour soak of 17.5% for the same brick. Its compressive strength was indicated to be 43.3 N/mm<sup>2</sup>.

### Brick/anchor specimens

The pull-out values obtained in the 20 standard and three extra tests carried out are tabulated below.

Specimen No.	After 7 days cure	Numbe	Number of wetting/drying cy		
		10	20	40	
1	10,45	7.56	10.45	9.10	(9.79)
2	12.23	10.23	10.23	11.00	(6.23)
3	10.68	8.45	10.23	10.00	(8.01)
4	10.45	10.68	10.90	12.90	
5	10.90	10.68	8.45	9.79	
Mean	10.94	9.52	10.10	10.56	(8.01)
c.o.v. %	7.00	15.00	9.00	14.00	(22.00)

### Tie Pull-out values in KN

Note:- The bracketed values are for the three extra tests involving anchors of the limited embedment length of 60 mm.

A one way analysis of variance of the tabulated values for the 20 standard tests has shown that the wetting/drying treatment given did not affect the pull-out performance of the tie in the background material tested in any significant way. Mean pull-out value for these specimens was 10.28 KN. Regression analysis of the data (for a linear as well as polynomial fits) further confirmed a lack of a significant correlation between the pull-out performance and the wetting/drying treatment given.

The failure of the system tested was typically by a pull-out of the steel tube from the anchor grout (Figure 1), sometimes accompanied by splitting of the brick in the plane of the anchor.

As to the three extra specimens, the mean pull-out value of 8.01 KN, when compared with the corresponding value given for the standard specimens, suggests that the apparent deterioration in performance was only due to the reduced length of embedment of the anchor. The failure here was typically by a rupture of the anchor grout at the interface between the embedded part to the bulging part, accompanied by a pull-out of the steel tube again (Figure 2).

#### CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The experiments show that the pull-out performance of the test anchor/clay brick combination tested would not be affected adversely in any significant way in the conditions of exposure to rain simulated in the manner described.
- The failure of the standard specimens was typically by pull-out of the steel tube from the anchor grout.
- The pull-out performance of the anchor/brick system tested appears to be directly proportional to the length of embedment of the anchored sock.

#### REFERENCE

 Private communication, Mr Owen/Mr James, Messrs Cavity Lock Systems, Factory Road, Newport, Gwent.



FIGURE 1 TYPICAL FAILURE MODE FOR THE STANDARD SPECIMEN



FIGURE 2 TYPICAL FAILURE MODE FOR THE EXTRA SPECIMEN



# **Fire Test for Wall Ties**

by Mr D Chehal & Dr R.C de Vekey Technical Director, Centre for Masonry Construction, Construction Division

# FULL TEST DATA IS AVAILABLE ON REQUEST

Unpublished paper from BMS meeting November 1993

# **Building Research Establishment**

Garston Watford WD2 7JR Telephone 0923 894040 Telex 923220 Fax 0923 664010

Direct line 0923 66 GTN 3532

Mr. J. Dymmockyour referenceCavity Lock Systemgour referenceFactory Roadour referenceNewportdateGwentdateNP9 5FAdate23/11/93

### Sent by FAX to : 0633 246110

Dear John

Fire testing of Cavity Lock remedial cavity wall ties.

In the latest test in our fire test rig with a static dead load on each tie of 1.3kN your tie survived a two hour test without failure of any of the three replicate samples.

All three samples are now placed in the upper half of the wall and would have reached several hundred degrees in the part of the tie nearest the fire face.

This indicates that this tie system can, when installed using the correct techniques, be recommended for repair work to buildings having a fire period requirement of up to 2hrs.

Yours sincerely

R.C.de Vekey

Head of Masonry Structures Section, Structural Design Division, Geotachnics and Structures Group

## Building Research Establishment

### A FIRE TEST FOR WALL TIES

D Chehal and Dr. R C deVekey

### SUMMARY

A diverse range of connectors, termed wall ties, restraint ties or cavity connectors, are used in industry to link cladding masonry to either inner leaves of load bearing masonry or to frames of timber, concrete or steel. Their function is to support the cladding and transfer loads arising from wind, impacts, seismic events etc. to the main structure of the building. Many of these connectors have fixing mechanisms or structural components that are made from heat sensitive materials such as resins, plastics and low-melting alloys. Other products use mechanical devices that might be affected by thermal expansion of the components. However, until now, no widely publicised tests have been carried out on the performance of masonry cavity connectors exposed to fire conditions. Under the terms of the EC Construction Products Directive, CEN standards are being drafted for the specification of cavity connectors and resistance to fire is one of the essential requirements for which performance tests are required. Eventually fire performance data will be necessary in order to design in accordance with the forthcoming CEN Code of Practice. The successful application of performance evaluated products will reduce the risks to the public attempting to escape from burning buildings, and to the fire fighting services dealing with the fires. Therefore, BRE has initiated such tests to assess the behaviour of cavity connectors under the effects of fire. In the future it is hoped that the test methodology described in this paper can be extended to other products such as general fixings, support angles, and hangers and

# © British Crown Copyright 1993 - Building Research Establishment

To be presented at the Autumn 1993 meeting of the British Masonry Society, at British Ceramic Research Ltd. Stoke on Trent, 9th - 10th November 1993

DateOct 1993Project No.GD0457WIF Ref.CDS03/07File No.BRE 74/1/6

Building Research Establishment Structural Design Division Masonry Construction Section Garston, Watford, WD2 7JR Telephone 0923 894040, Telex 923220 Fax 0923 664010

# ArconTEST, Incorporated

architectural conservation testing and laboratory testing 10 Alcorn Avenue, Suite 103, Toronto, Ontario M4V 1E4

T (416) 922-5013 fax (416) 922-1681 e-mail pmarek@Arcontest.com

# Report on the Uni-directional Freeze-thaw Performance of Cintec Masonry Anchors

t

# Prepaired for CINTEC NORTH AMERICA

September 2001

### Introduction

ArconTEST Incorporated was retained by Cintec International Limited to assess the unidirectional freeze-thaw resistance of Cintec grouted masonry anchors. Examples of the proprietary anchor and grout system were installed within a purpose-built brickwork wallette using standard procedures, which after a curing period of 30 days was subjected to a standard 100 cycle unidirectional freeze-thaw testing procedure.

Samples of the proprietory Presstec grout were cast in four-inch and two-inch square standard molds to allow compressive strength testing of the two-inch cubes, and freeze-thaw testing of the four-inch cubes within the test chamber alongside the test wallette.

## Uni-directional Freeze-thaw Test

### Background

Materials being used in outdoor conditions are subject to extreme variations in weather conditions. A typical Ottawa winter season characteristically goes through 100 freeze-thaw cycles. Although many products are fully frost-resistant after curing, it is often beneficial to determine at what point in time adequate frost resistance occurs. Premature exposure to frost cycling in many cases leads to material failure and costly replacement.

The purpose of freeze-thaw testing is to provide a standard relative determination of the resistance of building materials to harsh climatic fluctuations such as are found in Canada.

The uni-directional freeze-thaw testing chamber was constructed to conform to European Standard EN 772 - Part 22 (Methods of Test for Masonry Units - Part 22: Determination of freeze-thaw resistance of Clay Masonry Units). Its primary purpose is to test the durability of clay masonry units, either with mortar joints or without (using suitable spacers or sealant). This standard is a combination of the German and British test standards that have been used for several years, and now supersedes the previously-used Dutch standard. This methodology has been found to more closely match the field conditions experienced on buildings than can be achieved by using the omni-directional ASTM C-666-92 rapid freeze-thaw testing methodology.

While this standard test is not specifically designed to test mortar (or grout) durability, it is being used by mortar and grout research groups as a relative performance indicator of masonry assemblies containing mortar, grout and unit masonry.

Materials to be tested are fitted into a thermally-insulated stainless steel frame which is mounted into the front of the testing chamber so that one face of the test panel is exposed to freeze-thaw cycling. The number of cycles and test parameters may be custom programmed to suit the purpose of the test and the characteristics of the material(s) being tested.

### Apparatus

Since January 1999 ArconTEST's laboratory has been equipped with a fully-automated uni-directional environmental chamber for testing the freeze-thaw resistance of material assemblies up to  $580 \times 630$  mm in face area and up to 280 mm in thickness. This unidirectional freeze-thaw testing apparatus was developed as a part of our research to aid in determining the durability and frost resistance of mortars.

The uni-directional freeze-thaw apparatus is capable of generating a cold stream of air within the chamber to lower the temperature of the face of the specimen to -15°C. This temperature is reached within one hour of the beginning of the test. The initial freeze cycle is six hours in duration to fully freeze the specimen. Thawing at the end of each cycle is achieved by blowing a stream of warm air against the face of the test panel for twenty minutes, followed by spraying a two minute flood-coat of 25°C water which re-saturates the face of the specimen and starts the next cycle. After the initial cycle each subsequent freeze period is 120 minutes in duration.



Figure 1 Schematic of Test Apparatus

### Methodology

### Freeze-thaw Wallette

The two-brick thick wallette, which was constructed before anchor installation, was built within an insulated stainless steel frame using a relatively high initial strength cement-gauged bedding mortar known to be durable under freeze-thaw testing. The bedding mortar was formulated using 1-part white Portland cement, 1-part dolomitic lime putty and 6-parts of concrete sand. The back of the wallette was encased in 50mm thick rigid insulation. The perimeter of the wallette was insulated from the frame with 25mm rigid insulation. The gap between the wallette and frame was sealed with a strip of adhesive membrane to prevent water entry during testing. The wallette was damp-cured for seven days then allowed to dry at room temperature for 21-days before drilling and installation of anchors.

arconTEST incorporated File: /arcontest/projecta/00\_058\_CAT/report/01.09.06DraftReport.aw Nine test anchors were installed in the fully-cured masonry in accordance with standard Cintec installation methodology. Three anchors were installed within holes drilled through the mid-section of the face and six holes drilled through the sides of the wallette. All anchors consisted of a stainless steel rod and expandible fabric socklet that contains the proprietary grout injected under low pressure through a plastic feeder tube along and to the back of the anchor.

Once all the anchors were installed, the completed unit was damp-cured for 7-days and then left aside at room temperature and approximately 50% RH for a total of 34 days to achieve the final test strength. The test wallette was then conditioned by water soaking for seven days before the commencement of the freeze-thaw test (total of 41 days).

The test consisted of 100-cycles of freezing and thawing of the exposed surface of the wallette within the test chamber. The chamber temperature cycled between -15 to +15C as required by the standard. The specimen was photographed before testing began and then periodically examined for damage during the course of the test, and after the selected number of cycles had been completed (five, 25, 50 and 100-cycles).





## Freeze-thaw Testing of Grout Cubes

Three four-inch square standard cubes were cast for freeze-thaw testing within the chamber.

The cubes were cured after casting in a similar manner to the wallette, then dried and weighed before being immersed in water for 24 hours. The cubes were placed on the floor of the freeze-thaw cabinet and were exposed to the same cycling as the wallette.

Any changes in the weight of the cubes were recorded after 50 and 100 cycles.

### Compressive Strength Testing of Grout

Nine two-inch standard cubes were cast of the grout in order to check their compressive strength at three, seven and 28-days after casting.

The cubes were wet cured after casting, then tested in accordance with the requirements of ASTM C-942 - Standard Test Method for Compressive Strength of Grouts for Preplaced-Aggregate Concrete in the Laboratory.

### **Test Results**

### Table 1 Freeze-thaw Testing

Specimen Type			Observations, Comme	nts	
	Before Testing	After 5 cycles	After 25-cycles	After 50-cycles	After 100-cycles
Wallette A	intact	Intact	intact	intact	intact

#### Table 2

### e 2 Weight Change of Freeze-thaw Wallette

Specimen Type	Wei	ght before testing	Weight after testing (100 cycles)	
	Dry	After 7 days of soaking	After 7 days of soaking	Dry
Wallette A	173.15 kg	174.50 kg	174.59 kg	173.06 kg

### Table 3 Freeze-thaw Testing of 4-Inch Grout Cubes

Specimen	Dry Weight (grams)	Weight alter 24 hours of immension (grams)	Weight after 50 Cycles of FreezeTthaw (grams)	Weight after 100 Cycles of Freeze/Thaw (grams)
Sample 1	1941.99	2043.65	2043.65	2045.13
Sample 2	1964.20	2002.37	2002.35	2003.19
Sample 3	1914.14	2054.41	2054.40	2054.56

## Table 4 Compressive Strength Testing of 2-inch Grout Cubes

Wet Curing - Time Lapse		Sample 01	Sample 02	Sample 03	Average
3 days	MPa	30.39	27.05	30.91	29:45
	psi	4405	3920	4480	4268
7 days	MPa	36.29	37.23	37.40	36.97
	psi	5260	5395	5420	5358
28 Days	MPa	47.44	46.30	47.92	47.22
	psi	6875	6710	6945	6843

#### Discussion

No appreciable loss of grout or encasing brickwork was observed after the full 100-cycle test. No grout degradation or delamination of the grout from the brickwork was noted after the test.

The Presstec grout cubes which were placed on the floor of the freeze/thaw/ cabinet and subject to 100 freeze-thaw cycles displayed no evidence of damage or weight loss.

The average compressive strength of cast grout cubes, after 28 days of curing was found to be approximately 47 MPa.

### Conclusions

The anchor grout and installed anchor system installed within a brick wallette performs satisfactorily when tested to the requirements of European Standard EN772 Part 22 (Methods of Test for Masonry Units - Part 22): Determination of freeze-thaw resistance of Clay Masonry Units).

ArconTEST Incorporated

per

Spencer Higgins M.Arch Conservation Architect

Pawel Marek M.A. Conservator



## Photographic Documentation

Figure 3 Wallette A before testing

arconTEST incorporated

Page 7 of 11



# **Photographic Documentation**

Figure 4 Wallette A after 100 freeze-thaw cycles

arconTEST incorporated

Page 8 of 11



# **Photographic Documentation**

Figure 5 Wallette A after 100 freeze-thaw cycles, detail

arconTEST incorporated

Page 9 of 11

# **Photographic Documentation**



Figure 6 Side-mounted anchor before testing

arconTEST incorporated

Page 10 of 11

# Photographic Documentation



Figure 7 Side-mounted anchor after 100 freeze-thaw cycles

arconTEST incorporated

Page 11 of 11

# CINTEC REMEDIAL WALL TIE TEST (1)



THE UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE RESEARCH ASSOCIATES LIMITED

# CINTEC REMEDIAL WALL TIE TEST (1)

PROJECT NO. A / 156

by

GORAN SIMUNDIC, BE, ME, MIEAust., CP Eng Laboratory Manager

Department of Civil, Surveying and Environmental Engineering The University of Newcastle, NSW, 2308, Australia

17 0

> CLIENT: CLS Cintec Australasia Pty Ltd P.O. Box 141 Newcastle NSW 2300

> > September, 1999

# Certificate

### TEST

### WALL TIE TEST TO DRAFT AUSTRALIAN / NEW ZEALAND STANDARD DR 97300-97302 (revision of AS 2699-1984) - APPENDIX A and AMENDMENT No. 1 to AS 3700 - 1998

Manufacturer:	CLS Cintec Australasia Pty Ltd
	P.O. Box 141
	Newcastle NSW 2300
Job Number:	A/156 - 1999
Description:	Type A cavity remedial wall ties, described by the manufacturer as a "Cintec Remedial Cavity Wall Ties". The wall ties are 215 mm long, 8 mm diameter and are made of stainless steel grade 3.16. The ties are installed by drilling through the joint of the brick couplet (full brick plus two half bricks) and inserted into the full brick (in joint - brick connection) with a cavity of 50 mm, as shown in Figure 1. The drill hole size was 18 mm.
Test Description:	The wall ties were evaluated using the performance requirements of Draft Australian / New Zealand Standard DR 97300-97302 (revision of AS 2699-1984) - Appendix A: Method for determination of characteristic strength and characteristic stiffness of type A ties and the Amendment No. 1 to AS3700 - 1998.
Test Date:	September, 1999.
Classification:	The ties are classified as type A, Heavy Duty cavity ties for a cavity width of 50 mm and in joint - brick connection.

Goran Simundic Laboratory Manager Department of Civil, Surveying and Environmental Engineering TUNRA (The University of Newcastle Research Association)



FIGURE 1. Test Specimen



FIGURE 2. Testing Arrangement

### Type A Cavity Tie (215 mm long, d=8 mm, 50 mm cavity) Cintec Remedial Wall Tie (in joint - brick)

Specimen	Force req 1.5 mm o failur	uired to induce deflection or re (N)
Number	Tension	Compression
1	3172	3115
2	2991	2564
3	2915	3353
4	2754	3566
5	2712	5011
6	2243	3203

Mean:	2798	3469
Standard Deviation:	319	827
Characteristic Value:	1436	1641

Note:

-The specimens were made in accordance with the draft Australian/New Zealand Standard DR 97300 - 97302 (revision of AS 2699 - 1984) - Appendix A.

- The mortar at the time of testing was more than 28 days old.

- The grout at the time of testing was 20 days old,

- The ties were installed by driling through the joint of the brick couplet (full brick

plus two half bricks) and inserted into the full brick (in joint - brick connection). - The cavity between the brick couplet and the brick was 50 mm.

- The drill hole size was 18 mm.

# APPENDIX A

1

.

Load - Deflection Graphs



40.




1. . .



# FOR FURTHER

TUNRA Limited Industry Development Centre University Drive Callaghan NSW 2308 Australia

Phone (+61-49) 21 8777 Fax (+61-49) 21 8778 email: tunra@newcastle.edu.au

THE UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE RESEARCH ASSOCIATES LIMITED

Provide a nitraw South Wales
A C for 0x0 7 (20)/d





Environmental investigation on the Behaviour of Cintec Anchors subjected to Ultimate Load Test – Ottawa Parliament West Block Rehabilitation Project

> Dr. Hugues M. Vogel, E.I.T. Dr. Aftab Mufti, CM, P.Eng.

> > March 2012



Solutions for Civil and Heritage Infrastructure



Environmental investigation on the Behaviour of Cintec Anchors subjected to Ultimate Load Test - Ottawa Parliament West Block Rehabilitation Project

By

Dr. Hugues M. Vogel, E.I.T. Dr. Aftab Mufti, CM, P.Eng. Agricultural & Civil Eng. Building RM.A250 – 96 Dafoe Road Winnipeg, MB, Canada R3T 2N2

Prepared for: Dr. Jocelyn Paquette, Conservation Engineer, PWGSC

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables
List of Figures2
Executive Summany
1
1. Introduction
2. Background
3. Compatible Strengthening Technique for Heritage Structures
4. Objectives of the Study
5. Experimental Program
5.1. Sample Specifications
5.2. Weathering Conditions
E 11 Secol D
5.1.1. Sample Preparation
5.1.2. Sample Weight Monitoring
5.3. Static Pullout Test Setup and Details
6. Test Results and Discussion
7. Estimation of Pullout Loads and Failure Mark
21 Conduciers of P
25
9. References
APPENDIX A
APPENDIX B

1

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 - Sample Specifications	10
Table 2 – Sample Weight Progression	15
Table 3 – Pullout Test Results	18
Table 4 – Pullout Test Results (continued)	19
Table 5 - Strength Estimation and Failure Modes	23
	Table 1 - Sample Specifications

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – West Block Structure: Construction History and Overall View
Figure 2 – West Block Structure Wall Details and Conditions
Figure 3 – Outer Sandstone Wythe Deterioration Severity (PWGSC Masonry Survey 2005)
Figure 4 – Outer Wythe Reparation Scheme and Details
Figure 5 – Temperature Fluctuation during Conditioning: Chamber Records
Figure 6 – Relative Humidity during Rapid Freeze-Thaw Cycles
Figure 7 – Sample Layout in the Environmental Chambers
Figure 8 – Sectioning Procedure
Figure 9 – Requirement for Sample Inclination: Shop Drawings (PWGSC)
Figure 10 – Pullout Test Setup: 1000kN MTS Machine
Figure 11 – Anchorage System Capacity in Ohio Sandstone (All Samples)
Figure 12 – Load-Elongation Behaviour (Control Samples – 75mm Sock Length)
Figure 13 – Grouted Hole after Threaded Rod Pullout (Control Sample C75-1)
Figure 14 – Grouted Cintec Anchor Assembly (BRE Technical Consultancy Report, 1990)
Figure 15 – Cintec Anchor Assembly with Bottom Threaded Washer
Figure 16 – Sectioning Procedure (DITECH International Inc.)
Figure A.1 - Load-Elongation Behaviour (Control Samples - 75mm Sock Length)
Figure A.2 - Load-Elongation Behaviour (Control Samples - 150mm Sock Length)
Figure A.3 - Load-Elongation Behaviour (Rapid Freeze-Thaw Samples - 75mm Sock Length)
Figure A.4 - Load-Elongation Behaviour (Rapid Freeze-Thaw Samples - 150mm Sock Length)
Figure A.5 - Load-Elongation Behaviour (Rapid Freeze-Thaw Samples - 200mm Sock Length)
Figure A.6 - Load-Elongation Behaviour (Dry Freeze-Thaw Samples - 75mm Sock Length)

# LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure A.7 - Load-Elongation Behaviour (Dry Freeze-Thaw Samples - 150mm Sock Length)	2
Figure B.1 – Control Samples (75mm Sock Length)	5
Figure B.2 – Control Samples (150mm Sock Length)	7
Figure B.3 – Dry Freeze-Thaw Samples (75mm Sock Length)	3
Figure B.4 – Dry Freeze-Thaw Samples (150mm Sock Length)40	)
Figure B.5 – Wet Freeze-Thaw Samples (75mm Sock Length)	2
Figure B.6 – Wet Freeze-Thaw Samples (150mm Sock Length)	\$
Figure B.7 – Wet Freeze-Thaw Samples (200mm Sock Length)	;

#### **Executive Summary**

This document provides a report on the results obtained from pullout tests performed on Ohio sandstone masonry blocks instrumented with anchors provided by PWGSC and installed by Cintec Canada Ltd. The masonry blocks were provided by Public Works and Government Services Canada (PWGSC) to represent material that needs to be repaired in the West Block structure found on Parliament Hill in Ottawa.

The performance of 10 samples kept under ambient conditions was compared to that obtained from 25 samples subjected to weathering conditions typically found in the Canadian climate. Out of these 25 samples, a total of 10 were subjected to 150 dry freeze-thaw cycles and a total of 15 were submitted to 150 rapid (wet) freeze-thaw cycles. The cycles were designed in accordance with the North American standards governing the evaluation of environmental weathering. A total of three embedment lengths, referred to as sock lengths, were investigated for the anchors. These include 75mm and 150mm for ambient conditions and dry freeze-thaw cycles as well as 75mm, 150mm and 200mm for the rapid freeze-thaw cycles.

Visual inspections performed on the samples prior to and after weathering did not reveal any signs of degradation or any significant weight loss during cycling. Similarly, the pullout tests did not reveal any influence of weathering on the capacity or the performance of the anchors. The Cintec anchors were tested to failure and the loads achieved with a 9mm diameter A325M stainless steel anchor body was well above expectations by a factor of 9 and no bond loss between the grout and the Ohio sandstone masonry blocks. The first type of failure consisted of steel failure in the threaded rod immediately above the washer placed at the bottom of the grouted portion of the anchor. The second consisted of steel failure in the threaded rod within the gauge length extending from the surface of the stonework to the anchorage plates used for loading. Results for the capacity of anchors with 75mm sock lengths. The use of 150mm sock length was also not found to provide additional improvements on the capacity of the repair technique when compared to that achieved with 75mm sock lengths. The same observation was made for anchors with 200mm sock lengths but more research is required to confirm the result for this specific embedment length. All of the samples have been sectioned and pictures have been taken to provide a visual documentation of damage incurred during pullout testing.

#### 1. Introduction

Historic buildings are an important part of history. They are a tangible record of cultural heritage and provide current society with a visual record of the art and skill of ancestry. Stone masonry buildings built in Canada before the twentieth century were not designed to the extent of requirements found in current building codes. As a result, there is a growing need for evaluating different strengthening techniques that can maintain structural integrity of heritage structures throughout Canada. The technique investigated in this document consists of inserting a Cintec anchor into the stonework and securing the structural components against movement. The strength and performance of the anchorage system was evaluated based on an extensive experimental program designed to account for the influence of weather conditions expected in the Canadian climate.

#### 2. Background

This project was designed to evaluate the compatibility of using an anchorage system to secure the stone walls of the West Block building located on Parliament Hill in Ottawa, Canada. The West Block is one of three buildings on Parliament Hill and is an asymmetrical structure built in the Victorian High Gothic style with load bearing masonry walls. The main part of the structure was constructed by 1875 and some additional segments were added during the course of the last century. The construction history of the structure is summarized in Figure 1 along with an overall view of the building in 1875 as well as in its current state. It currently accommodates suites for House of Commons Members of Parliament along with different committee and ceremonial rooms, which renders its preservation vital from the perspective of preserving democratic institutions. Since most of this structure has already passed its design life, Public Works and Government Services Canada (PWGSC) has been committed to providing an evaluation of the structural state of the building through masonry surveys in the final stages of the second millennium. They have also started investigating possible strengthening techniques to conserve the structure in the early years of the third millennium.

# 3. Compatible Strengthening Technique for Heritage Structures

The West Block building, like many other historic stone masonry structures in Canada, was constructed with two-wythe stone walls. The walls, shown in Figure 2(a), include a rubble core separating an inner limestone wythe from an outer sandstone wythe. Masonry surveys performed by UMA Engineering Ltd. for PWGSC between 1994 and 1996 suggest that the long-term exposure

of the building to harsh Canadian weather has caused the outer wythe to separate from the rubble core at several locations on the structure. The separation is shown in Figure 2(b) and the severity of this deterioration was further evaluated by PWGSC with an additional survey in 2005. A summary of the results from this survey are shown in Figure 3.







Figure 1 – West Block Structure: Construction History and Overall View



Figure 2 – West Block Structure Wall Details and Conditions



Figure 3 – Outer Sandstone Wythe Deterioration Severity (PWGSC Masonry Survey 2005)

As shown in Figure 4(a), the separation of the wall segments can be repaired or prevented by anchoring the outer wythe (sandstone) to the inner wythe (limestone). This strengthening technique requires the insertion of anchors, and therefore foreign materials, within the stonework. These materials should be chosen with care to prevent any detrimental impact on the stonework. Many researchers (Benedetti and Castoldi 1982, Benedetti and Pezzoli 1996, Tomazevic et al. 1993, Tomazevic et al. 1996) have conducted experimental investigations on the use of steel ties to strengthen heritage stone masonry structures. Although steel ties are susceptible to corrosion, most rehabilitation techniques are designed to limit moisture uptake and protect the anchorage system from detrimental weathering conditions. Research from Binda et al. (1997) and Tomazevic (1999) has also suggested that the use of grout to secure the ties in anchorage systems improves

compatibility with the stonework and can provide additional improvement on the strengthening of masonry structures.



Figure 4 – Outer Wythe Reparation Scheme and Details

Based on these findings, a study was developed by PWGSC where sandstone blocks similar to the ones in the West Block building were instrumented with an anchorage system used by Cintec Canada Ltd. As illustrated in Figure 4(b), the patented Cintec anchoring system chosen for this project consists of injecting a cementitious fluid around a stainless steel threaded rod that is surrounded by a fabric sock and has been placed in an oversized hole previously drilled in the medium that requires rehabilitation.

### 4. Objectives of the Study

The main objective of the study outlined by PWGSC was to evaluate the performance of Cintec anchors in a material similar to the one found in the outer wythe of the West Block building, while accounting for the influence of weather conditions in the Canadian climate. The objective translates into two major benchmarks for the program:

[1] CONDITIONG – subject the samples to weathering criteria listed in the relevant North American Standards with consideration for other international standards.

[2] TESTING – evaluating the pullout behaviour of anchors in both control and conditioned samples under static loads.

#### 5. Experimental Program

#### 5.1. Sample Specifications

Ohio sandstone masonry blocks similar to those found in the West Block building were provided by PWGSC as samples for the project. In order to obtain a comparative reference from the results of the study, all specimens tested in this program were 350mm wide by 350mm long by 300mm high. The anchorage system illustrated in Figure 4 was installed in the stonework by Cintec Canada Ltd. between May 2<sup>nd</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> 2011 in the Plouffe Park shop, Ottawa. A 32mm (1 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>in) diameter and 200mm (7 <sup>7</sup>/<sub>8</sub>in) deep hole was drilled in each of the stone blocks to allow insertion of the Cintec anchor assemblies. A total of sixteen Ohio sandstone blocks were instrumented with an anchorage system having a sock length of 75mm and another sixteen with a sock length of 150mm. Finally, an additional six were installed with a sock length of 200mm. Threaded stainless steel rods were used and a Presstec grout was injected in the fabric sock during installation.

One sample from each of the sock lengths investigated was retained by Cintec Canada Ltd. for future reference. The remaining samples were shipped to the University of Manitoba for thermal weathering and subsequent pullout testing. A list of all samples received by ISIS Canada from PWGSC on June 10<sup>th</sup> 2011 is elaborated in Table 1 along with relevant information pertaining to the parameters considered for the study. It was also requested from Cintec Canada Ltd. that two blocks of stone be left untouched without any drilling or anchorage installation. The samples are to be submitted to the same weathering tests as the blocks with anchors in order to evaluate weight loss from degradation.

#### 5.2. Weathering Conditions

As indicated in Table 1, a total of two conditioning schemes were considered for the experimental program in this study. Thermal weathering was accomplished using CONVIRON environmental chambers capable of generating temperatures between -40°C and 40°C. The chambers are equipped with thermocouples that allow temperature fluctuations to be monitored during the cycles.

Specimens	Sock Length [mm]	Description / Weathering Conditions			
C75-1					
C75-2					
C75-3	75				
C75-4		Control Samples (Ambient Laboratory			
C75-5		Conditions) TEMPERATURE: ~20°C			
C150-1	150				
C150-2					
C150-3		HUMIDITY: negligible effect			
C150-4					
C150-5					
U75-1		and a second			
U75-2		Dry Freeze-Thaw Samples			
U75-3	75				
U75-4					
U75-5		(ASTM E1512-01)			
U150-1					
U150-2		$-18^{\circ}C \le TEMPERATURE \le 4^{\circ}C$			
U150-3	150	HUMIDITY: negligible effect			
U150-4	9.18				
U150-5					
R75-1					
R75-2					
R75-3	75				
R75-4		Wet Freeze-Thaw Samples			
R75-5					
R150-1					
R150-2	150	(ASTM C666/C666M-03)			
R150-3		(			
R150-4		-23°C ≤ TEMPERATURE ≤ 40°C			
R150-5		HUMIDITY: 100%			
R200-1					
R200-2					
R200-3	200				
R200-4	200				
11200-4					

Table 1	÷	Sample Specifications	
---------	---	-----------------------	--

The first scheme was performed in accordance with ASTM E1512 (2001) and consisted of subjecting five of the samples with 75mm sock length and five of the samples with 150mm sock length to a total of 150 thermal cycles ranging from -23°C to 40°C. The relative humidity was maintained to a negligible level

during conditioning. The extremes of temperature were maintained for 3 hours with a ramping of 1.75°C every 5 minutes. The temperature variation for these dry freeze-thaw cycles is illustrated in Figure 5 over the course of approximately 4 days.



Figure 5 – Temperature Fluctuation during Conditioning: Chamber Records

The second conditioning scheme consisted of subjecting five of the samples for each of the 75mm, 150mm and 200mm sock lengths considered to a total of 150 thermal cycles ranging from -18°C to 4°C. The conditioning was performed in accordance with ASTM C666/C666M (2003), which required a relative humidity of 100% to be maintained during each cycle. As indicated in Figure 5, the extremes of temperature were also maintained during 3 hours with a ramping of 0.6°C every 5 minutes. The relative humidity for this conditioning scheme is shown in Figure 6 and indicates that it is difficult to maintain a level of 100% during each cycle. This slight discrepancy arises from the fact that temperature is generated by convection using large fans that circulate air from the back of the chamber. This flow of air creates a temporary state of drying during the ramping stages of the cycles when the temperature increases from -18°C to 4°C. There are also some fluctuations at -18°C that arise from the chamber defrosting stages. Nevertheless, the chamber was able to maintain an average relative humidity of 80% during most of the cycle durations.



Figure 6 – Relative Humidity during Rapid Freeze-Thaw Cycles

#### 5.1.1. Sample Preparation

It should be noted at this stage that the dry freeze-thaw cycles and the rapid freeze-thaw cycles were performed simultaneously using two chambers during a total of  $2^{1}/_{2}$  months (75 days). The sample layout is shown in Figure 7(a) for the dry freeze-thaw cycles and Figure 7(b) for the rapid freeze-thaw cycles. Several pertinent observations can be made from these figures. The first concerns the absence of the stainless steel rods extruding from the masonry blocks. The absence resulted from a request that rod tails be sectioned flush with the stonework and protected with insulation to prevent infiltration of cold temperature and moisture during conditioning.



(a) Dry Freeze-Thaw Cycle Chamber



(b) Rapid Freeze-Thaw Cycle Chamber

Figure 7 – Sample Layout in the Environmental Chambers

The sectioning procedure is outlined in Figure 8 and consists of four steps. The first step involves removal of the rod using a reciprocating saw equipped with a BI-Metal blade (Figure 8(a) to (d)). The rod was secured from excess vibration with a wooden jig that was fabricated from three 2in by 4in studs. A 2in outside diameter, 3/8in inside diameter washer, hand tightened with a nut, was also provided to offer additional stability during each of the sectioning procedures.



(a) Original Stone (75mm Sock Length)



(c) Rod Stabilization (2"OD -3/8"ID Washer & Nut)



(e) External PVC Confinement within Hole



(b) Sectioning Grouting Tube



(d) Sectioned Threaded Rod



(f) Insertion of Insulation around the Rod (Silicon added to Seal the Hole)

#### ISIS CANADA



(g) External PVC Confinement on the Stone Surface (Silicon added to Seal the Hole)



(h) Insulating Foam against Moisture Ingress (Expansive Insulating Foam Used)

#### Figure 8 - Sectioning Procedure

Once the rod was sectioned, a segment of 1in diameter PVC conduit was inserted in the stonework hole and filled with R-20 FIBERGLASS PINK<sup>®</sup> insulation (Figure 8(e) to (f)). The use of a washer and nut to stabilize the anchor during the sectioning process provided a threaded rod stub of approximately 9.5mm (3/8in) that extruded from the stone. As a result, an external 2in PVC confinement was placed on the surface of the stone and expansive insulating foam was inserted to protect the top of the rod from temperature and moisture ingress (Figure 8(g) to (h)).

The second observation that can be made from the layout shown in Figure 7 is the fact that all samples were placed sideways on wooden pallets to provide a 3° inclination with respect to the chamber floor. This inclination was provided in accordance with the fact that samples were shipped with anchors installed at a right angle from the surface of the stone. Original drawings provided by PWGSC suggest that anchors should have been installed at 3° with respect to the horizontal to prevent moisture ingress when using the repair technique in the field. A diagram of the shop drawings provided in the Request for Proposal document submitted by PWGSC can be found in Figure 9.

The third and final observation from the chamber layout relates to the height of the samples with respect to the supporting pallets. A 2in diameter segment of PVC conduit was placed under each corner of every sample to provide a 3in clearance at the base. The procedure was adopted to prevent water accumulation at the base of the samples during conditioning that would otherwise not occur under service conditions.





#### 5.1.2. Sample Weight Monitoring

As mentioned earlier, two masonry blocks were left untouched and submitted to the same weathering tests as the blocks with anchors in order to evaluate weight loss from conditioning. Consequently, these samples were weighed prior to and after cycling. For the samples subjected to rapid freeze-thaw cycling, an additional weight measurement was taken immediately after the misting procedure (~72 hrs) as well as immediately after conditioning to measure water absorption. The misting procedure produced a weight gain of approximately 1kg and the wet conditioning environment brought a weight gain of approximately 3kg to the masonry block. The final weight measurements for all samples were taken approximately 2 months after completion of conditioning in order to allow adequate drying. The weight loss after weathering was negligible (400g for dry freeze-thaw cycles, 200g for rapid freeze-thaw cycles).

Test Stage	Dry Freeze-Thaw Sample [kg]	Rapid Freeze-Thaw Sample [kg]		
	any mease many sample [KB]	DRY	WET	
Prior to Weathering	83	81.4	82.4(1)	
After Weathering	82.6 <sup>(3)</sup>	81.2 <sup>(3)</sup>	85.4 <sup>(2)</sup>	

Table 2 - Sample Weight Progression

<sup>(1)</sup> After 72 hrs of misting <sup>(2)</sup> Immediately after weathering <sup>(3)</sup> 2 months after completion of weathering

#### 5.3. Static Pullout Test Setup and Details

After conditioning, the samples were extracted from the chambers and the anchors were subjected to pullout load as described in ASTM E1512 (2001) using a 1000kN capacity MTS machine. The stones were

firmly attached to the strong floor with four threaded bars, two steel angles as well as two steel straps. A picture outlining the details of the test setup can be found in Figure 10. The figure also shows that a 45mm (~1.75in) long coupler was used to extend the threaded rod stub extruding from the stonework to the anchorage plates for loading. The stub was extended using the same portion of the threaded rod that was removed during sectioning. The figure also shows that the jig supporting the LVDT sensors was secured below the coupler to minimize external sources of displacement. Finally, a rocker bearing was inserted for load application in order to accommodate the possibility of eccentric loading.



Figure 10 - Pullout Test Setup: 1000kN MTS Machine

The anchor elongation during each static pullout test was measured using two Linear Variable Displacement Transducers (LVDTs). The first LVDT was chosen to have a limited stroke of 3mm but

higher precision to monitor the relatively smaller elongation values expected at the beginning of each test. The second LVDT was chosen to have larger stroke (125mm) but sufficient precision to register elongation values beyond the stroke of the first LVDT once larger loads are reached during the tests. An additional set of two LVDTs were also used to account for movement of the stone with respect to the strong floor. Once again, the first LVDT was chosen to have smaller stroke but higher precision for the early stages of the test and the second was chosen to have larger stroke to capture movement at the end of each test. The values obtained from all these sensors were then combined to evaluate net elongation during each test.

#### 6. Test Results and Discussion

Results from all tests performed in this project are shown in Table 3 in terms of the ultimate load and type of failure. The failure loads are consistent among all specimens and weathering conditions considered. The table also shows that two main failure types were observed. These include steel failure in the threaded rod within the grouted portion of the anchor followed by slippage but also failure of the steel in the rod between the stonework and the anchorage plates. The ultimate loads recorded during the tests are shown in Figure 11 with respect to sock length as well as in terms of weathering conditions.



Figure 11 – Anchorage System Capacity in Ohio Sandstone (All Samples)

Results in this figure do not show sufficient evidence to conclude that rapid freeze-thaw or dry freezethaw conditions have any influence on the capacity of Cintec anchors embedded in Ohio sandstone masonry blocks using either 75mm or 150mm sock lengths. Figure 11 also shows that providing a r

150mm sock length does not visibly improve the capacity of the repair technique from what can be achieved with a 75mm sock length. The result is also true when considering samples repaired with a 200mm sock length but more specimens need to be tested with this configuration. It should also be noted from the results of this figure that the capacity of anchors with 75mm sock length display more variability (±2.23kN standard deviation) than for anchors with 150mm sock length (±0.69kN standard deviation).

Specimens	Sock Length [mm]	Ultimate Load [kN]	Failure Type	Description / Weathering Conditions
C75-1		40.7	Steel Failure (@Washer)	
C75-2		40.9	Steel Failure	
C75-3	75	41.3	Steel Failure	
C75-4		39.3	Steel Failure (@Washer)	Control Samples (Ambient Laboratory Conditions)
C75-5		35.6	Steel Failure (@Washer)	
C150-1	150	39.2	Steel Failure (@Washer)	TEMPERATURE: ~20°C
C150-2		39.7	Steel Failure (@Washer)	HUMIDITY: negligible effect
C150-3		39.9	Steel Failure (@Washer)	
C150-4		39.7	Steel Failure (@Washer)	
C150-5		40.4	Steel Failure (@Washer)	
U75-1		40.1	Steel Failure	
U75-2 U75-3	75	37.9	Steel Failure (@Washer)	Dry Freeze-Thaw Samples (ASTM E1512-01) -18°C ≤ TEMPERATURE ≤ 4°C HUMIDITY: negligible effect
		39.8	Steel Failure (@Washer)	
U75-4		33.2	Steel Failure (@Washer)	
U75-5		40.8	Steel Failure	

#### Table 3 - Pullout Test Results

NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.	THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY				
U150-1		40.4	Steel Failure (@Washer)		
U150-2		39.7	Steel Failure	Dry Freeze-Thaw Samples	
U150-3	150	150 40.0	Steel Failure (@Washer)	(ASTM E1512-01)	
U150-4		40.9	Steel Failure	HUMIDITY: negligible effect	
U150-5		40.5	Steel Failure (@Washer)		
R75-1		38.4	Steel Failure (@Washer)		
R75-2		40.1	Steel Failure (@Washer)		
R75-3	75	38.6	Steel Failure (@Washer)	Wet Freeze-Thaw Samples (ASTM C666/C666M-03) -23°C < TEMPERATURE < 40°C	
R75-4		40.8	Steel Failure		
R75-5		40.2	Steel Failure (@Washer)		
R150-1		40.8	Steel Failure (@Washer)		
R150-2	150	39.8	Steel Failure (@Washer)		
R150-3		40.3	Steel Failure (@Washer)		
R150-4		38.1	Steel Failure	HUMIDITY: 100%	
R150-5		40.2	Steel Failure (@Washer)		
R200-1 R200-2 R200-3 200		41.1	Steel Failure (@Washer)		
		40.9	Steel Failure		
	200	38.5	Steel Failure		
R200-4		39.8	Steel Failure (@Washer)		
R200-5	200-5	39.8	Steel Failure (@Washer)		

Table 4 – Pullout Test Results (continued...)

It was also made apparent from the analysis of test results that considerable elongation of the threaded rod took place for both types of failure. The elongation was captured by the longer range LVDT and was

attributed to yielding of the threaded rod prior to failure. The extent of elongation is illustrated more clearly in Figure 12 and appears to be sustained under loads gradually increasing towards failure.



Figure 12 – Load-Elongation Behaviour (Control Samples – 75mm Sock Length)

This ductile behaviour provided by the Cintec repair technique is strongly advantageous because it provides ample warning of impending failure while sustaining a surcharge comparable to the capacity of the anchor. Figure 12 also shows that there is a slight recovery of load once the threaded rod has initiated slip within the grouted portion of the anchor. This residual load gradually reduces until the rod is completely removed from the assembly. Finally, the figure displays an unloading/re-loading stage that was required in most of the tests to re-adjust the stroke of the machine once the limit was reached. The load-elongation behaviour for all samples tested in this study can be found in Appendix A of this report.

Another key observation made after testing relates to the quality of the grouted portion of the anchor, particularly at the interface with the stonework within the hole that was drilled for installation. Failure is initiated by yielding of the rod, after which considerable elongation causes damage to the grout surrounding the rod during testing. Figure 13 suggests that this damage is contained in the vicinity of the rod as well as at the top of the grouted hole. It does not extend towards the interface to affect the bond between the fabric sock and the stonework. The result underlines another advantage of the Cintec anchorage system for rehabilitating structures similar to the West Block building on Parliament Hill.



Figure 13 – Grouted Hole after Threaded Rod Pullout (Control Sample C75-1)

### 7. Estimation of Pullout Loads and Failure Modes

As observed in Table 3 and mentioned in the previous section, there are two main failure modes observed for the Cintec anchors embedded in the Ohio Sandstone blocks provided by PWGSC. These include steel failure in the rod between the stonework and the machine fixtures. In order to evaluate the first of these failure modes, we refer to test data provided in the BRE Technical Consultancy Report (November 1990) for Cintec anchors. The data was obtained from tests conducted on masonry samples that were instrumented with 8mm diameter steel rods grouted in 40mm diameter holes that were 60mm in length. The sample geometry is shown in Figure 14 and, using force equilibrium, can be used to derive an expression (EQ.1) for the average bond strength between the steel rod and grout.



Figure 14 – Grouted Cintec Anchor Assembly (BRE Technical Consultancy Report, 1990)

 $\tau_{bond} = \frac{P_{avg}}{\pi l_{embd}} \qquad EQ.1$ 

In this equation,  $\tau_{bond}$  is the average bond strength between the steel rod and grout,  $P_{avg}$  is the average recorded pullout load reported in the document (10.3kN),  $l_{emb}$  is the embedment length (60mm) and d is the diameter of the pre-drilled hole (40mm). Based on this equation and the sample geometry shown in Figure 14, the average bond strength of steel rods grouted using the Cintec method can be evaluated as 6.83MPa. This value can be used to evaluate the load  $P_{bond}$  required to pull the threaded rods from the Presstec grout used in this project. The average bond strength must be multiplied by the circumferential area surrounding the rod (EQ.2). This area is a function of the embedment length chosen for the study. As mentioned in previous sections, the lengths were chosen to vary from 75mm to 150mm and finally 200mm.

 $P_{bond} = \tau_{bond} \pi l_{emb} d$  EQ.2

Substituting the values for average bond strength and nominal threaded rod diameter (9mm) gives a more convenient expression for establishing the ultimate load initiated by bond failure during the pullout tests in this study (EQ.3). The embedment length remains unknown and can be substituted depending on the sample under consideration.

$$P_{bond} = 0.193I_{emb}$$
 EQ.3

The second type of failure is governed by yielding, and eventually steel failure in the threaded rod. The ultimate load expected from this type of failure (EQ.4) can be evaluated by considering clause 13.12.1.2 of the CISC Handbook of Steel Construction (2008) for bolts or threaded rods in tension. It should be noted that the resistance factor was not considered because we are seeking an accurate representation of the steel failure load, without any allowance for conservatism.

#### $P_{\text{steel failure}} = 0.75F_{\text{u}}A_{\text{b}}$ EQ.4

In this expression,  $P_{steel fialure}$  is the load required to cause steel failure in the threaded rod during the test,  $F_u$  is the minimum nominal strength of a bolt or threaded rod in tension and  $A_b$  is the nominal area of the bolt or threaded rod. If a nominal tensile strength of 825MPa for the stainless steel threaded rods (type A325M) after strain hardening is assumed along with a nominal diameter of 9mm, the steel failure load can be evaluated as 39.4kN. This value along with those obtained from EQ.3 when varying the embedment length from 75mm to 150mm and 200mm are summarized in Table 1 to give information on the mode of failure that is expected from the pullout tests performed on the stone blocks provided by PWGSC.

Embedment/Sock Length [mm]	Pbond [kN]	P <sub>steel failure</sub> [kN]	Failure Mode
75	14.5	39.4	Bond (Phond < Prutoura)
150	29.0	39.4	Bond (Phond <prutpure)< td=""></prutpure)<>
200	38.6	39.4	Bond (Phand < Phane)

Table 5 - Strength Estimation and Failure Modes

It should be noted at this stage that the strength values for bond of the threaded rods in the Presstec grout ( $P_{bond}$ ) in Table 5 follow a linear trend with embedment length. Although this behavior is anticipated when considering the fundamentals of bond transfer, the ultimate loads observed during the pullout tests conducted on the stone blocks provided by PWGSC did not confirm this result. The ultimate loads for samples exhibiting slippage in the grout were found to be much higher and consistent with those describing steel failure in the threaded rod ( $P_{steel failure}$ ). The outcome can be attributed to the fact that a 25mm diameter, 6.5mm thick steel washer was tapped and screwed to the tip of the threaded rod at the bottom of the grouted hole. This assembly, illustrated in Figure 15, provides additional strength and prevents the rods from slipping out of the grout at the loads shown in Table 5. It is believed that failures exhibiting slippage between the threaded rod and grout are initiated by steel

failure in the rod at the bottom of the grouted hole, immediately above the washer. This additional strength will increase the ultimate loads listed in Table 5 for bond failure to that which is equivalent to steel failure and thereby confirms the consistency obtained for pullout loads listed in Table 3 despite having used varying embedment (sock) lengths for the anchors.



Figure 15 - Cintec Anchor Assembly with Bottom Threaded Washer

A complete illustrative documentation of the failure planes similar to the one shown in Figure 15 after testing is provided in Appendix B of this report. The illustrations do not show evidence of damage in the grouted portion of the anchor for any of the failure modes, which indicates that the Cintec rehabilitation technique is resilient despite the consideration of thermal weathering. It should be noted that the failure planes in these illustrations were exposed by sectioning the samples down the center of the grouted hole. Sectioning was performed by DiTECH International Inc. and took place at the University of Manitoba. Several pictures of the sectioning procedure are shown in Figure 16.



(a) Placement

(b) Adjustment under the Blade



(c) Cutting (d) Removal of Sectioned Pieces **Figure 16** – Sectioning Procedure (DiTECH International Inc.)

### 8. Conclusions and Recommendations

Several conclusions and recommendations can be drawn from the findings reported in this document. They pertain to the results of pullout tests performed on Ohio sandstone masonry blocks instrumented with a Cintec anchor having various sock lengths and subjected to weathering conditions expected in the Canadian climate:

(1) After close examination prior to and after conditioning, the masonry blocks did not reveal any form of deterioration from the application of adverse weathering environments and showed minimal weight loss due to conditioning.

- (2) The ultimate loads recorded during pullout testing are consistent among all samples in this study and do not reveal any form of evidence that would suggest a reduction in the capacity of the anchorage system in Ohio sandstone after 150 dry freeze-thaw or rapid freeze-thaw cycles.
- (3) Results indicate that the samples with 150mm sock length anchors do not provide additional capacity from that initially obtained for samples instrumented with a 75mm sock length anchor. The same outcome was observed for samples instrumented with 200mm sock lengths. The outcome is attributed to the fact that a steel washer is screwed to the threaded rod at the bottom of the grouted hole to prevent slippage.
- (4) The load-elongation behaviour of the anchorage assemblies used in this study contains a significant amount of elongation from the threaded rod prior to failure. This allows ample warning of impeding failure while loads close to the capacity of the anchor are being sustained.
- (5) The amount of elongation monitored during the pullout tests is attributed to the fact that the repair technique has sufficient strength to cause yielding of the rod before any signs of slippage can be detected.
- (6) Although several of the threaded rods failed by steel failure between the surface of the stone and the gripping mechanism (anchorage plates) of the machine, the analysis provided in this report for evaluating pullout loads and failure modes suggests that steel failure also occurred at the base of the grouted hole. Once initiated, this steel failure mechanism was the cause for the excessive slippage recorded during the tests. Slippage continued at smaller loads until the rod was fully removed from the sample.
- (7) The sectioning procedure performed on the stones revealed that the damage for samples exhibiting slippage was only localized to the vicinity of the threaded rod. It was not found to radiate outward towards the interface separating the grout, the fabric sock and the stonework, which illustrates the quality of the anchorage system despite the consideration of thermal weathering.

(8) It is recommended that future work be undertaken to expand the current project to investigate the performance of full scale multi-wythe walls under the same weathering conditions and rehabilitated with the same Cintec anchorage system.

#### 9. References

- (1) American Society for Testing and Materials. (2007). ASTM Standard C666/C 666M-03: Standard Test Method for Resistance of Concrete to Rapid Freezing and Thawing. Philadelphia, PA: American Society for Testing and Materials.
- (2) American Society for Testing and Materials. (2007). ASTM Standard E1512-01: Standard Test Method for Testing Bond Performance of Bonded Anchors. Philadelphia, PA: American Society for Testing and Materials.
- (3) Arora, S.K., "Moisture/Temperature Cycling Tests on the CINTEC Remedial Wall Tie." BRE Technical Consultancy Report, Structural Integrity Division, Garston Watford, England, United Kingdom, November 1990, 32p.
- (4) Benedetti, D., and Castoldi, A. (1982). Dynamic and static experimental analysis of stonemasonry buildings. Proceedings of 7th European Conference on Earthquake Engineering, 5, pp. 179-188. Athens.
- (5) Benedetti, D., & Pezzoli, P. (1996). Shaking table tests on masonry buildings. Results and Comments. Politecnico di Milano. Seriate: ISMES.
- (6) Binda, L., Modena, C., Baronio, G., & Abbaneo, S. (1997). *Repair and Investigation Techniques for Stone Masonry Walls*. Construction and Building Materials, *11* (3), 133-142.
- (7) Tomazevic, M. (1999). Earthquake-Resistant Design of Masonry Buildings (Vol. I). London: Imperial College Press.
- (8) Tomazevic, M., Lutman, M., & Weiss, P. (1996). Seismic upgrading of old brick-masonry urban houses: Tying of walls with steel ties. Earthquake Spectra, 12 (3), 599-622.

(9) Tomazevic, M., Lutman, M., & Weiss, P. (1993). The seismic resistance of historical urban buildings and the interventions in their floor systems: an experimental study. The Masonry Society Journal, 12 (1), 77-86.



Load-Elongation Behaviour Figures



Figure A.1 - Load-Elongation Behaviour (Control Samples - 75mm Sock Length)



Figure A.2 - Load-Elongation Behaviour (Control Samples - 150mm Sock Length)



Figure A.3 - Load-Elongation Behaviour (Rapid Freeze-Thaw Samples - 75mm Sock Length)

ISIS CANADA



Figure A.4 - Load-Elongation Behaviour (Rapid Freeze-Thaw Samples - 150mm Sock Length)



Figure A.5 - Load-Elongation Behaviour (Rapid Freeze-Thaw Samples - 200mm Sock Length)



Figure A.6 - Load-Elongation Behaviour (Dry Freeze-Thaw Samples - 75mm Sock Length)


Figure A.7 - Load-Elongation Behaviour (Dry Freeze-Thaw Samples - 150mm Sock Length)



Stone Sectioning Pictures





(a)C75-1





(b)C75-2





(c)C75-3

ISIS CANADA



(d)C75-4





(e)C75-5







(a)C150-1

ISIS CANADA





(b) C150-2





(c) C150-3





(d) C150-4



(e) C150-5





(a)U75-1



(b)U75-2







(c)U75-3





(d)U75-4



(e)U75-5

Figure B.3 – Dry Freeze-Thaw Samples (75mm Sock Length)

ISIS CANADA





(a)U150-1





(b)U150-2



(c)U150-3



(d)U150-4



(e)U150-5

Figure B.4 – Dry Freeze-Thaw Samples (150mm Sock Length)





(a)R75-1







(b)R75-2





(c)R75-3





(d)R75-4





Figure B.5 – Wet Freeze-Thaw Samples (75mm Sock Length)







(b)R150-2

ISIS CANADA



(c)R150-3



(d)R150-4





Figure B.6 - Wet Freeze-Thaw Samples (150mm Sock Length)







(a) R200-1



(b) R200-2



(c) R200-3

ISIS CANADA







(d) R200-4





December 26, 2013

Admiral Construction LLC 92 Magnolia Avenue Westbury, NY 11590

SUBMITTAL	REVIEW
	Brick Arch Project NYCT Contract A-36193
ben Description A, B and D a	anchor Test Repo
Spec Section No. 3BB Benn No.	016 Rev.
Submined by. Rehan Gulzar	Date: 1-9-14

ATTN: Jose Caruncho

FTC# ADMR113

RE: MTA Arch Repairs W168th St & W181st St. Stations New York City Anchor Testing Program

Dear Mr. Caruncho,

As requested by Weidlinger Associates, Structural Engineers anchor shear testing was performed at the above referenced project. The purpose of the testing was to verify the structural integrity of type "B" 1 ¼" diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors embedded 22" into the existing subway tunnel brick arch substrate.

The following report includes the procedures, results, calibration chart, and pictures of the testing.

If you should have any questions concerning this report, please contact our office.

Ottó/Garcia

1-36193-

T. Ohday

09100.

Forensic Investigations Manager

168/181 st st

Stephen S. Marchese, P.E., FACI

A	APPROVED	5
в	APPROVED AS NOTED	-
C	REVISE & RESUBMIT	-
D	DISAPPROVED	-
E	REVIEWED ONLY FOR LOADS IMPOSED ON THE STRUCTURE	ī
2011 2011 2011 2011	ncetors The prepayed sony for relieving program manual with the besign constant the project and is come ance in chittle information gives nite actions ance in chittle information gives not to	

Future both 1'r oath afte of New York for S \* 12 of 2nd Street, Almenta New York (1'i) - 127 - \$116-155 (116

0115.20114



December 26, 2013 MTA Arch Repairs W 168th St & W 181st St. Stations Anchor Testing Program

# ANCHOR TESTING PROGRAM

#### INTRODUCTON

On December 12, and, 17th, 2013, the above referenced project was visited to perform shear testing on type "B" 1 ¼" diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors. The type "B" 1 1/2" diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors were embedded 22" into the existing subway tunnel brick arch substrate. The above anchor testing was performed as indicated in Specification Section 3BB, Part 3/3.1 - Pre-Installed Anchor Testing Program.

The purpose of the testing is to perform shear tests to determine the structural integrity of the grouted anchors under a shear load for compliance to design criteria. A test load of 6400 pounds and maximum displacement reading of 0.125 was utilized as directed by Weidlinger Associates, for testing of anchors. Witnesses for the testing were Rehan Gulzar of Cinalta Construction, Michael Ferrell of Cintec North America, and Shahzad Hassan of Weidlinger Associates.

#### PROCEDURE

To perform the tests, a calibrated center hole hydraulic jack and gauge were utilized. To attach the hydraulic jack to the anchors, a shear plate steel test apparatus is attached to the anchor and a threaded rod is attached perpendicular to a fixed steel test apparatus. To measure displacement of an anchor an extensometer (dial gauge) measuring to 0.001" was utilized.

The test began by first attaching the threaded rod and test assembly to the anchor. The jack was attached by utilizing the shear plate steel test apparatus, threaded rod and fixed steel test apparatus. The load was applied slowly until the test load was achieved or failure occurred. If the test load was achieved, the load was maintained for duration of ten (10) minutes and at this time displacement readings are taken and then the load is released. The area surrounding the anchor is then inspected for signs of distress and/or cracking. The above test procedure and test set up were performed as referenced in ASTM E488-96.

#### CONCLUSION

A total of twelve (12) type "B" 1 1/2" diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors were tested. Six tests were performed at the W168th St. Station and six tests at the W181st St. Station. All twelve (12) type "B" 1 1/2" diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors tested did maintain the test load of 6400 pounds provided with minimal displacement for the duration of ten (10) minutes without any signs of distress and/or cracking during the testing procedure. The results of these tests are on the following chart atoms of N= Yest the



December 26, 2013 MTA Arch Repairs W168th St & W181st St. Stations New York City Anchor Testing Program

# ANCHOR TESTING PROGRAM RESULTS

ANCHOR NO.	DATE TESTED	ANCHOR LOCATION	TEST TYPE	TEST LOAD (LBS)	DIAL GAUGE (INCHES)	ANCHOR CONDITION
B-1	12-12-13	West 168 <sup>th</sup> St. Station	Shear	6400	0.033	No Signs of Any
B-2	12-12-13	West 168 <sup>th</sup> St. Station	Shear	6400	0.048	No Signs of Any
B-3	12-17-13	West 168 <sup>th</sup> St. Station	Shear	6400	0.049	No Signs of Any
B-4	12-17-13	West 168 <sup>th</sup> St. Station	Shear	6400	0.016	No Signs of Any
B-5	12-17-13	West 168 <sup>th</sup> St. Station	Shear	6400	0.052	No Signs of Any
B-6	12-17-13	West 168 <sup>th</sup> St. Station	Shear	6400	0.052	No Signs of Any
B-1	12-17-13	West 181 <sup>st</sup> St. Station	Shear	6400	0.054	No Signs of Any
B-2	12-17-13	West 181 <sup>st</sup> St. Station	Shear	6400	0.049	No Signs of Any
B-3	12-17-13	West 181 <sup>st</sup> St. Station	Shear	6400	0.056	No Signs of Any
B-4	12-17-13	West 181 <sup>st</sup> St. Station	Shear	6400	0.035	No Signs of Any
B-5	12-17-13	West 181 <sup>st</sup> St. Station	Shear	6400	0.062	No Signs of Any
B-6	12-17-13	West 181 <sup>st</sup> St. Station	Shear	6400	0.065	No Signs of Any



# CALIBRATION CHART

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED: DATED: June 17, 2013 EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED WIKA 10,000 psi Gauge Serial No.23040 ENERPAC 20Ton Center Hole Jack Serial No. 001

EQUIPMENT USED: Test Mark CM-600-iD Compression Machine Serial No. 120819 Calibrated: January 17, 2013

RESULTS	
Gauge Reading (psi)	Load (lbs)
100	600
250	1200
300	1400
400	1900
500	2300
600	2500
650	2800
700	3000
800	3500
900	4300
1000	4650
1100	4800
1300	5600
1400	6400
1800	9000
2000	9500
2200	10 000
2500	11 500
2600	12 000
3000	14 100
3500	16 400
4100	10,400
4500	21 500
5000	21,500
5200	23,500
6000	24,000
6500	20,500
7000	30,000
8000	32,500
9000	37,500
10,000	42,500
	47.000



TYPICAL TEST SET UP W168th St. STATION # B-1



TYPICAL TEST SET UP W168th St. STATION # B-2





#### TYPICAL TEST SET UP W181st St. STATION # B-1



#### TYPICAL TEST SET UP W181st St. STATION # B-2



Fax: (516) 355-0127







Chicago Dial Indicator Co. 1372 Redeker Road Des Plaines, IL 60016 ISO Registered Finn

#### Factory Certificate of Calibration





Repeatability is taken at three positions, with fare readings at each position.

Phone: 847-827-7185 Fax: 847-827-8478 Website: www.diatindicator.com

Date\_\_\_\_\_ Put into service date, provided by end user

Signature Stores 7



December 23, 2013

Admiral Construction LLC 92 Magnolia Avenue Westbury, NY 11590

ATTN: Jose Caruncho

FTC# ADMR113

RE: MTA Arch Repairs W168th St & W181st St. Stations New York City Anchor Testing Program

Dear Mr. Caruncho,

As requested by Weidlinger Associates, Structural Engineers anchor tension testing was performed at the above referenced project. The purpose of the testing was to verify the structural integrity of type "A" 5/8 "diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors embedded 12" into the existing subway tunnel brick arch substrate.

The following report includes the procedures, results, calibration chart, and pictures of the testing.

If you should have any questions concerning this report, please contact our office.

Otto 'cià

Forensic Investigations Manager

Stephen S. Marchese, P.E., FACI President



December 23, 2013 MTA Arch Repairs W168th St & W181st St. Stations Anchor Testing Program

### ANCHOR TESTING PROGRAM

#### INTRODUCTON

On December 11, 12<sup>th</sup>, 2013, the above referenced project was visited to perform tension testing on type "A" 5/8" diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors. The type "A" 5/8" diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors were embedded twelve-inches (12") into the existing subway tunnel brick arch substrate. The above anchor testing was performed as indicated in Specification Section 3BB, Part 3/3.1 – Pre-Installed Anchor Testing Program.

The purpose of the testing is to perform pull tests to determine the structural integrity of the grouted anchors intention for compliance to design criteria. A test load of 4800 pounds and maximum displacement reading of 0.125 was utilized as directed by Weidlinger Associates, for testing of anchors.

Witnesses for the testing were Rehan Gulzar of Cinalta Construction, Michael Ferrell of Cintec North America, and Shahzad Hassan of Weidlinger Associates.

#### PROCEDURE

To perform the tests, a calibrated center hole hydraulic jack and gauge were utilized. To attach the hydraulic jack to the anchors, a threaded rod and coupling was utilized, supported by a steel test fixture. To measure displacement of an anchor an extensometer (dial gauge) measuring to 0.001" was utilized.

The test began by first attaching the threaded rod and test assembly to the anchor. The jack was attached by utilizing the threaded rod and coupling. The load was applied slowly until the test load was achieved or failure occurred. If the test load was achieved, the load was maintained for duration of ten (10) minutes and at this time displacement readings are taken and then the load is released. The area surrounding the anchor is then inspected for signs of distress and/or cracking. The above test procedure and test set up were performed as referenced in ASTM E488-96.

#### CONCLUSION

A total of four (4) single type "A" 5/8" diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors were tested. Two (2) tests were performed at the W 168th St. station and two (2) tests at the W 181st St. station. All four (4) single type "A" 5/8" diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors tested did maintain the test load of 4800 pounds provided with minimal displacement for the duration of ten (10) minutes without any signs of distress and/or cracking during the testing procedure. The results of these tests are on the following chart.



December 23, 2013 MTA Arch Repairs W 168th St & W 181st St. Stations New York City Anchor Testing Program

# ANCHOR TESTING PROGRAM RESULTS

ANCHOR NO.	DATE TESTED	ANCHOR LOCATION	TEST TYPE	TEST LOAD (LBS)	DIAL GAUGE (INCHES)	ANCHOR CONDITION
A-5	12-11-13	West 181 <sup>st</sup> St. Station	Tension	4800	0.022	No Signs of Any
A-6	12-11-13	West 181 <sup>st</sup> St. Station	Tension	4800	0.007	No Signs of Any
A-5	12-12-13	West 168 <sup>th</sup> St. Station	Tension	4800	0.014	No Signs of Any
A-6	12-12-13	West 168 <sup>th</sup> St. Station	Tension	4800	0.015	No Signs of Any



# CALIBRATION CHART

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED: DATED: June 17, 2013 EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED WIKA 10,000 psi Gauge Serial No.23040 ENERPAC 20Ton Center Hole Jack Serial No. 001

EQUIPMENT USED: Test Mark CM-600-iD Compression Machine Serial No. 120819 Calibrated: January 17, 2013

RESULTS	
Gauge Reading (psi)	Load (lbs)
100	600
250	1200
300	1400
400	1900
500	2300
600	2500
650	2800
700	3000
800	3500
900	4300
1000	4650
1100	4800
1300	5600
1400	6400
1800	9000
2000	9500
2200	10.000
2500	11.500
2600	12,000
3000	14.100
3500	16.400
4100	19,200
4500	21,500
5000	23,500
5200	24,000
6000	28,500
6500	30,000
7000	32,500
8000	37,500
9000	42,500
10,000	47 000
	47,000

### 52 East 2<sup>nd</sup> Street, Mineola, NY 11501



### TYPICAL TEST SET UP W168th St. STATION # A-5



#### TYPICAL TEST SET UP W168th St. STATION # A-6



Future Tech Consultants of New York, Inc. Tel: (516) 355-0168



### TYPICAL TEST SET UP W181st St. STATION # A-5



### TYPICAL TEST SET UP W181st St. STATION # A-6



Future Tech Consultants of New York, Inc Tel: (516) 355-0168

Fax: (516) 355-0127





Chicago Dial Indicator Co. 1372 Redeker Road Des Plaines, IL 60016 ISO Registered Firm

# Factory Certificate of Calibration



Measuring Position [inch]



Phone: 847-827-7186 Fax: 847-827-0478 Website: www.dlalindicator.com

0

Date Put into service date, provided by end user

Signature

2





### TYPICAL TEST SET UP W 168<sup>TH</sup> ST. STATION # GROUP A



TYPICAL TEST SET UP W 168<sup>TH</sup> ST. STATION # GROUP A - RETEST





### TYPICAL TEST SET UP W 181<sup>ST</sup> ST. STATION # GROUP A








Chicago Dial Indicator Co. 1372 Redeker Road Des Plaines, IL 6001/6 ISO Registered Firm

### Factory Certificate of Calibration



Repeatability is taken at three positions, with five readings at each position.

Phone: 847-827-7155 Fax: 847-827-0478 Website: www.dialinclicator.com

Date\_\_\_\_\_ Put into service date, provided by end user

Signature Alcaste



Chicago Dial Indicator Co. 1372 Redekter Road Des Plaines, IL 60016 ISO Registered Firm

Signaturer 201

### Factory Certificate of Calibration







Repeatability is taken at three positions, with five readings at each position.

Phone: 847-827-7186 Fax: 847-827-0478 Website: www.dialindicator.com

Darie

Put into service date, provided by end user



December 20, 2013

Admiral Construction LLC 92 Magnolia Avenue Westbury, NY 11590

ATTN: Jose Caruncho

FTC# ADMR113

RE: MTA Arch Repairs W168th St & W 181st St. Stations New York City Anchor Testing Program

Dear Mr. Caruncho,

As requested by Weidlinger Associates, Structural Engineers anchor tension testing was performed at the above referenced project. The purpose of the testing was to verify the structural integrity of type "D" 5/8 "diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors embedded twelve-inches (12") into the existing subway tunnel brick arch substrate.

The following report includes the procedures, results, calibration chart, and pictures of the testing.

If you should have any questions concerning this report, please contact our office.

Otto-Garcia

Forensic Investigations Manager

Stephen S. Marchese, P.E., FACI President



----

December 20, 2013 MTA Arch Repairs W 168th St & W 181st St. Stations Anchor Testing Program

### ANCHOR TESTING PROGRAM

### INTRODUCTON

On December 5, 6, 9, 11, 2013, the above referenced project was visited to perform tension testing on type "D" 5/8" diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors. The type "D" 5/8" diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors were embedded twelve-inches (12") into the existing subway tunnel brick arch substrate. The above anchor testing was performed as indicated in Specification Section 3BB, Part 3/3.1 – Pre-Installed Anchor Testing Program.

The purpose of the testing is to perform pull tests to determine the structural integrity of the grouted anchors intention for compliance to design criteria. A test load of 5600 pounds and maximum displacement reading of 0.125 was utilized as directed by Weidlinger Associates, for testing of anchors.

Witnesses for the testing were Rehan Gulzar of Cinalta Construction, Michael Ferrell of Cintec North America, and Shahzad Hassan of Weidlinger Associates.

### PROCEDURE

To perform the tests, a calibrated center hole hydraulic jack and gauge were utilized. To attach the hydraulic jack to the anchors, a threaded rod and coupling was utilized, supported by a steel test fixture. To measure displacement of an anchor an extensometer (dial gauge) measuring to 0.001" was utilized.

The test began by first attaching the threaded rod and test assembly to the anchor. The jack was attached by utilizing the threaded rod and coupling. The load was applied slowly until the test load was achieved or failure occurred. If the test load was achieved, the load was maintained for duration of ten (10) minutes and at this time displacement readings are taken and then the load is released. The area surrounding the anchor is then inspected for signs of distress and/or cracking. The above test procedure and test set up were performed as referenced in ASTM E488-96.

### CONCLUSION

A total of twelve (12) type "D" 5/8" diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors were tested. Six (6) tests were performed at the W 168th St. station and six (6) tests at the W 181st St. station. All twelve (12) type "D" 5/8" diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors tested did maintain the test load of 5600 pounds provided with minimal displacement for the duration of ten (10) minutes without any signs of distress and/or cracking during the testing procedure. The results of these tests are on the following chart.



December 20, 2013 MTA Arch Repairs W 168th St & W 181st St. Stations New York City Anchor Testing Program

### ANCHOR TESTING PROGRAM RESULTS

ANCHOR NO.	DATE TESTED	ANCHOR LOCATION	TEST TYPE	TEST LOAD (LBS)	DIAL GAUGE (INCHES)	ANCHOR
D-1	12-05-13	West 168 <sup>th</sup> St. Station	Tension	5600	0.040	No Signs of Any
D-2	12-05-13	West 168 <sup>th</sup> St. Station	Tension	5600	0.045	No Signs of Any
D-3	12-06-13	West 168 <sup>th</sup> St. Station	Tension	5600	0.040	No Signs of Any
D-4	12-06-13	West 168 <sup>th</sup> St. Station	Tension	5600	0.030	No Signs of Any
D-5	12-09-13	West 168 <sup>th</sup> St. Station	Tension	5600	0.025	No Signs of Any
D-6	12-09-13	West 168 <sup>th</sup> St. Station	Tension	5600	0.056	No Signs of Any
D-1	12-11-13	West 181 <sup>st</sup> St. Station	Tension	5600	0.020	No Signs of Any
D-2	12-11-13	West 181 <sup>st</sup> St. Station	Tension	5600	0.015	No Signs of Any
D-3	12-11-13	West 181 <sup>st</sup> St. Station	Tension	5600	0.017	No Signs of Any
D-4	12-11-13	West 181 <sup>st</sup> St. Station	Tension	5600	0.018	No Signs of Any
D-5	12-11-13	West 181 <sup>st</sup> St. Station	Tension	5600	0.022	No Signs of Any
D-6	12-11-13	West 181 <sup>st</sup> St. Station	Tension	5600	0.014	No Signs of Any

France free Consultants of New York, Inc.



### CALIBRATION CHART

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED: DATED: June 17, 2013 EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED WIKA 10,000 psi Gauge Serial No.23040 ENERPAC 20Ton Center Hole Jack Serial No. 001

EQUIPMENT USED: Test Mark CM-600-iD Compression Machine Serial No. 120819 Calibrated: January 17, 2013

RESULTS	5. C
Gauge Reading (psi)	Load (lbs)
100	600
250	1200
300	1400
400	1900
500	2300
600	2500
650	2800
700	3000
800	3500
900	4300
1000	4650
1100	4800
1300	5600
1400	6400
1800	9000
2000	9500
2200	10.000
2500	11,500
2600	12,000
3000	14 100
3500	16,400
4100	19 200
4500	21,500
5000	23,500
5200	24,000
6000	28 500
6500	30,000
7000	32 500
8000	37 500
9000	17,500
10,000	47 000
	-9/-1/011



### TYPICAL TEST SET UP W168th St. STATION # D-2



### TYPICAL TEST SET UP W168th St. STATION # D-3





TYPICAL TEST SET UP W181st St. STATION # D-2



### TYPICAL TEST SET UP W181st St. STATION # D-3



Future Tech Consultants of New York, Inc. Tel: (516) 355-0168

Fax: (516) 355-0127





Chicago Dial Indicator Co. 1372 Redeker Road Des Plaines, IL 60016 ISO Registered Firm

### Factory Certificate of Calibration

Model No.	26204CJ		Name of Insp	ection Standard	CDIS	CDI Standard .001/2.0*	
Seriel No.	133907985		Unit		inch	inch	
Cardifferente Ma			Scale Interval		0.001 inch		
Ceruncaus No.	34578		Measuring Re	nge 2 ind		1	
			Reference Po	int	0 inct		
N.I.S. 1. NO. 621726879	N.I.S.T. No. 621/268795-03		End Point		2 inch	2 inch	
Inspection Item Name		Res	Result Permissibi		atue	Judgment	
First 2-1/3 Revolutions		+0.0	+0.0005982 inch		±0.001 inch		
First 10 Revolutions		+0.0005982 inch		±0.002 inch	-	GO	
First 20 Revolutions		+0.0005982 inch		±0.004 inch		GO	
Mysterasts.						N/A	
Repeatability		-0.00	-0.000089 inch			GO	
Inspection Item Name			Judgment				
Inspection of Function an	d Appearance		GO				





Repeatability is taken at three positions, with five readings at each position.

Phone: 847-827-7186 Fax: 847-827-0478

Website: www.dialindicator.com

Date\_\_\_\_\_ Put into service date, provided by end user

Mond C Signature





December 19, 2013

Admiral Construction LLC 92 Magnolia Avenue Westbury, NY 11590

ATTN: Jose Caruncho

FTC# ADMR113

RE: MTA Arch Repairs W 168th St & W181st St. Stations New York City Anchor Testing Program

Dear Mr. Caruncho,

As requested by Weidlinger Associates, Structural Engineers anchor tension testing was performed at the above referenced project. The purpose of the testing was to verify the structural integrity of Group Type "A" 5/8 "diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors embedded twelve (12") into the existing subway tunnel brick arch substrate.

The following report includes the procedures, results, calibration chart, and pictures of the testing.

If you should have any questions concerning this report, please contact our office.

Garcia

Forensic Investigations Manager

Stephen S. Marchese, P.E., FACI President



-----

December 19, 2013 MTA Arch Repairs W168th St & W 181st St. Stations Anchor Testing Program

### ANCHOR TESTING PROGRAM

### INTRODUCTON

On December 5, 11, 12, 2013, the above referenced project was visited to perform tension testing on Group Type "A" 5/8" diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors. The Group Type "A" 5/8" diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors were embedded twelve-inches (12") into the existing subway tunnel brick arch substrate. The above anchor testing was performed as indicated in Specification Section 3BB, Part 3/3.1 – Pre-Installed Anchor Testing Program.

The purpose of the testing is to perform group pull tests to determine the structural integrity of the grouted anchors intention for compliance to design criteria. A test load of 19.200 pounds for Group Type "A" anchors and maximum displacement reading of 0.125 was utilized as directed by Weidlinger Associates, for testing of anchors.

Witnesses for the testing were Rehan Gulzar of Cinalta Construction, Michael Ferrell of Cintec North America, and Shahzad Hassan of Weidlinger Associates.

### PROCEDURE

To perform the tests, a calibrated center hole hydraulic jack and gauge were utilized. To attach the hydraulic jack to the group anchors, a threaded rod, coupling, shackle and steel test plate were utilized, supported by a steel test fixture. To measure displacement of an anchor an extensometer (dial gauge) measuring to 0.001" was utilized.

The test began by first attaching the threaded rod and test assembly to the group anchors. The jack was attached by utilizing the threaded rod, coupling, shackle and steel test plate. The load was applied slowly until the test load was achieved or failure occurred. If the test load was achieved, the load was maintained for a duration of ten (10) minutes and at this time displacement readings are taken and then the load is released. The area surrounding the group anchors is then inspected for signs of distress and/or cracking. The above test procedure and test set up were performed as referenced in ASTM E488-96.



December 19, 2013 MTA Arch Repairs W168th St & W181st St. Stations New York City Anchor Testing Program

### CONCLUSION

A total of three (3) group, four (4) anchors each, type "A" 5/8 "diameter undercut grouted stainless steel anchors were tested. Two (2) group tests were performed at the W 168th St. Station and one group test at the W 181st St. station. The group test at W 181st St. Station maintained the required test load of 19,200 pounds without any signs of distress and/or cracking during the testing procedure. Anchor A-2 of the group test at W 168th St. station did not maintain the test load during the testing procedures. Two (2) additional anchors were installed adjacent anchors A-1 and A-3 to perform a re-test of the group anchors. The re-test of the group anchors did maintain the required test load of 19,200 pounds provided with minimal displacement for the duration of ten (10) minutes without any signs of distress and/or cracking during the testing procedure. The results of these tests are on the following chart.

ANCHOR NO.	DATE TESTED	ANCHOR LOCATION	TEST TYPE	TEST LOAD (LBS)	DIAL GAUGE (INCHES)	ANCHOR CONDITION
A-1,A-2, A-3,A-4	12-05-13	West 168 <sup>th</sup> St. Station	Tension	16,000	D.G.1=0.340	A-2 Failed
A-1,A-2r A-3,A-4r	12-11-13	West 181 <sup>st</sup> St. Station	Tension	19,200	D.G.1=0.018	No Signs of
A-1,A-2, A-3,A-4	12-12-13	West 168 <sup>th</sup> St. Station	Tension	19,200	D.G.1=0.019	No Signs of

### ANCHOR TESTING PROGRAM RESULTS

REMARKS: Test Plate at W168th St. Station – 12"x16" Test Plate at W181st St. Station – 11"x11"



### CALIBRATION CHART

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED: DATED: June 17, 2013 EQUIPMENT CALIBRATED WIKA 10,000 psi Gauge Serial No.23040 ENERPAC 20Ton Center Hole Jack Serial No. 001

EQUIPMENT USED: Test Mark CM-600-iD Compression Machine Serial No. 120819 Calibrated: January 17, 2013

RES	U	L	T	S
		0	7	7

Gauge Reading (psi)	Load (lbs)
100	600
250	1200
300	1400
400	1900
500	2300
600	2500
650	2800
700	3000
800	3500
900	4300
1000	4500
1100	4800
1300	5600
1400	6400
1800	0400
2000	9000
2200	10 000
2500	11,000
2600	11,500
3000	12,000
3500	14,100
4100	10,400
4500	19,200
5000	21,500
5200	23,500
6000	24,000
6500	28,500
7000	30,000
8000	32,500
0000	37,500
10,000	42,500
10,000	47,000



### Pull Out Tests for Cintec Anchors carried out on the EMPIRE STATE BUILDING, NEW YORK



The Cintec Anchors were installed on December 8<sup>th</sup>, 1994 at the 6<sup>th</sup> floor elevation by David Aston from CLS Cintec America in the presence of Mr. R. Wagner LZA Technology and Mr. A. Destefano of Empire National. ½"

OD RAC anchors were used being of different lengths.

Type 1 overall length 3  $\frac{3}{2}$ " socked 2  $\frac{3}{4}$ " for testing in 4" thick limestone panels.

Type 2 overall length 7  $\frac{3}{4}$ " socked 6  $\frac{3}{4}$ " for testing in 8" thick limestone panels.

Type 3 overall length  $11 \frac{3}{2}$  socked from the rear for testing the brick back up wall.

Testing was carried out 8 days after installation by David Aston, in the presence of Mr. R. Wagner using a Mark 1V Hilti Test Meter Serial No. 01632.

The loads achieved are set out on the test date result sheet overleaf. It should be noted at the achieved loads there were no failures or any visible damage to the areas surrounding the test anchors.

Telephone Americas : Canada +1 613 225 3381 + USA 1 800 363 6066 Europe +44 (0) 1633 246614 + Australasia +61 (0) 2 4929 4841 E mail <u>solutions@cintec.com</u> + <u>http://www.cintec.com</u>



|--|

LZA TECHNOLOGY 641 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK 11001 YN

### TEST ANCHORS & RESULTS

Date: 16/12/94

SITE ADDRESS	
EMPIRE STATE BUILDIN	0
STH AVENUE	
NEW YORK	

TIME	TYPE OF ANCHOR	LOAD REQUIRED	LOAD ACHEIVED	BASE MATERIAL	STOCK IMBEDMENT	STOCK DIAMETER	PULLOUT
	%" Solid Bar	1000lbs	3200lbs	STONE	2 34"	1	10 MINS
1.1.1	34" Solid Bar	1000lbs	2800Ibs	STONE	2 34"	1"	SNIM 01
	34" Solid Bar	1000lbs	32001bs	STONE	6 3/"	11	CUTTAT OT
-	W. Colid Bar	1 DOULLS	21 COIL-	ANDIG	4 0	1	CNITIMI CT
+		SULUTION I	SUIUCIC	BKICK	6"	17	10 MINS
-	3%" Solid Bar	1000lbs	3000lbs	BRICK	9		10 MINIS

POSITION	PHONE NUMBER
Contraction for the second sec	
SENIOR PROJECT DIRECTOR	012 147 210
	00CT 11/ 717
0	POSITION ENIOR PROJECT DIRECTOR

COMMENTS

It should be noted that at the achieved loads no failure of the anchors was observed, in addition there was no visible damage to the areas surrounding the test anchors.

Kaleit

SIGNED CLI HOJO . Yee.

CLS CINTEC CANADA LTD. 38 Auriga Drive, Suite 200 Nepean, Ont. K2E 8A5 613-225-3381

SIGNED WWAY FOR & ON BEHALI DE LZA TECHNOLOGY POSITION:- SEMOR PROJECT DIRECTOR

### **CINTEC ANCHOR TESTING**

Union County Court House Elizabeth New Jersey USA

### TYPE: SHEAR LOAD TESTS FOR MASONRY AND TERRA-COTTA

BY:

### TESTWELL LABORATORIES AND SIMPSON GUMBERTZ AND HEGER (JULY 1999)



ORATORIES INC

Corporate Headqua 47 Hudson S Ossining N Y 1(

Tel (914) 762-5 Fax (914) 762-5 Environmental Fax, (914) 541-

### ENGINEER'S REPORT

Client: CINTEC AMERICA INC. Project: Union Country Courthouse 2 Broad St. Elizabeth, NJ Engineer: K. R. Thumma, P.E. Technicians: G. Ramkaran, A. Uzhca, C. Suarez, C. Clark

Lab #: MIA-001 Report#: MC-01 Date Of Testing: 7/21/99

Page 1 of 12

Re: Load Tests on Wall Anchors.

### INTRODUCTION

This report presents results of load tests wall anchors performed by Testwell Laboratories, Inc. on 7/21/99 at the above referred project site. Eight anchors, six of type HSS 15mm X 15mm and two of type RACCHS 10mm, 3/8" dia., were tested. These anchors were installed by the client in a brick masonry wall prior to arrival of personnel of Testwell Laboratories, Inc., at the job site Mr Robert Lloyd-Rees of CLS CINTEC AMERICA INC. and Mr. Mark Berman (part of the tests) of Michael Zemsky Architects witnessed the tests. The locations of anchors tested are shown in Figure 1.

### TEST PROCEDURE

Two types of tests were performed. (1) Sheer Load Test and (2) Pull out load tests.

Sheer load tests were performed by hanging 50 lb. weights as shown in Figure 2 and Photos 1 and 2. Each weight of 50 lbs. was added slowly one by one to the trolley hung from the anchor with a steel strand. Shear tests were performed on anchors A, B and C.

Pull out load tests on anchors D, E and F were performed by using a hydraulic jack setup shown in Figure 3 and Photos 3 and 4. This setup includes a hydraulic pump, a hydraulic jack, a pressure gauge, a valve, hoses and a threaded rod. The jack is supported on steel frame resting on the brick wall and around the anchor. The anchor is connected to the jack with a box coupling and threaded bar. When the pump is operated, the pressure of hydraulic fluid increases and load is applied on the piston of the jack. The pressure of the hydraulic fluid is measured by the pressure gauge. The gauge pressure indication in psi is calibrated to the load applied on jack and consequently on the anchor.

Pullout tests on the anchors G and H were performed by using a HILTI Mark V tester shown in Photo 5. This equipment was furnished by the client.

CINTEC AMERICA, INC. LAB# MIA-001, Report # MC-01. Page 2 of 12

### TEST RESULTS

Table 1 shows all the results of load tests performed on the anchors indicating type of anchor, test apparatus used and the load applied along with observations on the behavior of anchors as the load is applied to different levels. The locations of the anchors on the brick wall are shown in Figure 1.

### **TESTWELL LABORATORIES, INC.**

Kaspal R. Thumma, Eng. Sc. D, P.E. Vice President KRT/SK



CINTEC AMERICA, INC LAB# MIA-001, Report #MC-01 Page 3 of 12

Test No.	Type of Test	Anchor Tested	Method of Testing	Load Applied (lbs)	Observations
1	Shear Load at 2" away from wall	С	Hanging Weights	900	Loading tackle slipped bending lever arm
2	Shear Load at 2" away from wall	В	Hanging weights	1000 1150	Started deflection of lever arm Deflection increased. Test stopped
3	Shear Load at 2" away from wall	A & B together	Hanging weights	2050	No signs of failure or bending. Test stopped
4	Shear Load at 2" away from wall	A	Hanging weights	1700	Started bending Test stopped.
5	Shear Load at 2" away from wall	В	Hanging weights	1500 2050	Started bending. Bent by 1" at load line. Test stopped.
6	Pull out Test	E	Hydraulic Jack	1900 2500	Anchor started slipping out of wall Anchor slipped out of wall chipping brick around it. Maximum load.

•

Table 1: Results of Load Tests Performed on CINTEC Anchors

CINTEC AMERICA, INC. LAB# MIA-001, Report # MC-01, Page 4 of 12

Test No.	Type of Test	Anchor Tested	Method of Testing	Load Applied (lbs)	Observations
7	Pull out Test	F	Hydraulic Jack	2200 2800	Anchor started slipping out of wall Anchor slipped out of wall. Maximum load.
8	Pull out Tests	D	Hydraulic Jack	1900 2200	Anchor Started slipping out of wall Anchor slipped out of wall. Maximum load.
9	Pull out Tests	G	HILTI MARK V	2900 3300	Anchor started slipping out of wall Anchor slipped out of wall. Maximum load.
10	Pull out Tests	Н	HILTI MARK V	3200 4000	Anchor started slipping out of wall. Maximum load Anchor slipped out of wall. Maximum load.

1

CINTEC AMERICA, INC. LAB# MIA-001, Report # MC-01, Page 5 of 12



Anchor Types: A, B, C, D, E, F - HSS 15mmX15mm, 6" embedment G, H - RAC CHS 10mm, 3/8" Dia, 7" embedment

Figure 1: Locations of Test Anchors



### **TWELL LABORATORIES, INC.**

CINTEC AMERICA, INC. LAB# MIA-001, Report # MC-01, Page 7 of 12



HYDRAULIC EQUIPMENT SETUP

Figure 3

CINTEC AMERICA. INC LAB# MIA-001, Report # MC-01, Page 8 of 12



PHOTO 1

Setup for Shear Load Testing a Single Anchor

CINTEC AMERICA, INC. LAB# MIA-001, Report # MC-01, Page 9 of 12



Setup for Shear Load Testing of Two Anchors Together



Simpson Gumpertz & Heger Inc. Consulting Engineers

Arlington MA / San Francisco ,A

9 August 1999

Mr. Robert Lloyd-Rees Cintec 38 Auriga Drive, Suite 200 Nepean, Ontario K2E8A5 CANADA

Comm. 99331 - Testing of Anchors in Terra Cotta, Union County Courthouse, NJ

Dear Mr. Lloyd-Rees:

This letter report summarizes the results of our tests of the Cintec anchors in two terra-cotta blocks you submitted for the Union County Courthouse

### 1. Pull-out Tests

We tested four anchors in pull-out. We loaded the anchors with a pull-out testing machine with supports located outside of the expected failure cone of the anchor The following are the results of the pull-out tests.

### Test 1

Nearly simultaneous cone failure and flexural failure of the block at a load of 4047 pounds. Deflection was not measured.

### Test 2

Flexural failure of the block at a load of 3035 pounds and a deflection of 0.0646 inches.

### Test 3

Cone failure of the block at a load of 4384 pounds and a deflection of 0.0787 inches.

### Test 4

Pull-out failure of the anchor in the grout bulb in the block at a load of 3878 pounds and a deflection of 0.0882 inches.

### Mr. Robert Lloyd-Rees - Comm. 99331 - 2 -

### 2. Shear Test

We tested one set of anchors in shear. We set the two anchors in the bottom cell of the block into grout filled core holes in a concrete substrate. The block was separated from the concrete substrate by a 1 inch cavity. We loaded the top of the block with a hydraulic jack through a wide flange beam to spread the jack load across the entire width of the block. We restrained the top of the block against rotation with a 3/16 in. x 1 ½ in. x 1 ½ in. angle spanning across the exterior face of the block. We stopped the test at a load of 6144 pounds and deflection of 0.116 inches at the bottom of the block because the top of the block had rotated approximately ½ in.

Sincerely yours,

2ulu

Michael L. Brainerd, Principal MLB69-99.ras

### CINTEC ANCHOR TESTING

The Mission San Juan Capistrano Sanctuary Walls California

ENGINEER: THE ROSELUND ENGINEERING COMPANY ROSEMEAD, CALIFORNIA

TESTING ENGINEERS: TWINING LABORATORIES OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA





Wednesday, January 16, 2002 5:22 PM

Nels Roselund 6265732572



### Twining Laboratories of Southern California, Inc.

Corporate Office: 3310 Airport Way, Long Beach, CA 90806 Mail: P.O. Box 47, 90801 • Phone: (362) 425-3355 • Fac: (362) 426-3355 • Fac: (362) 426-3555 • Fa

LOAD TEST REPORT ON CINTEC GROUTED ANCHORS

DATE:	FEBRUARY 7, 2001
PROJECT NO:	2001-5037
TLSC NO:	
CLIENT:	MISSION SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO ATTN: GERALD MILLER, ADMINISTRATOR PO BOX 697 SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO, CA 92693
PROJECT:	STABILIZATION OF THE STONE WALLS OF THE GREAT STONE CHURCH MISSION SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO, CA
DATE OF TEST:	FEBRUARY 5, 2001
PERFORMED BY:	EUGENE RAYMUNDO, STAFF ENGINEER

Transmitted herewith is the Load Test Program for Cintec Grouted Anchors of the Old Stone Church at Mission San Juan Capistrano. This test program is in accordance to the Roselund Engineering Company Test Program of Cintec Grouted Anchors. This report contains Testing Requirements, Calibration Chart (Aluminum Duel Ram), Load Deformation Curves, and Photographs.

### SUBMITTED BY: TWINING LABORATORIES OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC

Prepared by:

Eugene Raymundo Staff Eogineer

Reviewed by:

Elmer D. Marapao, PE **Civil Engineer** 

T:\Gene2000\WORD\REPORT\20015037.doc

Created on 02/06/01 15:39

ALL REPORTS REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF TWINING LABORATORIES OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC. AUTHORIZATION FOR PUBLICATION OF OUR REPORTS, CONCLUSIONS, OR EXTRACTS FROM OR REGARDING THEM IS RESERVED PENDING OUR WRITTEN APPROVAL AS A MUTUAL PROTECTION TO CLIENTS, THE PUBLIC AND OURSELVES, Wednesday, January 16, 2002 5:22 PM

### TEST REPORT ON CINTEC GROUTED ANCHORS OLD STONE CHURCH, MISSION SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO

### **Purpose of Test**

Twining Laboratories conducted a pull test program of the Cintec Grouted Anchors in the walls of the Old Stone Church, San Juan Capistrano to observe, evaluate, and tension-test of the anchors in order to establish the suitability of the anchors for bonding of the inner and outer wythes of the stone walls to prevent internal separation in the walls.

### Location of Tests & Test Setup.

A total of thirteen (13) anchors were installed by Cintec by drilling with a 1 ½" diameter dry-diamond core drill and inserting a Stainless steel pins with a fabric grout sock, then a Presstec cementitious grout was applied by Cintec. Grout was allowed to cure undisturbed for a minimum of 64 hours prior to testing.

Two test assembly were used during the test program: 1). Hilti Mark V Tester Kit or 2). Aluminum Dual Piston Ram by Twining Laboratories with calibrated Pump and Gauge. A digital strain gauge (0.0001") Mitutuyo displacement gauge was installed into the 5/16" threaded adapter to record displacement of the stainless steel pins. Each test location was marked and selected by Mr. Nel Roselund of Roselund Engineering Company. A preload of 200 lbs. was applied prior to actual load testing at 200 lbs. increments. Visual observation was performed at each 200 lbs. increments to observe any pin movement, or mortar crumbling.

### Test Results and Photographs

(Individual Test Data, Load Deformation Curves, and Photographs are enclosed)

### Modification

During the actual testing, weld failure at the coupler were encountered on Test #2 (3000 lbs.) and Test # 4 (1800 lbs.), we recommended to remove the displacement gauge at a load of 3000 lbs. or any sign of weld failure to avoid any damage of the electronic displacement gauge. Further visual observation will be performed as we continue applying the load to a maximum of 3400 lbs.

T:\Gene2000\WORD\REPORT\20015037.doc Created on 02/06/01 15:39 •

p.05

# PROJECT: GREAT STONE CHURCH, SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO

## TEST DATA FOR CINTEC GROUTED ANCHORS

lbs GREAT S' UYO SAN JILA						2		F	sp	, nues 7 P	anor	A Pr	2	2	2	-	2		0	0 0.1	Displacem
Anchors 1 Spec. Load 1900 UGE MITUT	ATION	track.	displacement gauge					in dirated analy	IL NIGHT SOCK						to weld falling.	pe					
1 No. of Anchors 1   DUAL RAM-TLSC Max. Spec. Load 1900   02/05/01 D. GAUGE MITUT	OBSERVATION	Displacement sensor mounted on track.	Pretoad, release load and zero the displacement gauge					sleeve anchor beginging to all from grout south	XDOS 10010 tions dies of British						displacement gauge removed prior to weld falling	maximum load, prior to release of load					
DUAL RAM-TLSC Max. Spec. Load 1900 02/05/01 D. GAUGE MITUT	Measured* Displacement OBSERVATION	0.0000 Displacement sensor mounted on track.	0.0000 Preload, release load and zero the displacement gauge 0.0085	0.0350	0.0515	0.0675	0.0815	0.1045 sleeve anchor beginning to all from mouth and	0.1200	0.1465	0.1680	0.1960	0.2480	0.2910	0.3305 displacement gauge removed prior to weld fallives	maximum load, prior to release of load				T	T
Applied Load 2500 Applied Load 2000 250 250 250 200 250 200 250 200 250 25	Max. Spec. Load 1900 lbs	Uisp. Gauge MITUTUYO	OBSERVATION	sor mounted on track.	bad and zero the displacement gauge	2500 -		2000 -	Deginning to slip from grout sock	50.	150	gauge removed orbit to wald failure	qA		d, prior to release of load		200 +		+ 0	0	
---	--------------------------	----------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------------------	--------	--	--------	-----------------------------------	-----	-----	-------------------------------------	----	---	-----------------------------	--	-------	--	-----	---------	-----------
	GREAT STONE CHU	SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO							\ \					0	-					0.1 0.2	Clearland

.

p.10

PROJECT: GREAT STONE CHURCH, SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO

# TEST DATA FOR CINTEC GROUTED ANCHORS

a. of Anchors 1 ax Spec. Load 1900 lbs SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO sp. Gauge MITUTUYO	ERVATION 2500	Ited on track.	ero the displacement gauge		0002		A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	slin from recent and		BO		ise of load	A 1000		enn et		0	0 0.1 0.2
DUAL RAM-TLSC N 02/05/01 E	8	Displacement sensor mou	Preload, release load and					sleeve anchor beginning tr			displacement gauge remov	maximum load, prior to rele						
No.	Measured*	0.0000	0.0000	0.0315	0.0535	0.0755	0.0915	0.1160	0.1460	0.1845	0.2630							
NO															 			

|--|

.

11		Acasured* splacement	0.0000 Disc	D.0000 Prei	0.0030	0.0055	0.0080	0.0130	0.0195	0.0250	0.0315	0.0390	0.0555	0.0880	0.1200 sleev	0.2730	displa		maxin	T	T				T
HILTI Max. Spec. Load 1900 fbs	V20301 Disp. Gauge MITUTUYC	OBSERVATION	acement sensor mounted on to a	ad, release load and zero the diminimum.	and and an										anchor beginning to ello from and	YOU BUILDING THE STATE	ement dating remained extension in	and a fine to weld tailure.	um load, prior lo release of load						
1		3500			3000			2500			P	0002 000	7.0	) eile	1500	1		1	mo		200	*		0	- 0
GREAT STONE CL	SAN JUAN CAPISTI									-	2														0.1
	RANO			1		T			V		1		-			t		$\left  \right $	+			$\frac{1}{1}$	1	-	

h,

SET L	ġ.	нит	Max. Spec. Load	1900 lbs	1		GRE	AT STONE C	HURCH	
		02/05/01	Disp. Gauge	MITUTUYO			SAN	N JUAN CAPIS	TRANO	
plied d, lbs.	Measured* Displacement		OBSERVATION		7 2500					
0	0.0000	Displacement served	It motinted on tract							
00	0.0000	Preload, release ha	d and zero the disclose							
00	0.0070			alisant france				-		
00	0.0120				2000					
00	0.0150									
DO	0.0265									
00	0.1190	sleeve anchor begin	ning to slip from provide	Acces						
8		displacement nation	Temored prior to work	SUCK						
00		-Poppa	DIBM OI ININ PRANT	Talture.	-0 1500					
00					B0.					
00					7 P					
00		maximum load, prior	to release of load		əliqo		1			
					A 1000	V				
T						1				
T										
T										T
						•				
					me					T
						1	-			
1										T
1							_			11
T					0		-			1
T							0.1	0.2		0.3
							Dien	and the second		

ľ

	5
--	---

ſ

## TEST DATA EOP CIN

ſ

PROJECT: GREAT STONE CHURCH, SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO

TEST DATA FOR CINTEC GROUTED ANCHORS

0.3 GREAT STONE CHURCH SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO Displacement (Inches) 0.2 0.1 0 3000 2500 2000 1000 1500 200 0 beod beilqqA MITUTUYO 1900 lbs -Max. Spec. Loac sleeve anchors appears to slip at this load. No. of Anchors Disp. Gauge OBSERVATION maximum load, prior to release of load crumbling of mortar at this load HILTI 02/05/01 4 Preload Measured\* Displacement TEST LOCATION No. TEST SET UP: Applied Load, Ibs. 400 800 800 1000 1400 1600 1800 2000 2400 200 2600 2600 3000 0 DATE

2. Visual observation only, first movement of the sleave anchor was observed at 1800 fbs., when mortar around the grout sock begins to crack and crumble to pleces. 1. No displacement gauge was set up at this lest location. Mortar around the test anchor cannot hold the magnetic displacement gauge bracket.

Note:

Wednesday, January 16, 2002 5:22 PM







TEST 9

TEST 10





the ROSELUND ENGINEERING COMPANY 626-573-2441

Client: Mission San Juan Capistrano Project: Stabilization of the Stone Walls of the Great Stone Church Inner and Outer Stone Wythe Bonding by Pinning

Sheet 1 of 2 4/11/01by Nels Job 00-107

## Sequence and Procedure - Test Program of Cintec Grouted All-Thread Anchors

### A. Objective

The objective of this Test Program is to observe, evaluate and tension-test Cintec Grouted Anchors in the walls of the Old Stone Church in order to establish the suitability of the Anchors for bonding of the inner and outer wythes of the stone walls to prevent internal separation in the walls. Internal separations may occur due to:

1. Internal shear stresses as the wall rocks on the base of a wythe, its edge acting as a fulcrum so that the weight of the wall is supported by internal vertical shear stresses.

2. Seismic horizontal acceleration of an inner or outer wythe normal to the plane of the wall.

The Anchors will be judged suitable provided

1. They can be demonstrated to be reliably installed,

2. Anchors with a 12 " embedment in stone, in mortar, or in a combination of stone and mortar have

tension Anchor capacity with a design strength of 1,900 pounds as determined in Section H., below.

3. No unanticipated disadvantages are observed during the Test Program.

### B. Materials

1. Grout: Presstec Cementitious Injection Grout supplied by Cintec in 25kg bags.

2. Stainless steel pins: 1 diameter 316 stainless steel with fabric grout-confinement sock, by Cintec.

3. Water: Clean, potable water from domestic supply.

### C. Equipment

1. Drill: 14" diameter dry-diamond core-drill bit - no impact or vibratory force shall be used on bit.

2. Grouting Equipment: Comply with <u>Equipment Required</u> for the Installation of the Cintec Anchoring <u>System</u>, a Cintec document.

### 3. Test equipment:

<u>Jack</u>: center-pull hydraulic jack with hand-operated hydraulic pump, and digital-reading pressure gauge. The jack/pump/gauge apparatus shall have been calibrated within the preceding 180 days and shall be provided with a chart or other means to allow on-site determination of test loads during the test procedure (submit calibration record).

<u>Bridge</u>: tripod bridge that applies the jack reactions to the wall at 3 spots, a minimum of 12" from the center of the Anchor.

<u>Strain gauge</u>: dial or digital-reading strain gage capable of registering increments of 0.001 inch. <u>Adapter</u>: coupling nut or other device to connect Anchors to the center-pull jack.

### D. Anchor Locations for Tension Test

Tension-test Anchors. Layout locations of 12" depth Anchor installations at convenient locations about 24" to 30" above ground in a wall of the Old Stone Church. Six Anchors shall be installed in holes that enter the wall through a mortar joint; six shall be installed in holes that enter the wall in a stone. The anchors were installed on March 6, 2001.

### E. Testing

1. Install a strain gauge to measure the deflection of the Anchor. The strain gage shall be positioned to measure directly the displacement on the anchor along its centerline axis.

2. Install the center-pull jack and bridge to apply a direct tension load to the Anchor and that is

Jan 16 02 04:50p

Nels Roselund

6265732572

P.1



RECEIVED MAY 1 4 2001 Twining Laboratories of Southern California, One.

Corporate Office: 3310 Akport Way, Long Beach, CA 90906 Mail: P.O. Box 47, 90801 • Phone: (562) 428-3355 • Fax: (562) 428-8424 Orange County: 5942 Edinger Ave., Suite 113, P.M.B. 313, Huntington Beach, CA 92849 • Phone: (714) 980-0830 • Fax: (714) 980-0860 San Diego: 9235 Chesapeake Drive, Suite D, San Diego, CA 82121-1085 • Phone: (858) 974-3750 • Fax: (858) 974-3752

www.twininglabs.com

REPORT OF TEST 30th Street Architect 2821 Newport Beach Newport Beach, CA 92660 Attn: Accounts Payable TENSION TESTS FOR CINTEC WALL ANCHORS "STABILIZATION OF THE STONE" Job Name: Mission San Juan Capistrano REPORT DATE: May 2, 2001 TWINING LABORATORIES OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC.

> TEST REPORT NO. 01-9025 mike fatta? MIKE FATTAL DIVISION MANAGER SPECIAL PRODUCT TESTING DIVISION

ALL REPORTS REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF TWINING LABORATORIES OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC. AUTHORIZATION FOR PUBLICATION OF OUR REPORTS, CONCLUSIONS, OR EXTRACTS FROM OR REGARDING THEM IS RESERVED PENDING OUR WRITTEN APPROVAL AS A MUTUAL PROTECTION TO CLIENTS, THE PUBLIC AND OURSELVES, Jan 16 02 04:51p



## Twining Laboratories of Southern California, Inc.

Corporate Office: 3310 Airport Way, Long Beach, CA 90606 Mail: P.O. Box 47, 90601 • Phone: (562) 426-3355 • Fax: (562) 428-6424 Orange County: 5842 Edinger Ave., Suite 113, P.M.B. 313, Hundington Beach, CA 92649 • Phone: (714) 960-0830 • Fax: (714) 960-0860 Om Sen Diego: 9235 Chesapeake Drive, Suite D, San Diego, CA 92121-1085 • Phone: (858) 974-3750 • Fax: (858) 974-3752

www.twininglabs.com

MAY 2, 2001

PROJECT 98-9025

Page 5 of 14

### Test Results

Anchor #	3 (stone)
----------	-----------

Load (1bs)	Deflection (in)	Mode of Failure
0	0	No sign of failure
200	0	No sign of failure
400	0	No sign of failure
600	0	No sign of failure
800	0	No sign of failure
1,000	0	No sign of failure
1,200	0	No sign of failure
1,400	0	No sign of failure
1,600	0	No sign of failure
1,800	0	No sign of failure
2,000	0	No sign of failure
2,200	0	No sign of failure
2,400	0	No sign of failure
2,600	0	No sign of failure
2,800	0	No sign of failure
3,000	0	No sign of failure
3,000 (30 seconds)	0.0036	No sign of failure

ALL REPORTS REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF TWINING LABORATORIES OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC. AUTHORIZATION FOR PUBLICATION OF OUR REPORTS, CONCLUSIONS, OR EXTRACTS FROM OR REGARDING THEM IS RESERVED PENDING OUR WRITTEN APPROVAL AS A MUTUAL PROTECTION TO CLIENTS, THE PUBLIC AND OURSELVES. Jan 16 02 04:51p



Corporate Office: 3310 Airport Way, Long Beach, CA 90808 Mail: P.O. Box 47, 90801 • Phone: (562) 428-3355 • Fau: (562) 426-5424 Orange County: 5942 Edinger Ave., Suite 113, P.M.B. 313, Huntington Beach, CA 92649 • Phone: (714) 960-0830 • Fau: (714) 960-0860 San Diego: 9235 Chosapeake Drive, Suite D, San Diego, CA 92121-1085 • Phone: (858) 974-3750 • Fau: (858) 974-3752

TESTING www.twininglabs.com

MAY 2, 2001

PROJECT 98-9025

Page 6 of 14

### Test Results

Anchor	#	4	(stone)	ĺ
--------	---	---	---------	---

Load (lbs)	Deflection (in)	Mode of Failure
0	0	No sign of failure
200	0	No sign of failure
400	0	No sign of failure
600	0	No sign of failure
800	0	No sign of failure
1,000	0	No sign of failure
1,200	0	No sign of failure
1,400	0	No sign of failure
1,600	0	No sign of failure
1,800	0.0035	No sign of failure
2,000	0.0035	No sign of failure
2,200	0.0070	No sign of failure
2,400	0.0070	No sign of failure
2,600	0.0070	No sign of failure
2,800	0.0106	No sign of failure
3,000	0.0106	No sign of failure
3,000 (30 seconds)	0.0141	No sign of failure

P.6

Jan 16 02 04:51p

6265732572



Twining Laboratories of Southern California, Inc.

www.twininglabs.com

Corporate Office: 3310 Airport Way, Long Beach, CA 90606 Mail: P.O. Box 47, 90801 • Phone: (562) 428-3355 • Fax: (562) 428-6424 Orange County: 5842 Edinger Ava., Suite 113, P.M.B. 313, Huntington Beach, CA 92649 • Phone: (714) 960-0830 • Fax: (714) 960-0860 San Diego: 9235 Chesapeake Drive, Suite D, San Diego, CA 92121-1085 • Phone: (858) 974-3750 • Fax: (858) 974-3752

MAY 2, 2001

### PROJECT 98-9025 Page 7 of 14

### Test Results

5 (Mortar)

Load (1bs)	Deflection (in)	1
0	(In)	Mode of Failure
200	0	No sign of failure
400	0	No sign of failure
400	0	No sign of failure
600	0	No sign of fail
008	0	No sign of failure
1,000	0	No sign of failure
1,200	0	No sign of failure
1,400		No sign of failure
1,600		No sign of failure
1,800	0	No sign of failure
2,000	0	No sign of failure
2,000	0	No sign of fail
2,200	0	No sime 5 a
2,400	0.0036	No sign of failure
2,600	0.0036	No sign of failure
2,800	0.0036	No sign of failure
3.000	0.00071	No sign of failure
3.000 /30	0.0071	No sign of failure
(30 seconds)	0.0106	No sign of failure

ALL REPORTS REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF TWINING LABORATORIES OF SOUTHERN CALIFORMA, INC. AUTHORIZATION FOR PUBLICATION OF OUR REPORTS, CONCLUSIONS, OR EXTRACTS FROM OR REGARDING THEM IS RESERVED PENDING OUR WRITTEN APPROVAL AS A MUTUAL PROTECTION TO CLIENTS, THE PUBLIC AND OURSELVES.



Twining Laboratories of Southern California, Inc.

Corporate Office: 3310 Airport Way, Long Beach, CA 90808 Mail: P.O. Box 47, 90801 • Phone: (582) 426-3355 • Fax: (562) 426-3355 • Fa

MAY 2, 2001

PROJECT 98-9025 Page 9 of 14

### Test Results

Anchor	#	7	(Mortar)

Load (lbs)	Deflection (in)	Mode of Failure
0	0	No sign of failure
200	0	No sign of failure
400	0	No sign of failure
600	0	No sign of failure
800	0	No sign of failure
1,000	0	No sign of failure
1,200	0	No sign of failure
1,400	0	No sign of failure
1,600	0	No sign of failure
1,800	0.0035	No sign of faile
2,000	0.0035	No sign of failure
2,200	0.0035	No sign of failure
2,400	0.0035	No sign of failure
2,600	0.0070	No sign of failure
2,800	0.0070	No sign of failure
	0.0070	No sign of failure
3,000	0.0070	No sign of failure
3,000 (30 seconds)	0.0105	No sign of failure

ALL REPORTS REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF TWINING LABORATORIES OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC. AUTHORIZATION FOR PUBLICATION OF OUR REPORTS, CONCLUSIONS, OR EXTRACTS FROM OR REGARDING THEM IS RESERVED PENDING OUR WRITTEN APPROVALAS A MUTUAL PROTECTION TO CLIENTS, THE PUBLIC AND OURSELVES.



Twining Laboratories of Southern California, Inc.

www.twininglabs.com

Corporate Office: 3310 Alront Way, Long Beach, CA 90809 Melž P.O. Box 47, 90801 + Phone: (562) 425-3355 + Fax: (562) 426-8424 Orange County: 5942 Edinger Ave., Suite 113, P.M.B. 313, Huntington Beach, CA 92849 + Phone: (714) 960-0830 - Fax: (714) 960-0860 San Diego: 9235 Chesapeake Drive, Suite D, San Diego, CA 92121-1085 + Phone: (858) 974-3750 + Fax: (858) 974-3752

MAY 2, 2001

PROJECT 98-9025 Page 10 of 14

### Test Results

Anchor # 8 (stone	a)
-------------------	----

Load (lbs)	Deflection (in)	Mode of Failure
0	0	No sign of failure
200	0	No sign of failure
400	0	No sign of failure
600	0	No sign of failure
800	0	No sign of failure
1,000	0	No sign of failure
1,200	0	No sign of failure
1,400	0	No sign of failure
1,600	0	No sign of failure
1,800	0.0035	No sign of failure
2,000	0.0035	No sign of failure
2,200	0.0035	No sign of failure
2,400	0,0035	No sign of failure
2,600	0.0070	No sign of failure
2,800	0.0070	No sign of failure
3,000	0.0070	No sign of failure
3,000 (30 seconds)	0.0105	No sign of failure

ALL REPORTS REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF TWINING LABORATORIES OF SOUTHERN GALIFORNIA, INC. AUTHORIZATION FOR PUBLICATION OF OUR REPORTS, CONCLUSIONS, OR EXTRACTS FROM OR REGARDING THEM IS RESERVED PENDING OUR WRITTEN APPROVAL AS A MUTUAL PROTECTION TO CLIENTS, THE PUBLIC AND OURSELVES. Jan 16 02 04:52p

6265732572



Twining Laboratories of Southern California, Inc.

 Signal
 Corporate Office: 3310 Alrport Way, Long Beach, CA 90805 Mail: P.O. Box 47, 90801 • Phone: (562) 426-3355 • Fax: (562) 426-6424

 TESTING
 Orange County: 5942 Edinger Ave., Suite 113, P.M.B. 313, Huntington Beach, CA 92849 • Phone: (714) 960-0830 • Fax: (714) 960-0860

 www.twininglabs.com
 San Diego: 9235 Chesapeake Drive, Suite D, San Diego, CA 92121-1065 • Phone: (858) 974-3750 • Fax: (858) 974-3752

MAY 2, 2001

PROJECT 98-9025

Page 11 of 14

### Test Results

Load (lbs)	Deflection (in)	Mode of Failure
0	0	No sign of failure
200	0.0071	No sign of failure
400	0.0106	No sign of failure
600	0.0106	No sign of failure
800	0.0106	No sign of failure
1,000	0.0106	No sign of failure
1,200	0.0142	No sign of failure
1,400	0.0142	No sign of failure
1,600	0.0142	No sign of failure
1,800	0.0177	No sign of failure
2,000	0.0177	No sign of failure
2,200	0.0213	No sign of failure
2,400	0.0213	No sign of failure
2,600	0.0248	No sign of failure
2,800	0.0248	No sign of failure
3,000	0.0248	No sign of failure
3,000 (30 seconds)	0.0248	No sign of failure

### Anchor # 9 (Mortar)

ALL REPORTS REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF TWINING LABORATORIES OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC. AUTHORIZATION FOR PUBLICATION OF OUR REPORTS, CONCLUSIONS, DR EXTRACTS FROM OR REGARDING THEM IS RESERVED PENDING OUR WRITTEN APPROVAL AS A MUTUAL PROTECTION TO CLIENTS, THE PUBLIC AND OURSELVES.



Twining Laboratories of Southern California, Inc.

Corporate Othes: 3310 Alront Way, Long Beach, CA 90806 Mall: P.O. Box 47, 90801 • Phone: (562) 428-3355 • Fax: (562) 428-8424 Orange County: 5942 Edinger Ava., Suite 113, P.M.B. 313, Huntington Beach, CA 92649 • Phone: (714) 960-0830 • Fax: (714) 960-0860 San Diego: 9235 Chesapeake Drive, Suite D, San Diego, CA 92121-1085 • Phone: (858) 974-3750 • Fax: (858) 974-3752

www.twininglabs.com

MAY 2, 2001

PROJECT 98-9025 Page 12 of 14

### Test Results

Ancha	or #	1	0 (	(stone)
			<b>U</b>	scone

Load (1bs)	Deflection (in)	Mode of Failure
0	0	No sign of failure
200	0	No sign of failure
400	0	No sign of failure
600	0	No sign of failure
800	0	No sign of failure
1,000	0.0071	No sign of failure
1,200	0.0071	No sign of failure
1,400	0.0071	No sign of failure
1,600	0.0071	No sign of failure
1,800	0.0071	No sign of failure
2,000	0.0071	No sign of failure
2,200	0.0071	No sign of failure
2,400	0.0071	No sign of failure
2,600	0.0071	No sign of failure
2,800	0.0071	No sign of fail
3,000	0.0071	No sign of fail
3,000 (30 seconds)	0.0106	No sign of failure

ALL REPORTS REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF TWINING LABORATORIES OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC. AUTHORIZATION FOR PUBLICATION OF OUR REPORTS, CONCLUSIONS, OR EXTRACTS FROM OR REGARDING THEM IS RESERVED PENDING OUR WRITTEN APPROVAL AS A MUTUAL PROTECTION TO CLIENTS, THE PUBLIC AND OURSELVES. Jan 16 02 04:53p

6265732572



Twining Laboratories of Southern California, Inc.

Corporate Office: 3310 Alront Way, Long Beach, CA 90806 Mail: P.O. Box 47, 90801 + Phone: (562) 428-3365 + Fax: (562) 428-8424 Orange County: 6942 Edinger Ava., Suita 112, P.M.B. 313, Huntington Beach, CA 92849 + Phone: (714) 960-0830 + Fax: (714) 980-0880 San Diego: 9235 Chesapeake Drive, Suita D, San Diego, CA 92121-1085 + Phone: (858) 974-3750 + Fax: (858) 974-3752

www.twininglabs.com

MAY 2, 2001

PROJECT 98-9025

Page 13 of 14

### Test Results

Anchor	#	11	(stone)
MIGHOL	T.	11	(scone)

Load (lbs)	Deflection (in)	Mode of Failure
0	0	No sign of failure
200	0	No sign of failure
400	0	No sign of failure
600	0	No sign of failure
800	0	No sign of failure
1,000	0	No sign of failure
1,200	0	No sign of failure
1,400	0	No sign of failure
1,600	0	No sign of failure
1,800	0	No sign of failure
2,000	0	No sign of failure
2,200	0	No sign of fallure
2,400	0	No sign of failure
2,600	0	No sign of failure
2,800	0	No sign of failure
3,000	0	No sign of fail
3,000 (30 seconds)	0.0035	No sign of failure

ALL REPORTS REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF TWINING LABORATORIES OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC. AUTHORIZATION FOR PUBLICATION OF OUR REPORTS, CONCLUSIONS, OR EXTRACTS FROM OR REGARDING THEM IS RESERVED PENDING OUR WRITTEN APPROVAL AS A MUTUAL PROTECTION TO CLIENTS, THE PUBLIC AND DURSELVES.

## **CINTEC ANCHOR TESTING**

New York Schools Construction Authority

## TESTING AT: PS230K 1 ALBERMARLE ROAD, BROOKLYN

### AND

## PS238K 1633 EAST 8<sup>th</sup>, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK

TESTING ENGINEERS: VERSATILE CONSULTING AND TESTING SERVICES (JULY 2001)

## VERSATILE CONSULTING & TESTING SERVICES, INC.

What Ch built Ch

Contracts: PS 230 K and PS 238 K Date: July 9, 2001

Client: Cintec North America

240-02 66th Avenue Douglaston, New York 11362 1925 Tol.: (718) 426-5025 Fax: (718) 428-1036 www.versatileconsulting.com

### PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER SERVICE

Procedure:

## Anchors Installation

Location:

Parapet Wall

I. Roman Sorokko, P.E., being duly sworn say: I am a Professional Engineer, (Lic. # 072800) assigned by Hill International, Inc. to conduct the controlled inspection for the subject contract. I have read all provisions of the Building Code of the City of New York, and I am thoroughly familier with the plans, specifications and standards referred to herein.

As an Engineer of Record, and as directed by Hill International, Inc. and NYC DDC I will personally perform the controlled inspection of the Cintec anchors installation for this project.

I was also directed to generate an engineering calculation in order to confirm the adequacy of the anchors to the design purpose – to secure the terra cotta blocks attached to the exterior surface of the parapet wall (as per as per item 04525 – Terra Cotta Restoration and Repair, Paragraph 2.2 Anchors).

I certify that I have carefully analyzed the proposed anchors' parameters using a conservative engineering approach (see attachment No. 1) to the best of my knowledge, and I have found that their application will be adequate to the design purpose, and it will be incompliance with the Specifications of the subject contract.

I executed the full scale pull out tests (see Attachment No. 2) for these anchors, and I have found that the achieved results are significantly exceeded the design criteria.

Therefore, I recommend these anchors to be used for the above mentioned contract.

Prep. by Roman Sorokko, P.





## TROM : VERSATILE CONSULTING & TESTING FAX NO. : 718 428 1836 08/20/01 14:49 FAX 1+8083333880 Bail JULIAGA

Aug. 85 2881 89:59PM P5

ANCHOR DESCRIPTIONS FOR CORNER STABILIZATION AND HUGHLIGH REATTACHNENT

ANCHOR TYPE A HODILLION REATTACHNENT

1/2" HA SOLID THREADED AS CINTED ANCHOR-PLAIN BADS- IN | 1/4" BIA NOLE APPROX 24" LONG BOCKED FULL LENGTH. SOCK OVERSIZED TO EXPAND BITO CELL OF NEW TIC UNIT

ANCHOR DESIGN - TENSION

ANCHOR TYPE D CONNICE STABILIZATION

1 1/2" x | 1/2" x 1/8" HSS sa CINTEC ANCHOR-PLAIN ENDS -IN 3" DIA HOLE APPROX 30" LONG BOCKED FULL LENGTH. SOCK OVERHIZED TO EEPAND NITO VOID AT PRONT OF EXISTING T/C UNIT.

ALTERNATE DESIGN - EXTEND ANCHOR TO INGIDE FACE OF PARAPET AND PROVIDE SS MUT, WASHER AND BEARDS PLARTE

ANCHOR DESIGN - CONSINED BUNDING AND SHEAR



Project Name PS 230 & PS238 Location Consultant:

BROOKLYN NEW YORK TAMS CONSULTANTS

### OPTION | CANTILEVERED DESIGN (CONSERVATIVE)

Engineered Growt Injection Anchors by:	Project #:	
CINTEC AMERICA INC	By: AZ	
Tel 613 225 3381 Fer 612 224 9042 E-mail: rh/gommer.com	Dete: JANUARY 2001	
Product Engineering By: JOKINEN ENGINEERING SERVICES	SK 2A 0 DRWG #: REV.	#

PAGE 2 OF10

TROM : VERSATILE CONSULTING & TESTING FAX NO. : 718 428 1836 Aug. 85 2001 10:00PM P7

ANCHOR DESCRIPTIONS FOR CORNICE STABILIZATION AND MODILLION REATTACHMENT

ANCHOR TYPE A HODILLION REATTACHMENT

1/2" DIA BOLID THREADED BE CINTEC ANCHOR-TLAIN ENDE- IN 1 1/4" DIA HOLE APPROX 24" LONG SOCKED FULL LENGTH. SOCK OVENEIZED TO EXPAND INTO CELL OF NEW T/C UNIT

ANCHOR DEDIGN - TENSION

ANCHOR TYPE B CONNEY STABILIZATION

5/4" BIA SOLID THREADED SH CINTER ANCHOR-PLAIN ENDS -HR 2" BIA HOLE APPROX 30" LONG SOCKED FULL LENGTH. SOCK OVERGIZED TO EXPAND INTO VOID AT PRONT OF EXISTING T/C UNIT.

ALTERNATE DESIGN - EXTERD ANCHOR TO INSIDE FACE OF PARAPET AND PROVIDE SS NUT, WASHER AND REARING PLARTE

ANCHOR DEDIGN - COMBINED FULL-OUT AND SHEAR



Project Name PS 230 & PS238 Location BROOKLYN NEW YORK

.1

Consultant: TAMS CONSULTANTS

OPTION 2 CORBELLED DESIGN (LESS CONSERVATIVE)

Engineered Grout Injection Anchors by:	Project #
CINTER AMERICA INC	By: AZ
Tai 643 225 3341 Par 413 224 PO42 M-mail: ringelaten som	Date: JANUARY 2001
Product Engineering By: JOKINEN ENGINEERING SERVICES	SK 2B 0 DRWG #: REV. #

PAGE 3 OF 10



PAGE 4 OF 10

w



PAGE 5 OF 10

- 54



MGE 6 OF 10

si.



PAGE TOFIO

2<sup>5</sup>



PAGE 8 OF 10



10

PAGE 9 OF 10

FROM : VERSATILE CONSULTING & TESTING FAX ND. : 718 428 1836 Aug. 05 2001 10:05PM P14 00 40-01 14.40 Fp.4 OPTION 2: CORBATLEY PAR 134 4 TARD ROD ADW825. \*/inchor 25 X 29900 XP. . t Project Nameps 230 & PSI238 DESIGN CALCULATIONS Lecation BROOKLYN, NEW YORK Consultant: TAMS CONSUL TANTS INC. Engineered Growl Injection Anchors by: CINTEC AMERICA INC. Date: Dec 14/2000 JES DE Product Engineering By: JOKINEN ENGINEERING SERVICES CIZ DRWG #: REV. 1

PAGE 10 OF 10

## **TESTING OF CINTEC WALL ANCHORS**

[To resist a seismic event] for BRITISH NUCLEAR FUELS Magnox Generation Wylfa Power Station

> By Celtest Ltd and Cintec International

November – December 2001



Wylfa power station Anglesey Wales

Wylfa LTSR RSO Report Trial installation and testing of Cintec anchors 14392/30/REP/1002 Rev. 109/01/02 Page 1 of 9

ws/Atkins

¥.

## RSO

TAYWOOD ENGINEERING







Project - Wylfa LTSR

Document Type - Report

Document Number -- 14392/30/REP/1003

## Trial installation and testing of

## Cintec wall anchors.

Revision	Date	Originator D Castree		Checked by	Reviewed for the Consortium
А	10/1/02			T. hrheit	NA
Approved for LTSR by:	Name Ron A Alasin		Ronald Allasi		Date 17.1.02
			1		
Wylfa LTSR RSO Report Trial installation and testing of Cintec anchors 14392/30/REP/1003 Rev. A 15/01/02 Page 2 of 11

# DOCUMENT REVISION RECORD

Revision	Date	Reason for Revision	Approval Reference		
0	22/12/01	Issue for approval purposes			
A	14/1/02	Incorporation of internal LTSR team comments			

Wylfa LTSR RSO Report Trial installation and testing of Cintec anchors 14392/30/REP/1003 Rev. A 15/01/02 Page 3 of 11

INDEX

1 INTRODUCTION

**2 PURPOSE** 

**3 TRIALS** 

- 3.1 The choice of wall
- 3.2 Wall description
- 3.3 Anchor choice
- 3.4 Anchor description
- 3.5 Anchor action

# 4 DESCRIPTION OF THE INSTALLATION AND TESTING

- 4.1 Documentation
- 4.2 Cintec anchors
- 4.3 Grout test cubes
- 4.4 Anchor load tests
- 4.5 Test equipment
- 4.6 Load measurement
- 4.7 Displacement measurement
- **5 RESULTS** 
  - 5.1 Failure types
  - **5.2 Certificates**

# **6 REFERENCES**

- 7 CONCLUSIONS
- 8 APPENDICES: A She
  - Sheet 1: Hole/sock/bar configuration Sheet 2: Hole pattern in wall
    - Sheet 3: Jacking channel details
  - B Cube results
  - C Test results
  - D Installation log
  - E Calculations:
    - endplate distortion under load
    - plastic/elastic behaviour of bar
  - F List of those present at the tests
  - G Photographs
  - H Anchor manufacturer's information
  - I Calibration certificates

Wylfa LTSR RSO Report Trial installation and testing of Cintec anchors 14392/30/REP/1003 Rev. A 15/01/02 Page 4 of 11

# 1 INTRODUCTION

As part of the LTSR project, over 400 stretcher-bond cavity brick walls at Wylfa are to be modified in order for them to meet the design requirements for strengthening. Currently the designs from W S Atkins and Babtie require the strengthened walls' leaves to behave in a composite manner under loading from either seismic, hot gas or steam release events. The design from Babtie specifies the use of Cintec steel anchors embedded in grout in an array over the wall panel to tie the two leaves together. Babtie have produced a substantiation report on the use of Cintec anchors based on these test results (document reference 1). It applies only to walls to be strengthened to resist a seismic event. The W S Atkins' design incorporating Cintec is currently being developed.

# 2 PURPOSE

It is clear from the nature and history of the use of Cintec anchors that they are specifically designed to be used as retro-fitted anchors in brickwork. The purpose of the in-situ testing at Wylfa is therefore simply confirmatory not defining.

The objectives of the installation and the testing were:

- To check the ease of installation
- To check the speed of the installation procedures
- To assess the grout-to-brickwork bond strength (if critical)
- To assess the grout-to-bar bond strength (if critical)
- To assess the brickwork's crushing strength (if critical)
- To assess the grout's crushing strength (if critical)
- To measure bar deflections under shear load (vertical and horizontal)
- To measure bar displacements under pull-out load
- To assess the effect of frog up or down orientation on Cintec anchors
- To note the modes of failure

# 3. THE TRIALS

# 3.1 The choice of wall:

A North East loading bay wall was chosen for the trial as it had no safety significance, provided easy access to both sides and was a representative example of the majority of the reactor building's stretcher bond cavity brickwork which will require strengthening.

# 3.2 Wall description:

The trial wall extends 5.1 metres vertically between two concrete beams/slabs and 3.7 metres horizontally between two concrete columns.

Wylfa LTSR RSO Report Trial installation and testing of Cintec anchors 14392/30/REP/1003 Rev. A 15/01/02 Page 5 of 11

Each leaf is built in stretcher bond from clay brickwork. Evidence (i.e. size, appearance and testing (ref 2)) suggests that generally London clay brickwork has been used for wall construction at Wylfa although evidence of use of Butterleys brick was revealed in earlier cores taken from the trial wall. The wall has been painted. Details of the brickwork were assumed to be similar to those tested and reported in the design philosophy document (ref 1).

### 3.3 Anchor choice:

Cintec anchor bars of the type and size selected by Babtie were adopted for the tests with the exception of the anchors' length. In the Babtie design for the seismically loaded walls the anchor will be grouted fully in the near leaf and partially in the far leaf. For the tests, in order to more accurately assess the 'real' anchor's capacities, the test anchor chosen was only long enough to be embedded 80mm into one leaf. A typical hole/sock/bar configuration is shown in Appendix A sheet 1. The anchor pattern on the wall is shown in Appendix A sheet 2.

The single leaf approach simplifies the post-test mathematical modelling to calculate characteristic strengths.

### 3.4 Anchor description:

The test anchors were specially manufactured for the test program by Cintec. They consist of a 10 mm diameter x 125 long steel bar with a plastic centring washer at the end in the wall. A polypropylene 'sock' with relatively open weave is clamped to the washer and extends for 90 mm along the length of the bar. Inside the sock there is a plastic tube extending along the bar to deliver grout to the back of the sock first. The tube is taped to the bar. At the front end the sock is gathered into both the bar and tube and tied off, leaving approximately 25 mm of bar and 50mm of grout tube projecting. The projecting bar is threaded (10 diameter metric thread). After the grout has hardened the projecting grout-filled tube is cut off and discarded.

## 3.5 Anchor action:

The grout is a mixture of a German equivalent of Ordinary Portland Cement and a volcanic sand (finely milled volcanic trass). These are premixed at the factory. Water is added at site and the mixture is thoroughly stirred with a mechanical agitator. The grout is injected under pressure into the sock, which expands to the limits of its containment or to approximately 40 mm diameter – whichever is the smaller. See photograph of grout-inflated sock in Appendix G. The pressure is held for a few seconds, and grout 'milk' – largely cementitious water - seeps out of the weave of the sock, effectively reducing the percentage of water in the grout still retained by the sock. At this point the retained grout loses its Wylfa LTSR RSO Report Trial installation and testing of Cintec anchors 14392/30/REP/1003 Rev. A 15/01/02 Page 6 of 11

liquid-like properties and becomes a pressurised solid. The sock becomes rigid. When the pressure is disconnected from the grout tube, leakage of grout is negligible.

# 4 DESCRIPTION OF THE INSTALLATION AND TESTING.

## 4.1 Documentation:

A method statement reference 14392/30/GMS/1005 rev B, quality plan reference 14392/30/GQP/1005 rev B and risk assessment reference 14392/30/GMS/1005 rev B were prepared for the in-situ installation and testing and they were approved before work on the installation began.

### 4.2 Cintec anchors' installation:

On 25 and 26/11/01 twenty-five 10mm diameter Cintec anchors were installed – generally at 460mm centres (see Appendix A Sheet 2) - and grouted into 30 mm diameter holes 85 mm deep into one leaf of the brickwork. The holes were formed by dry, rotary-percussive drilling to a depth of 70 mm, then rotary drilling to the final depth of 85 mm. The holes were then purged of debris by vacuum cleaning. All drilling took place on 26/11/01.

The bars were made from high yield reinforcement (fy = 460 N/sq mm) and the projecting ends were threaded. All anchor installation and grouting took place on 27/11/01. The holes' bores were wetted with an unused anchor's wet sock. Prestec cementitious grout with a projected mean compressive stress of 51.5 N/sq mm at 28 days was used for all anchors. Two batches of grout (designated #1 and #2 – see Appendix B Sheets 1 and 2) were used to fill the anchors' socks and to make twelve 100mm test cubes (see 4.3 below). The anchors were inserted into the holes and the grout injected into the sock using compressed air at 3.0~3.5 bar as the propellant. Each sock was 90 mm long – 80 mm of which was embedded in the hole. The installation log is attached as Appendix F

The total drilling time for all 25 holes - including set-up time - was approximately four hours (x two men); installation and grouting took two hours (x two men). These figures give approximately 15 minutes x two men per anchor.

The drilling revealed a random laying pattern of frog-up and frog-down bricks (see Appendix A sheet 2).

Wylfa LTSR RSO Report Trial installation and testing of Cintec anchors 14392/30/REP/1003 Rev. A 15/01/02 Page 7 of 11

# 4.3 Grout test cubes:

12 grout test cubes were made using the same grout and delivery method as for the anchors. Celtest Limited carried out the cube storage and testing. Celtest's facilities are UKAS approved. The cubes were stored under moist hessian in out-door conditions in Bangor. Pairs of cubes were laboratory tested at 3 days, 7 days, 10 days, and 14 days, using Celtest's 2000 kN in-house compressive testing machine (last calibrated on 8/2/01); a final pair were tested at 27 days (24/12/01). The test results are attached as Appendix B.

## 4.4 Anchor load tests:

On the thirteenth and fourteenth day after grouting - i.e. 10 & 11/12/01, ten anchors were tested in vertical shear, ten were tested in horizontal shear and five were tested in pull-out. All anchor load tests were carried out insitu. Anchor V2 which had already been tested in vertical shear was also tested in pull-out (see Certificate numbers 0190 and 0197 in Appendix C). The total number of tests was therefore twenty-six.

### 4.5 Test equipment:

The shear loads were applied to the subject anchor using a hydraulic jack which delivered its force via a length of 20 diameter steel studding to a steel channel with a hole in its web. The hole fitted over the projecting part of the subject anchor, and was secured by a nut and washer. An adjacent anchor with a loosely fitted nut was used in a longitudinal slot-hole in the web of the channel to act as a guide - (see Appendix A sheet 3). The web of the channel was 10 mm thick; the back of the web was in contact with the outer end of the grouted sock, which projected 10 mm from the wall face. The centre of the shear load was therefore delivered to the anchor at 15mm from the face of the wall, thus representing a half of a 30 mm wide cavity. The purpose of this was to emulate the behaviour of anchor in the far leaf. The jack reacted against the ground floor slab as the fixed point of resistance for vertical shear tests and against the projecting main frame column for horizontal shear tests. Pull-out loads were applied to a nut on the threaded part of the anchor using a screw device. This was mounted on a four-legged steel bridging piece which used the wall's face as the fixed point of resistance.

# 4.6 Load measurement:

Measurement of loads in shear tests was intended to be by an independent load cell – fitted in series with the jack - reading in 10N (.01 kN) increments, with additional check readings from the hydraulic pressure gauge mounted integrally on the jack. In fact, during the third shear test it was noted that the jack's analog readings and the load cell's digital readings were becoming inconsistent. The divergence is recorded below:

Wylfa LTSR RSO Report Trial installation and testing of Cintec anchors 14392/30/REP/1003 Rev. A 15/01/02 Page 8 of 11

Jack's integral analog gauge kN: Load cell's digital display kN:

3 kN	2 kN
8 kN	6 kN
15 kN	12 kN
17 kN	14 kN

Where differences in reading were noted, the lower reading was used. Both instruments were checked in the Station's instrument workshop and the load cell's readings were found to be 'drifting' i.e. giving changing readings under a constant load. However the jack's pressure gauge reading under a known test load of 20 kN was found to be accurate and stable; it was therefore decided to use the lack's integral analog gauge for subsequent shear load readings. It is considered that the load cell readings which were used only for the first three of twenty-six tests, are representative as readings were made generally before the drift occurred. Where there was evidence of discrepancy in the third test - the lower value of the two was the one recorded and used. The jack's gauge (calibrated in August 2001) gave direct readings to 2 kN, or by assessment under close scrutiny - it was possible to use 1 kN increments. These 1 kN increments each represent a small load 'step' of approximately 5% of the total load at failure, so the accuracy of the results obtained can therefore be considered to be acceptable for the purposes of these tests.

The pull-out equipment was fitted with its own integral hydraulic pressure gauge (calibrated in August 2001) reading in 0.5 kN.

### 4.7 Displacement measurement:

A dial type strain gauge was used giving readings directly to 0.01 mm. The base of the strain gauge was mounted on a magnetic stand on a steel plate bolted rigidly to an adjacent, unloaded anchor. The end of the probe of the strain gauge bore onto one of the endplates of the jacking channel. Where this was the free, unloaded endplate the strain gauge accurately showed the free movement of the channel (and hence the projecting part of the anchor) relative to the wall. A concern was raised - by some witnesses in the post-testing meeting - that where the gauge's probe was bearing onto the loaded endplate (as it did in some cases) where the jack delivered its force, the readings might be distorted by endplate displacement due to local bending under the jack's loading. The calculations in Appendix E deal with these concerns and show the plate's

Wylfa LTSR RSO Report Trial installation and testing of Cintec anchors 14392/30/REP/1003 Rev. A 15/01/02 Page 9 of 11

maximum deflection under load to be negligible when compared to the total displacement recorded.

# 5 RESULTS.

# 5.1 Failure types:

All ties were tested to failure. The failure modes for shear tests were load loss, brickwork crushing or excessive bar displacement accompanied by local grout crushing around the bar at the exposed end of the sock, or combinations of these. In all the shear tests the local deformation of the projecting part of the tie was the most significant part of the total displacement. For pull-out tests the mode of failure was load loss combined with slip displacement between the grouted sock and the brickwork. The wall remained stable overall throughout the tests, and there was no cracking or movement observed around individual bricks. Spalling of the brickwork only occurred in the area local to the sock.

# 5.2 Certificates:

The results of all the in-situ load tests are given on Cintec Test Certificates numbers 0189 to 0210 and 0240 to 0243 (representing 26 tests on 25 anchors). Measurement of loads and displacements were monitored closely by Magnox, W S Atkins and Babtie and a representative of each organisation signed each test certificate at the test site as each test was completed. The Certificates in Excel format are attached in Appendix C, together with summaries of load displacement charts for shear load tests; the original, signed paper versions of these certificates are held in LTSR files, and are available for inspection on request.

# 6 REFERENCES

Document ref 1: P Griffies BABTIE: Cintec Anchor test report ref 201995-R-)8 December 2001

Document ref 2: CK0509/R102 Wylfa Power Station – Civil Redesign of Hot Gas And Steam Release Stage Submissions 1, 2A and 6 Design Philosophy.

Documents ref 3: LTSR Quality Plan number 14392/30/GQP/1005 rev B and Method Statement number 14392/30/GMS/1005 rev B.

# 7 CONCLUSIONS

The installation was carried out without major difficulty and the speed of installation was good (see 4.2). The strengths of the various components is

Wylfa LTSR RSO Report Trial installation and testing of Cintec anchors 14392/30/REP/1003 Rev. A 15/01/02 Page 10 of 11

assessed in Appendix E. Load/deflection charts are shown in Appendix C. The results indicate that frog orientation (up or down) did not significantly affect the behaviour or the ultimate load of the anchor. The results demonstrate the ability of the Cintec anchor to adapt to non-uniform hole shapes The modes of failure were consistent throughout the tests; these are discussed in item 5.1 and illustrated in Appendix G.

Overall, the installation and testing showed the suitability of Cintec anchors for use in walls of the Wylfa type, and demonstrated the anchors' load-bearing capacities in vertical and horizontal shear and pull-out to be consistent and adequate.

)

Y

Wylfa LTSR RSO Report Trial installation and testing of Cintec anchors 14392/30/REP/1003 Rev. A 15/01/02 Page 11 of 11

8 APPENDICES follow >>>>

0.1

1

,









M

# celtest

Independent materials testing; structural investigation = site investigation, diamond core drilling & sawing



Celtest Limited · Cyttir Lane · Bangor · Gwynedd · LL57 4DA · Tel:+44(0)1248 355269/402 Fax: +44 (0) 1248 351563 · Website: www.celtest.com · e-mail: postmaster@celtest.com

> BNFL Magnox Ltd. Wylfa Power Station Cemaes Bay Anglesey LL67 0DH

Date: 27 December 2001 Our Report Ref. No: CTR4943 Test Ref CC16 Your order no:

Compressive Strength of Concrete Cube Report Sheet 1

Site: Wylfa Power Station, Anglesey Location in works which sample represents: Unknown

Certificates of sampling / specimen preparation / curing received: Yes Laboratory Sample Ref: 19665 Date of receipt of specimens at Laboratory: 29 November 2001 Preparatory treatment to cubes (e.g. removal of fins): None Method of Density Determination: C for Calculated or W for Water Displacement

Specimens were moist cured under damp sacking at our Bangor Laboratory prior to storage in water between 18°C and 22°C until the time of test, unless otherwise noted. Concrete cubes in our receipt for 3 days or less prior to testing are moist at the time of test and the reported mass and density are the concrete in the moist condition. Concrete cubes over 3 days in our receipt prior to testing are saturated at the time of test and the reported mass and density are for concrete in the saturated condition.

We certify that the test has been carried out in accordance with BS 1881: Part 116: 1983

Cube N Our Re	larkings f Your	Date ' Cast	Date of Test	Age at Test	Meas. Dim (mm) Lx BxH	-Mass"	Density	Method	Failure	Comp. Strength	Mode of	Appearance of
3089	1	27/11/01	30/11/01	3	100x100x100	2021	- 2025-	W-	1 330KN		railure-	Gube on Roccipt
3090	2	27/11/01	04/12/01	. 7	100x100x100	2018-	=2020	====	- AGOLN		-Normal-	Air Cured
3091	3	27/11/01	07/12/01	10	100x100x100	2021	2020	W	FOOLN	ALU-	Normal	Air_Cured
3092	4	27/11/01	11/12/01	14	100×100×100	1000	2020		SUUKN	50.0	Normal	Air Cured
3093	5	27/11/01	27/12/01	30	100x100x100		2040	VV	527KN	52.5	Normal	Air Cured
3094	6	27/11/01	201201	- 50	10021002100	2005	. 2025.	-W-	535KN	_53.5	Normal	Air Cured
4004		2011/01				1.14	÷	C	kN	1.0	Normal	-Air Curadia

Specified mix:	Grout - Site Mix	
Actual slump:	N/A	Nize of load (m <sup>2</sup> )
Location of sampling:	Loading Bay	Ticket no (if applicable): N/A
Date and time of sampling:	27/11/01 -	Time and place of molifier rules, at Site
Method of compaction:	Tamping Bar -	Name of person making cubes: Unknown

## omments

Appearance of cubes on receipt satisfactory unless otherwise stated

(A) Poor compaction (B) Honeycombing (C) Bad dimension (D) Damaged surface (E) Damaged corners

THE REAL PROPERTY AND A CONTRACT

Directors : Eric Goulden, MSc., MBA., C. Eng., Gary J. Jones, B.Sc. (Hons). V.A.T. No: 352 503461

# celtest

Independent materials testing; structural investigation site investigation, diamond core drilling & sawing



0494

Celtest Limited · Cyttir Lane · Bangor · Gwynedd · LL57 4DA · Tel;+44(0)1248 355269/402 Fax: +44 (0) 1248 351563 · Website: www.celtest.com · e-mail: postmaster@celtest.com

BNFL Magnor Ltd. Wylfa Power Station **Cemaes Bay** Anglesey LL67 0DH

Date: 27 December 2001 Our Report Ref. No: CTR4944 Test Ref CC16 Your order no:

Compressive Strength of Concrete Cube Report Sheet-2

Site: Wylfa Power Station, Anglesey Location in works which sample represents: Unknown

Certificates of sampling / specimen preparation / curing received: Yes Laboratory Sample Ref: 19665 Date of receipt of specimens at Laboratory: 29 November 2001 Preparatory treatment to cubes (e.g. removal of fins): None Method of Density Determination: C for Calculated or W for Water Displacement

Specimens were moist cured under damp sacking at our Bangor Laboratory prior to storage in water between 18°C and 22°C until the time of test, unless otherwise noted. Concrete cubes in our receipt for 3 days or less prior to testing are moist at the time of test and the reported mass and density are the concrete in the moist condition. Concrete cubes over 3 days in our receipt prior to testing saturated at the time of test and the reported mass and density are for concrete in the saturated condition.

We certify that the test has been carried out in accordance with BS 1881: Part 116: 1983

Cube M Our Re	farkings f Your	Date ' Cast	Date of Test	Age at Test	Meas. Dim (mm) Lx BxH	Mass (g)	Density (kg/m3)	Method	Failure	Comp. Strength	Mode of	Appearance of
3095	7	27/11/01	30/11/01	3	100x100x100	2012	2015	L.W.	35041	280	Failure	Cube on Receipt
3096	8	27/11/01	04/12/01	7	100×100×100	2014			SUSKIN	- 30.0-	- Normal-	Air-Gured
3097	0	27/11/01	07/10/04		10021002100	2011	2015	• • • • •	4/6KN	47.5	Normal	Air-Cured
0001		2///101	0//12/01	10	100x100x100	2018	2020	W	492kN	49.0	Normal	Air Cured
3098	10	27/11/01	11/12/01	14	100x100x100	2011	2015	W	522KN	52.0	Alexand al	AL Outou
3099	11	27/11/01	27/12/01	30	100+100+100	0000			ULLINY	52.0	Normal	Air Cured
2100	10	07/44/04	2111201		10021002100	2038	2020	W	554kN	55.5	Normal	Air Cured
3100	12	2//11/01				•	1.04	C	kN	-	Normal	Air Cured

Specified mix:	Grout - Site Mix	
Actual slump:	N/A	Size of load (m <sup>3</sup> ): N/A
Location of sampling:	Loading Bay	Ticket no (if annlicable): N/A
Date and time of sampling:	27/11/01 -	Time and place of making makes. At Ste
Method of compaction:	Tamping Bar -	Name of person making cubes: Unknown



uppearance of cubes on receipt satisfactory unless otherwise stated

A) Poor compaction (B) Honeycombing (C) Bad dimension (D) Damaged surface (E) Damaged corners

ME.R. Goulden, Technical Manager

Directors : Eric Goulden, MSc., MBA., C. Eng., Gaty J. Jones, B.Sc. (Hons).

V.A.T. No. 352-5034-81

in Property

CI/Sfb Xt6



# SPECIFIC PROJECT TEST DATA AND IN HOUSE TEST DATA

77 Howard Street, Toronto, Canada Testing by Halsall and Associates, Toronto Corbel Anchors (May 1992)
Toronto Hydro Building, Canada Testing by Halsall and Associates, Toronto.
Corbel Anchors and RAC wall tie (September 1992).
Botanical Gardens Montreal, Canada Testing of RAC type Anchors by L M Suave (1994).
Real Audencia, san Juan, Puerto Rico.
457 Sussex Drive, Ottawa, Canada.
Manoir Richelieu, Malbaie, QC Canada. Testing in "SIPROX" [low density concrete product].
Nov Electricifcation of British Rail, At Royal Wootton Bassett Wils.
Load test results of in house testing supervised by Peter Sobek Ing.

# **SECURING THE PAST FOR THE FUTURE**

# **CINTEC ANCHOR TESTING**

77 Howard Street, Toronto, Canada

TYPE:

**TESTING OF CORBELL ANCHORS** 

By:

HALSALL AND ASSOCIATES TORONTO (MAY 1992)



# CASE HISTORY

# 77 HOWARD STREET, TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA

#### Exterior wall restoration

This 24-storey apartment block's exterior wall consists of two wythes tied together by courses of header bricks. The exterior wythe is a glazed clay brick and is supported by a painted steel shelf angle connected at each floor into the floor slab. The inner wythe consisted of a 4" hollow concrete block backup wall. Deterioration is due to vertical loads imposed by shortening of the structural frame. Lack of soft joints below the shelf angles to accommodate movement has resulted in:

- 1. Bowing of walls.
- 2. Crushing of over stressed units.
- 3. Shear failure of the header courses.
- 4. Rotation of shelf angles

Corrosion deterioration has also occurred in the shelf angles and connecting bolts. Due to occupation of the dwellings, complete replacement of the walls was impractical. Thus Halsall Associates in conjunction with CLS Cintec Canada participated in the development of a stabilization strategy.



The proposals were:

a. Use of the Cintec corbel anchor to transfer vertical loads from the exterior walls to the back-up walls

b. Broken header ties to be restored using Cintec stitching anchors.

These concepts were proven with full laboratory load tests. Results available upon request.

In areas where the exterior walls were beyond repair, Cintec anchors were installed with retaining plates to prevent collapse of the panel. while it was being dismantled. The anchor was used to tie the new brickwork to the back-up wall.

The back-up wall was found, during construction, to be not fully supported on the slab edge at some locations. A special RWT, 15mm two stage anchor was designed and supplied to provide the necessary support. This special two-stage anchor had an oversized second stage sock. This was secured into the floor slab, and the second stage was inflated under the inner leaf overhang to provide support. The use of Cintec anchors thus provided stabilization and repair on this

project, without disturbance or relocation of the tenants.

Conclusions of the Test Report:

The test assembly failed by crushing of the concrete block interior (back-up) wythe at the corbel anchors. The observed failure load of 10.3 Kn (2295 lb) exceeded the design (service) load of 2.85 Kn (636 lb) by a factor of 3.6.

Engineers Halsall Associates, Toronto, Ontario, Canada Contractors Maxim Group General Contractors, Concord, Ontario, Canada





PAGE 1 OF 9 PROJECT NO.: 92x722C DATE: 21 MAY, 1992

### LOAD TEST DATA

TEST PERFORMED BY: PROJECT NO.: ROBERT HALSALL AND ASSOCIATES LTD. 92x722C

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

LOCATION: DATE: BURLINGTON, ONTARIO, CANADA 21 MAY, 1992

CLIENT:

CINTEC CANADA

TEST COMPONENT SUPPLIER:

**CINTEC CANADA** 

### COMPONENT DESCRIPTION:

Cintec Harke Cementitious Corbel and Stitching Grout Anchors.

### OBJECT:

To determine the load carrying capacity in vertical shear of a masonry exterior wall system using CINTEC injection anchors to tie the two wythes together and to transfer gravity load of the exterior wythe to the interior (back-up) wythe.

### CONCLUSIONS:

The test assembly failed by crushing of the concrete block interior (back-up) wythe at the corbel anchors. The observed failure load of 10.3 Kn (2295 lb) exceeded the design (service) load of 2.85 Kn (636 lb) by a factor of 3.6.

Test witnessed by:

Report prepared by:

Jokinen, P.Eng.

Show

Jokinen, P.Eng.

BOFESSIO OF



PAGE 2 OF 9 PROJECT NO.: 92x722C DATE: 21 MAY, 1992







STITCHING ANCHOR

ROBERT HALSALL AND ASSOCIATES LIMITED

PAGE 4 OF 9 PROJECT NO.: 92x722C DATE: 21 MAY, 1992



TEST SET-UP



PAGE 5 OF 9 PROJECT NO.: 92x722C DATE: 21 MAY, 1992

# **TEST SET UP PHOTOGRAPHS**



CONSULTING ENGINEERS

PAGE 6 OF 9

PROJECT NO.: 92x722C DATE: 21 MAY, 1992

P (lb.)	DEFLECTION	DEFLECTION
	GAUGE 1 (in)	GAUGE 2 (in)
0	1.600	1.600
109	1.595	1.596
656	1.591	1.593
875	1.590	1.592
984	1.588	1.588
1313	1.586	1.585
1531	1.584	1.582
1750	1.582	1.579
1979	1.579	1.574
2208	1.578	1 570
2437	1.576	1 567
2606	1.574	1 564
2895	1.570	1.560
3125	1.569	1.554
3353	1.566	1.547
3582	1.565	1.540
3811	1.562	1.536
4040	1.561	1.533
4260	1.557	1.527
4480	1.555	1.525
4700	1.554	1.523
4920	1.552	1.520
5140	1.550	1.516
5360	1.544	1.509
5580	1.542	1.504
5800	1.538	1.498
6020	1.476	1 435





PAGE 7 OF 9 PROJECT NO.: 92x722C DATE: 21 MAY, 1992



REAR VIEW

FAILURE MODE

LOAD PER ANCHOR AT FAILURE = 8.93 Kn (2007#) DESIGN (SERVICE) LOAD PER ANCHOR FOR THIS TEST ASSEMBLY = 2.85 Kn (636#)

> ROBERT HALSALL AND ASSOCIATES LIMITED CONSULTING ENGINEERS

# MORTAR CUBE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH

SPECIMEN BLOCK WALL A1		P(N)	DIMENSIONS (mm)	COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (MPa)	AGE AT TEST
		12900	50.4 x 49.3	5.2	
MORTAR	A2	13800	49.8 x 50.0	5.5	
	A3	12800	51.2 x48.95	5.1	
			AVERAGE:	5.3 MPa = 768 psi	@ 15 DAYS
BRICK WALL	B1	20700	49.7 x 50.0	8.3	
MORTAR	B2	25700	49.2 x 50.2	10.4	
	B3	24100	50.2 x 49.6	9.7	
			AVERAGE:	9.5 MPa = 1,380 psi	@ 14 DAYS
CINTEC ANCHORS	C1	111750	50.0 x 50.5	44.3	
GROUT	C2	107250	50.0 x 50.8	42.2	
	C3	110500	50.0 x 50.4	43.8	
			AVERAGE:	43.4 MPa = 6,295 psi	@ 5 DAYS

#### MORTAR CUBES

CUBES A1. A2. A3 CAST:

MIX

5 MAY, 1992 4:20PM TESTED:

20 MAY, 1992 1 PART TYPE 'S' MASONRY CEMENT (LAKE ONTARIO CEMENT) **3 PARTS CLEAR BRICK SAND CLEAN TAP WATER** 

MIX 1/2 HOUR OLD AT TIME OF CASTING, MORTAR USED FOR BOTTOM 5 COURSES OF CONCRETE BLOCK WALL, D PALMOLIVE DISH DETERGENT RELEASE AGENT IN FORMS, CUBES REMOVED FROM FORM AT 11:00PM, 5 MAY, 1992, AND LEFT ON FLOOR OF LAB TO CURE

### CUBES B1, B2, B3

CAST: 6 MAY, 1992 1:30PM TESTED: 20 MAY, 1992 MIX: SAME AS 1st SET MORTAR NEWLY MADE; USED FOR BOTTOM 5 COURSES OF CONCRETE BLOCK WALL CUBES REMOVED FROM FORM AT 7:00PM

CUBES C1, C2, C3 CAST: 15 MAY, 1992 11:00AM TESTED: 20 MAY, 1992 GROUT FOR CINTEC ANCHOR

# BRICK VENEER DENSITY MEASUREMENT

1. REPRESENTATIVE SECTION OF BRICK VENEER 2. INDIVIDUAL BRICK USED IN TEST INSTALLATION Represents 0.082 sq.m. of wall = 0.880 s.f. Represents 0.013 sq.m of wall = 0.138 s.f Weight = 14.70 kg (32.93#) Weight = 2.0 kg (4.48#) Calculated Weight / Surface Area of Wall: Calculated Weight / Surface Area of Wall: 179.27 kg/sq.m or 37.42 p.s.f. 153.85 kg/sq.m or 36.46 p.s.f.



PAGE 9 OF 9 PROJECT NO.: 92x722C DATE: 21 MAY, 1992

> ROBERT HALSALL AND ASSOCIATES LIMITED CONSULTING ENGINEERS



**PROPOSED ANCHOR APPLICATION** 

# **CINTEC ANCHOR TESTING**

Toronto Hydro Building Canada

TYPE: TESTING OF CORBELL ANCHORS FOR SHEAR LOADS

BY:

HALSALL AND ASSOCIATES (SEPTEMBER 1992)





TEST PERFORMED BY:ROBERT HALSALL AND ASSOCIATES LIMITEDPage 1 of 8FOR:TORONTO HYDROPROJECT NO.:92x713C/aCOMPONENT SUPPLIER:CINTEC CANADADATE:9 SEPTEMBER, 1992

## LOAD TEST DATA

TEST PERFORMED BY: PROJECT NO.: ROBERT HALSALL AND ASSOCIATES LTD. 92x713C CONSULTING ENGINEERS

LOCATION: DATE: BURLINGTON, ONTARIO, CANADA 9 SEPTEMBER, 1992

CLIENT:

TORONTO HYDRO

TEST COMPONENT SUPPLIER:

**CINTEC CANADA** 





### **COMPONENT DESCRIPTION:**

Cintec Harke Cementitious Corbel and Stitching Grout Anchors.

### OBJECT:

To determine the load carrying capacity in vertical shear of a masonry exterior wall system using CINTEC injection anchors to the two wythes together and to transfer gravity load of the exterior wythe to the interior (back-up) wythe.

### **CONCLUSIONS:**

The test assembly failed by a flexural bond failure in the interior (back-up) wythe. The observed failure load of 40.6 Kn (9130 lb) exceeded the design (service) load of 5.67 Kn (1274 lb) by a factor of 7.2.

The ultimate shear capacity of the anchors could not be determined as the wall assembly failed prior to reaching the failure load of the anchors.

Test witnessed by:

Eng.P. Jokinen, P.Eng.



Report prepared by:

Eric P. Jokinen, P.Eng.



TEST BY:ROBERT HALSALL AND ASSOCIATES LIMITEDPage 2 of 8FOR:TORONTO HYDROPROJECT NO.: 92x713C/aCOMPONENT SUPPLIER:CINTEC CANADADATE: 9 SEPTEMBER, 1992

TEST ASSEMBLY DRAWINGS (all measurments in mm):



TEST BY:ROBERT HALSALL AND ASSOCIATES LIMITEDPage 3 of 8FOR:TORONTO HYDROPROJECT NO.: 92x713C/aCOMPONENT SUPPLIER:CINTEC CANADADATE: 9 SEPTEMBER, 1992

TEST SET-UP





TEST BY:ROBERT HALSALL AND ASSOCIATES LIMITEDPage 4 of 8FOR:TORONTO HYDROPROJECT NO.:92x713C/aCOMPONENT SUPPLIER:CINTEC CANADADATE:9 SEPTEMBER, 1992

# **TEST SET-UP PHOTO**



.



 TEST BY:
 ROBERT HALSALL AND ASSOCIATES LIMITED
 Page 5 of 8

 FOR:
 TORONTO HYDRO
 PROJECT NO.: 92x713C/a

 COMPONENT SUPPLIER:
 CINTEC CANADA
 DATE: 9 SEPTEMBER, 1992




**TEST BY:** FOR: **COMPONENT SUPPLIER:** 

#### ROBERT HALSALL AND ASSOCIATES LIMITED **TORONTO HYDRO** PROJECT NO: 92x713C/a DATE: **9 SEPTEMBER, 1992 CINTEC CANADA**

#### LOAD TEST

MLORD P			
Lectron	DAGREZ L	GUAGE	GUAGE #2
0	0	0	0
279	275	0	0
729	720	0	0.001
1215	1200	0.001	0.001
1620	1600	0.002	0.001
2070	2044	0.003	0.001
2070	2044	0.003	0.003
2250	2222	0.004	0.002
2520	2489	0.004	0.003
2790	2755	0.005	0.0037
3060	3022	0.006	0.0025
3330	3289	0.008	0.003
3510	3467	0.009	0.004
3780	3733	0.012	0.004

FAILURE LOAD 15,518 POUNDS TOTAL ON 2 JACKS



Page 6 of 8

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

ROBERT HALSALL AND ASSOCIATES LIMITED

TEST BY:ROBERT HALSALL AND ASSOCIATES LIMITEDPage 7 of 8FOR:TORONTO HYDROPROJECT NO.: 92x713C/aCOMPONENT SUPPLIER:CINTEC CANADADATE: 9 SEPTEMBER, 1992

#### FAILURE MODE:





TEST BY:ROBERT HALSALL AND ASSOCIATES LIMITEDPage 8 of 8FOR:TORONTO HYDROPROJECT NO.92x713C/aCOMPONENT SUPPLIER:CINTEC CANADADATE:9 SEPTEMBER, 1992



**TYPICAL PRISM** 

- PRISMS WERE CUT FROM TEST WALL
- PRISM ENDS WERE CAPPED WITH HYDROSTONE CEMENT

PRISM #	L	н	W	LOAD	COMPRESS	IVE STRESS
					(psi)	(MPa)
1	8.5"	8.25"	3.875*	116,500	3537	24.4
2	8.375*	8.25"	3.875"	103,500	3189	22.0
3	6.5"	8.25"	4"	89,000	3423	23.6
				AVG	3383	23.3

TESTS PERFORMED BY MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, HAMILTON, ONTARIO

PRISMS MADE:	20 AUGUST, 1992
TESTED:	22 OCTOBER, 1992
AGE:	63 DAYS

#### **CINTEC GROUT CUBE COMPRESSION TEST:**

	COMPRESSIVE
CUBE	STRENGTH
Α	35.5 MPa
В	34.0 MPa
С	<u>37,1 MPa</u>
	AVG 35.5 MPa = 5148 PSI @ 11 DAYS

50 mm CUBES CAST 28 AUGUST, 1992; TESTED 8 SEPTEMBER, 1992 BY J.T. DONALD CONSULTANTS LTD.

#### STONE DENSITY MEASUREMENT

SAMPLE:12" x 12" x 2.25" INDIANA GREY LIMESTONEMEASURED WEIGHT:11.8 Kg = 26 lbsDENSITY:2354 Kg/cm = 147 PCF



### **CINTEC ANCHOR TESTING**

Botanical Gardens Botanical Gardens Montreal Canada

TYPE: RAC (10 MM X 1 CHS – [3/8" DIA CHS])

TESTING ENGINEERS: JASMIN TRUDEL ING. LM SAUVÉ (1994)



L.M. SAUYE (1964) Lámitée

16182

85/84/94

St-Léonard, May 4th, 1994

#### Monsleur François Robert

Gestionnaire de projet - Ville de Montréal Services de l'Approvisionnement et des immeubles Module des services professionnels immobiliers Division programmes et projets 385, rue Sherbrooke est Montréal (Québec) - H2X 1E3

Subject: Montreal's Botanical Garden

Sir,

You will find, included, a copy of the results obtained after some tests were done on the anchor's traction CINTEC/HARKE.

We would like to point out that the weakest results obtained are shown on tests Nos. 5, 6 and 7. Please take note that these tests were performed on the roof level where the masonry joints are totally damaged. We have to take into consideration the weakness of the terra-cota in that zone.

Hoping you will find everything to your satisfaction, we remain,

Yours truly,

L.M. SAUVÉ (1984) LIMITÉE A Sauvé Group Company

Jasmin Oneder Jasmin Trudel, Ing. Jr. Project manager

Encl.

JT/gl



SIGNED Learnin Curded ing h FOR & ON BEITALF OF CAVITY LOCK SYSTEMS I.M. POSITION :-• •

# COMMENTS

	LASMIN TRUBEL	PRINT NAME
	L.M. SAUVE.	COMFANY
	DIRECTEUR DE PROJET	POSITION
	329-5399	PHONE NUMBER

NOTIMEANCHORREQUIREDA1 $27/4/99$ 2345775	TEST .	TEST	INSTALL	TYPEOF	LOAD	1	LUVD	I.DAD RACE	LOAD BASE SOCK	LOAD BACE COCK COCK
27/4/94  1  """""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""	NO		INSTALL TIME	TYPE OF ANCHOR	LOAD REQUIRED	ACIII	DAD EIVED	DAD BASE EIVED MATERIAL	DAD BASE SOCK EIVED MATERIAL INBEDNIENT	DAD BASE SOCK SOCK EIVED MATERIAL IMBEDMENT DIAMETER
11 9.5 13. 11 1 11 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1	-		27/4/99			II KN		2400 LBS	2400 LBS 10.5 Pouch	2400 LBS 10.5 Pouch
7 6 II II II II II II II II II II II II II		N	"			9.5 KN		2000 :33	2000 :25 "	»، کٹن 2000
NG N A 11 1 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.		w				13.1 KM	2	S&7 0062 1	1 2900 L&S "	1 2900 LBS "
NGN 11   NGN 11   Size		<b>.</b> թ	N			:/ K	N	N 2400 LBS	N 2400 UBS "	N 2400 UBS "
7 11 5.1		n				7.6	KZ	KN 1650 LBS	KN 1650 LBS 11	KN 1650 LBS 11
7 11 5.		9	11			5.5	KN	KN 1200 L85	KN 1200 LBS 11	KN 1200 LBS 11
						5.0	xz	XN NOO - PK	KN MAD IRC :	KN MOD IRS

N NOCHONAL	UNID/TIVIT.	
	DATE GAL E IA	
		M.SAUKE
SITE ADDRESS	LEST ANCHURS & RESULTS	CONTRAL ANDRESS

12

I.

PERSONS PRESENT ON TEST/DEMONSTRATION



MUR TYPE I (TYMPAN)

PAVILLON ADMINISTRATIF DU JARDIN BOTANIQUE VILLE DE MONTREAL







### **Anchor Layout**

18 x 36system



### **CINTEC ANCHOR TESTING**

In-house Testing Real Audencia, San Juan, Puerto Rico



**TYPE:** 

### AXIAL PULL TESTS (1995)

### Test Report on Axial Pull Tests on Inflated Cintec Anchors

Project:	Real Audencia Fortaleza Street
Location:	San Juan, P.R.
Client:	Government of Puerto Rico State Historic Office La Fortaleza San Juan, P.R. 00901
Architect:	Roca and Associates 253 Fortaleza Street 4th Floor San Juan, P.R. 00902-2876
Engineer:	Wigberto Ponton Consulting Engineer 509 Sagrado Corazon Street Santurce, P.R. 00915
Contractor:	Redondo Construction GPO Box 4185 San Juan, P.R. 00936
Testing:	Robert Lloyd-Rees, F.F.B. Cavity Lock Systems Ltd.

#### Introduction

The property constructed in approximately 1710 os built of Calicanto [sand, limestone and brick rubble] and has been left derilect in recent years pending restoration. The roof was the original roof structure but was covered with temporary metal sheet finish. We understand that the property is a "listed Historic Building" and is to be restored. Following periods of torential rainfall and a minor earthquake on Friday 27<sup>th</sup> October sections of the roof together with sections of the walls collapsed. Following a site inspection on Tuesday 31<sup>st</sup> October the following test procedures were agreed.

Test procedures: [NB - All directions are based on facing the building from outside]

Front right hand room - Left hand partition wall. A core sample of the full depth of the wall was taken in order to determine the strength of the Calicanto.

<u>Front wall</u>: 7 N° Cintec Anchors type RCT [rigid circular tie] 10 x 1 x 203mm ' $_{3/8}" \oslash x 8"$ long] with single sock and 10 x 1 x 203 [ $_{3/8}" \oslash x 8"$ ] with two 76mm [ $_3"$ ] socks were inserted in 20mm [ $_{3/4}"$ ]  $\oslash$  holes which had been cleared of all loose debris and had been prewetted. At 1300hrs Atlantic time, Wednesday November 1<sup>st</sup> 1995 a batch of grout was made comprising 12.5kg of Presstec grout mixed with 3 litres of portable water. The anchors were then injected with the grout mix until it was evident that the front section of the sock was fully inflated. The injection nozzle was then held in place for a further ten (10) seconds. At the same time 3 test cubes of grout were taken. The first being taken before the injection of anchors, the second during and the third after, the anchors had been injected.

#### Testing

The testing took place on Friday November 3<sup>rd</sup> 1995 at 10:00hrs some 45hrs after the anchors had been inflated in the presence of Eric Jokinen and Alexis Ocasio both being engineers.

#### Testing Equipment

Hilti MKV comprising standard 150mm long load spreading bridge, tester with interchangeable gauges [0 - 20kN]. Copy of original calibration certificate dated 28<sup>th</sup> June 1995 for gauges ref. HT94B2 and HT94B1. For the tests gauge HT94B1 was used.

#### Test Procedure

The load is applied on the anchor using a hilti tester (loading jack) with appropriate gauge attached. The anchor is connected to the loading jack through a threaded bar, nuts and washers.

The testing jack is supported on a load spreading bridge with 3 legs resting on the wall surface.

When the knob on the jack is turned the jack applies a load to the anchor. The load applied is related to the pressure indicated by the pressure gauge attached to the jack.

The load is to be applied in increments of 220lbs (1kN). The load at each increment to be held for one minute and the anchor to be observed for any indications of failure.

#### Load

Anchors to be tested to failure/20kN.

#### Test Results

The anchors were loaded and observations were recorded as shown in the attached tables.

1*				
	3*		*6	
		*5	40 E E	
2*	4*		*7	

#### Anchor Layout Front Righthand Wall

#### Test Results on Cintec Anchor No. 1

Load on Anchor	(lbs)	Holding Time (minutes)	Observations
220	(1kN)	1	none
440	(2kN)	1	none
660	(3kN)	1	none
880	(4kN)	1	none
1100	(5kN)	1	none
1320	(6kN)	1	none
1540	(7kN)	1	none
1760	(8kN)	1	попе
1980	(9kN)	1	none
2200	(10kN)	1	stripped thread of test anchor

Load on Anchor	(lbs)	Holding Time Minutes	Observations
220	(1kN)	1	none
440	(2kN)	1	none
660	(3kN)	1	none
880	(4kN)	1	none
1100	(5kN)	1	none
1320	(6kN)	1	none
1540	(7kN)	1	none
1760	(8kN)	1	none
1980	(9kN)	1 min second time 5 mins.	anchor steel slowly moved out and stayed gauge slipped back to 9kn then started retesting
2200 .	(10kN)	1	none
2420	(11kN)	1	none
2640	(12kN)	1	none
2860	(13kN)	1	none
3080	(14kN)	1	none
3300	(15kN)	1	none
3520	(16kN)	1	none
3740	(17Kn)	1	none
3960	(18kN)	1	none
4180	(19kN)	1	none
4400	(20kN)	5 minutes	maximum load of test equipment

Load on Anchor	(lbs)	Holding Time Minutes	Observations
220	(1kN)	1	none
440	(2kN)	1	none
660	(3kN)	1	none
880	(4kN)	1	none
1100	(5kN)	1	none
1320	(6kN)	1	none
1540	(7kN)	1	none
1760	(8kN)	1	none
1980	(9kN)		stripped thread on test anchor

P

C

ľ

ľ

ſ

6

31

Load on A	nchor (lbs)	Holding Time Minutes	Observations
220	(1kN)	1	none
440	(2kN)	1	none
660	(3kN)	1	none
880	(4kN)	1	none
1100	(5kN)	1	none
1320	(6kN)	1	none
1540	(7kN)	1	none
1760	(8kN)	1	none
1980	(9kN)	1	none
2200	(10kN)	1	none
2420	(11kN)	1	none
2640	(12kN)	1	none
2860	(13kN)	з 1	none
3080	(14kN)	1	none
3300	(15kN)	1	none
3520	(16kN)	1	none
3740	(17Kn)	1	none
3960	(18kN)	1	none
4180	(19kN)	1	none
4400	(20kN)	5 minutes	maximum load of test equipment

No visible damage to the anchor was observed at all increments of the load.



Load on Anchor	(lbs)	Holding Time Minutes	Observations
220	(1kN)	1	none
440	(2kN)	1	none
660	(3kN)	1	none
880	(4kN)	1	none
1100	(5kN)	1	none
1320	(6kN)	1	none
1540	(7kN)	1	none
1760	(8kN)	1	none
1980	(9kN)		steel connector broke

Test Results on Cintec Anchor No. 6

Load on Anchor	(lbs)	Holding Time Minutes	Observations
220	(1kN)	1	none
440	(2kN)	1	none
660	(3kN)	1	none
880	(4kN)	1	none
1100	(5kN)	1	none
1320	(6kN)	1	попе
1540	(7kN)		stripped thread on test anchor



Load on Anchor	(lbs)	Holding Time Minutes	Observations
220	(1kN)	1	none
440	(2kN)	1	none
660	(3kN)	1	none
880	(4kN)	1	none
1100	(5kN)	1	none
1320	(6kN)	. 1	none
1540	(7kN)		none
1760	(8kN)	1	none
1980	(9kN)	1	none
2200	(10kN)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	stripped thread on test anchor

Compressive strength of grout

Sample 18,500 lbSample 210,000 lbSample 39,000 lb

Average strength of sample 9,267

SECTION NO. 10

Strength of Calicanto



### STITCHING ANCHOR



Anchor body design dependent on load

Number and position dependent on structural condition

Sock expansions into the \_ soft friable core

> TEL 1-800-363-6066 FAX 1-800-461-1862

DESIGNED ANCHOR SYSTEMS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY

CONSULT	
Geotechnical Engineers	

Project Redondo	(Doitaliza)
Description	p
Date 11/15/95	File No
By of. Batil	Checked
Scale	Sheet No of

P.O. Box 10328, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00922 Telephones (809) 782-3554 / 783-3585

Estimado Stag. Rivers:

ga mustras trainas a mestro laboratorio

por su personal en gorna de "corper Capo" junar sometidas à compression y la resultada en libros / yuenza " yuenon los siguientes :

1.8,500 & 2.10,000 & 3.9,000 &

atentimente.

Ferrordo Batilo Gabratorio



Mr. James Reid Keystone Traditional Masonry Inc. Ashton, Ontario K0A1B0

Re: Anchor Testing 457 Sussex Drive Ottawa, Ontario

Dear Mr. Reid

Following your request, Cintec Reinforcement Systems Ltd. (Cintec) performed pull out tests at the above mentioned site. Testing was done by Gene Quesnel and Brad Fraser of Cintec.

Fieldwork was carried out on March 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2015.

Present as observers were: Agatha Lopez - DFS Inc., Joe Hoskins - NCC, Steve Woodbury - Jokinen Engineering Services and James Reid - Keystone Traditional Masonry Inc.



Testing was conducted on three (3) Cintec anchors (Type A, M12) with overall length of 16 inches, socked 15 1/4 inches and exposed 3/4inch. A ½ inch diameter joining nut was attached to allow connection to testing equipment. See picture # 1.

Anchors were installed on February 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2015 and embedded full depth in a 1 ¼ inch cored hole and allowing 2 inches to wall face for hole plugging and make good. All three (3) anchors were installed in second floor area of West Elevation. Substrate was inner / outer stone with rubble infill. Each anchor location was given a unique identifying number. The Test Anchors were designated 31-14, 36-11 and 36-15.



Anchors were loaded in 1 Kn increments and held for a period of three (3) minutes between loadings. Loads at beginning and end of each three (3) minute intervals were recorded. Dial readings at beginning and end of each three (3) minute intervals were also recorded. Data recorded on "Field Data Form" and witnessed by Observers. Loading continued to 4Kn. See picture # 2



Note that test requirement was to 3 Kn but early loading showed "settling" of apparatus so was continued to 4 Kn to confirm no anchor / substrate movement.

Testing was carried out with a calibrated Hydrajaws, Model 2000 Tester, Serial # 01675430370 and a Mitutoyo #2416s deflection dial meter.



The Hydrajaws test apparatus is a purpose made system for testing anchors and consists of a mechanical screw-jack arrangement fitted through a hydraulic load cell. The tester was suspended from above by means of a wire attached to a Tapcon concrete screw. The Cintec anchor was attached to the test equipment using an M12 threaded rod and nut adapter. The leg lengths of the test equipment were adjusted so that all 3 legs were in contact with the base material and the line of action of the test meter was axial with the anchor under test. See picture # 3, 5 and 6

The Mitutoyo 2416S-10 dial indicator is used to measure surface variations. It has a measurement range of 0 to 1.0", graduations of 0.001", an accuracy of + or - 0.002", and a range per revolution of 0.1". The dial has a jeweled bearing for accurate readings. This model is a continuous dial with a reading of 0-100, for direct readings. See picture # 4, 5 and 6







Picture # 5





#### FIELD DATA FORM

DATE: March 3, 2015

Anchors to be loaded in 1 Kn increments and held for a period of 3 minutes between loadings. Loads at beginning and end of each three minute interval to be recorded. Dial reading at beginning and end of each three minute interval to be recorded (elongation). Note any changes to substrate and / or anchor body.

#### ANCHOR 1 #31-14

Load Begin	Load End	Dial Begin	Dial End	Dial Change	Observation
1 Kn	1 Kn	.0620	.0620	.0000	No discernible change to substrate
2 Kn	2 Kn	.0615	.0615	.0000	or anchor- dial gauge variance likely
3 Kn	3 Kn	.0615	.0580	.0035	due to equipment / surface settling
4 Kn	4 Kn	.0580	.0580	.0000	

#### ANCHOR 2 #36-11

Load Begin	Load End	Dial Begin	Dial End	Dial Change	Observation
1 Kn	1 Kn	.0420	.0420	.0000	No discernible change to substrate
2 Kn	2 KN	.0425	.0430	.0005	or anchor- dial gauge variance likely
3 Kn	3 Kn	.0440	.0440	.0000	due to equipment / surface settling
4 Kn	4 Kn	.0450	.0450	.0000	

#### ANCHOR 3 #36-15

Load Begin	Load End	Dial Begin	Dial End	Dial Change	Observation
1 Kn	1 Kn	.0565	.0565	.0000	No discernible change to substrate
2 Kn	2 kn	.0565	.0570	.0005	or anchor- dial gauge variance likely
3 Kn	3 Kn	.0540	.0540	.0000	due to equipment / surface settling
4 Kn	4 Kn	.0540	.0540	.0000	

#### PROJECT: 457 Sussex Drive

Signatures of Observers are on File with Cintec Reinforcement Systems Field Paperwork on File with Cintec Reinforcement Systems

#### **OBSERVERS:**

NAME	COMPANY	SIGNATURE (on file)
Agatha Lopez	DFS Inc.	Agatha Lopez
Joe Hoskins	NCC	Joe Hoskins
Steve Woodburry	Jokinen Engineering	Steve Woodburry
James Reid	Keystone Masonry	James Reid



#### **OBSERVATIONS**

All anchoring withstood a minimum of 4.0 Kn load. No apparent fracturing or movement of the substrate. No apparent movement, distortion or failure of the anchor. No apparent failure of grout bond to anchor body or substrate.

Trusting the above meets your requirements and should you have any further questions, please do not hesitate in contacting the undersigned.

Yours truly

Gene P. Quesnel

Cintec Reinforcement Systems Ltd. 38 Auriga Drive,

Nepean, Ontario, Canada

K2E 8A5



Francis Vanasse STGM Architectes 2980 boul. Sainte-Anne, Quebec, QC G1E 3J3

Philip Bernard WSP Canada Inc. 5355, boulevard des Gradins, Quebec, QC G2J 1C8

John Diodati EVOQ Architecture / FGMA 1435Rue St-Alexandre, Bureau 1000 Montreal, QC H3A 2G4

**REFERENCE** 

Anchor Testing Manoir Richelieu Malbaie, QC

Gentlemen,

Following your request, Cintec Reinforcement Systems Ltd. performed installation and pull out tests at the above mentioned site of Cintec RAC anchors as shown in picture 1 & 2.

**Installation Fieldwork** was carried out on September 19, 2016 by Gene Quesnel of Cintec Reinforcement Systems Ltd. with the assistance of Guillom Hamel and Mathew of L'Intendant Constructeur.

Installation of 5 anchors of 4 ½" embed (terminating in Siporex) and 5 anchors of 5 ½" embed (terminating between Siporex and insulation). All anchors installed to Cintec protocol. Anchors were embedded in a ¾ inch cored and profiled hole. See picture 3. All anchors installed through ¾" plywood and into substrate. See pictures 4&5. Misalignment of hole in plywood with hole in substrate disallowed ideal placement of anchor washer to plywood face. See picture 6. This is not and should not be a concern as install was for capacity testing and not final installation. This condition is easily corrected in future. There was also concern about having the plywood tight against the roof. It was shown that the plywood could easily be drawn to the roof with a wood screw prior to anchor inflation. Once the anchor is in place the plywood cannot move.

September 29, 2016

Anchor testing fieldwork was carried out on September 27, 2016 by Gene Quesnel of Cintec Reinforcement Systems Ltd. and observed by Philippe Bernard of WSP Canada Inc.

Testing was conducted on six (6) Cintec type RAC anchors, 5 with embed length of 4 ½" inches and 1 with embed of 5 ½". All fitted with a shop installed female ferrule to allow attachment to test equipment. Since all anchors of 4 ½" embed depth exceeded test requirements there was no need to test all the longer anchors. Plywood was cut away around anchors so that test equipment could rest on the existing roof surface assuring pull test of anchor in Siporex material only. See picture 7.

Requirement was for a minimum of 100 lb. load, target load for safety was 500 lbs. and actual tested load was 700 lbs.

Anchors were loaded in 1 Kn (225 lbs.) increments and held for a period of 1 minute between loadings. Loads at beginning and end of each one minute intervals were observed. Failure is defined as the load point at which the load could not be maintained for the one minute period. The load at the end of the one minute period was considered the "hold" load. Loading continued through 2 Kn. and 3+ Kn. load. Final hold load was observed and photographed to be in excess of 3+ Kn. or 700 lbs. See picture 8.

Testing was carried out with a calibrated Hydrajaws, Model 2000 Fixing Tester, Serial # 01675430370. The Hydrajaws test meter is a purpose made system for testing fixings and consists of a mechanical screw-jack arrangement fitted through a hydraulic load cell. The ferrel of the Cintec anchor was attached to the test equipment using an M8 threaded rod and button adapter. The leg lengths of the test equipment were adjusted so that all 3 legs were in contact with the base material and the line of action of the test meter was axial with the anchor under test.

#### **Observations**

- 1. All anchoring withstood a minimum of 3+ Kn (700 lbs.) load.
- 2. No apparent fracturing or movement of the substrate.
- 3. No failure of the anchoring.
- 4. No apparent failure of grout bond to anchor body or substrate.
- 5. Anchors performed as expected and required.
- 6. The use of Cintec anchors allows installation of anchor and plywood in one step.
- 7. The use of Cintec anchors eliminates the need of washer and nut assembly.
- 8. The use of Cintec anchors eliminates the need of a second layer of plywood.

#### Summary

Cintec anchors use a grout that is all natural and will not negatively impact the surrounding Siporex product. As well, the installed anchors are totally fireproof which must be considered in this type of application. The cost of a Cintec anchoring system should not be looked at in isolation but as part of an overall system / solution cost.

We trust the above meets your requirements and should you have any further questions, please do not hesitate in contacting the undersigned.

Yours truly

Gene Quesnel Cintec Reinforcement Systems Ltd. 38 Auriga Drive Nepean, Ontario K2E 8A5

1 613 225 3381



Francis Vanasse STGM Architectes 2980 boul. Sainte-Anne, Quebec, QC G1E 3J3

Philip Bernard WSP Canada Inc. 5355, boulevard des Gradins, Quebec, QC G2J 1C8

John Diodati EVOQ Architecture / FGMA 1435Rue St-Alexandre, Bureau 1000 Montreal, QC H3A 2G4 Le 29 septembre 2016

<u>RÉFÉRENCE</u>

Essais d'ancrage Manoir Richelieu Malbaie, QC

Messieurs,

Suite à votre demande, Cintec Reinforcement Systems Ltd. a effectué l'installation et les tests d'arrachement d'ancres Cintec RAC, comme indiqué dans les photos 1 & 2, au site mentionné ci-dessus,

**L'installation** a été effectué le 19 Septembre, 2016 par Gene Quesnel de Cintec Renforcement Systems Ltd. avec l'aide de Guillome Hamel et Mathieu de L'Intendant Constructeur.

Installation de 5 ancres de 4 ½ " intégration (se terminant dans le Siporex) et 5 ancres de 5 ½" intégration (se terminant entre le Siporex et isolation). Tous les points d'ancrage ont été installés selon le protocole Cintec. Les ancres ont été insérées dans un trou foré et profilée ¾ de pouce. Voir photo 3. Tous les points d'ancrage ont été installés à travers le contreplaqué ¾ " et dans le substrat. Voir les photos 4 et 5. Désalignement du trou en contreplaqué avec le trou dans le substrat empêche le placement idéal de la rondelle d'ancrage contre le contreplaqué. Voir photo 6. Cela ne veut pas et ne doit pas être un sujet de préoccupation car installation a été pour les tests de capacité et non de l'installation finale. Cette condition est facilement corrigée à l'avenir. On inquiétait aussi d'avoir le contreplaqué serré contre le toit. Nous avons montré que le contreplaqué pourrait facilement être rapproché sur le toit avec une vis à bois avant le gonflage de l'ancre. Une fois que l'ancre est en place le contreplaqué ne peut pas bouger.

**Test d'ancrage** effectué le 27 Septembre, 2016 par Gene Quesnel de Cintec Renforcement Systems Ltd. et observé par Philippe Bernard de WSP Canada Inc.

Essai a été effectué sur six (6) points d'ancrage de type Cintec RAC, 5 avec une longueur d'intégration de 4 ½ " et 1 avec intégration de 5 ½". Toutes équipé d'une férule pour permettre la fixation de l'équipement d'essai. Comme toutes les ancres de 4 ½" de profondeur d'intégration ont dépassé les exigences d'essai il n'y avait pas besoin de tester tous les points d'ancrage plus longs. Contreplaqué a été coupé autour des ancres de sorte que l'équipement de test pouvait reposer sur la surface de la toiture existante assurant essai de traction de l'ancre dans le matériel Siporex seulement. Voir photo 7.

L'exigence était pour un minimum de charge de 100 lbs, charge ciblée pour la sécurité était de 500 lbs. et la r charge réelle testée était de 700 lbs.

Les ancres ont été chargées par accroissements de1 Kn (225 lbs.) et maintenus pendant une période de 1 minute entre les chargements. Les charges au début et à la fin de chacun des intervalles d'une minute ont été observées. L'échec est défini comme étant le point où la charge ne peut pas être maintenue pendant la période d'une minute de charge. La charge à la fin de la période d'une minute a été considérée comme la charge de maintien. Le chargement fut poursuivi a travers 2 Kn. et 3+ Kn. La charge de maintien finale a été observée et photographiée à plus de 3+ Kn. ou 700 lbs. Voir photo 8.

Le test a été effectué avec un Hydrajaws calibré, modèle 2000, numéro de série 01675430370. Le compteur d'essai Hydrajaws est un système destiné spécifiquement aux évaluations de fixations d'essai et se compose d'un agencement vis-vérin mécanique monté à travers une cellule de charge hydraulique. Le ferrel de l'ancre Cintec a été attaché à l'équipement de test en utilisant une tige M8 filetée et un adapteur de bouton. La longueur de la jambe de l'équipement de test a été ajustée de telle sorte que toutes les 3 jambes soient en contact avec le matériau de base et la ligne d'action de l'appareil d'essai soit axiale avec le point d'ancrage à l'essai.

#### **Observations**

- 1. Tout d'ancrage a résisté à une charge minimum de 3+ Kn (700 lbs.)
- 2. Aucun mouvement ou fracturation apparent du substrat.
- 3. Aucune échec de l'ancrage.
- 4. Pas d'échec apparant du coulis au corps de l'ancre ou du substrat.
- 5. Les ancres ont agit comme prévu et selon les besoins.
- 6. L'utilisation des ancres Cintec permet l'installation de l'ancre et le contreplaqué en une seule étape.
- 7. L'utilisation des ancres Cintec élimine le besoin d'une rondelle et écrou.
- 8. L'utilisation des ancres Cintec élimine la nécessité d'une seconde couche de contreplaqué.

#### <u>Résumé</u>

Les ancres Cintec utilisent un coulis qui est tout naturel et qui n'aura pas une incidence négative au produit Siporex. De plus, les ancrages installés sont totalement ignifuges, ce qui doit être pris en considération dans ce type d'application. Le coût d'un système d'ancrage Cintec ne devrait pas être considérée seul, mais plutôt dans le cadre d'un coût global du système / solution.

Nous espérons que ce qui précède répond à vos exigences et si vous avez des questions supplémentaires, s'il vous plaît n'hésitez à contacter le soussigné.

Bien à vous

Gene Quesnel Cintec Reinforcement Systems Ltd. 38 Auriga Drive Nepean, Ontario K2E 8A5

1 613 225 3381

# Cintec International Limited

01/10/2016

PAGE 1 OF3

### **Digital Test Report**

#### CLIENT DETAILS

Client: Hochtief Contact: **Daniel Parkes** Address: Telephone: 07773046284 Email: Ref/Job No: RS7 - Route Clearance

#### SITE DETAILS

Site Location:

Site Contact(s): Telephone: Email:

A3102 Royal Wootton Bassett **Daniel Parkes** 

Witnesses Present: Dennis Lee & Allan Buckley

#### **FIXINGS DETAILS**

FIXING 2	
Manufacturer: Cintec International Limited	
Size: 16mm	
Type: Grip Bar	
Fixing/Cable: Fixing	
Material/Substrate:Brick Application:	
Embedment: 250mm	
Description: 50mm drill hole	
FIXING 4	
Manufacturer: Cintec International Limited	
Size: 16mm	
Type: Grip Bar	
Fixing/Cable: Fixing	
Material/Substrate:Brick	
Application:	
Embedment: 250mm	

Digital report powered by Hydrajaws Digital System.

#### Cintec International Limited PAGE 2 OF3 01/10/2016

### **Digital Test Report**

#### **TESTING DETAILS**

Testing Company:	Cintec International Limited
Testing Personnel:	Dennis Lee
Test Equipment Used:	Hydrajaws Model 2008 bluetooth
Test Conclusions:	All anchors achieved the test load required of 26.5 kN
Test Additional Info:	Each increment was held for 2 minutes and the required load for 5 minutes

Digital report powered by Hydrajaws Digital System.

## Cintec International Limited PAGE 3 OF3

01/10/2016

### **Digital Test Report**

#### **REPORT GRAPHS**











### **CINTEC ANCHOR TESTING**

Load Test Results In-house Testing

SUPERVISED BY: PETER SOBEK ING. (1996)



Hilti (Gt. Britain) Limited 1 Trafford Wharf Road Manchester M17 1BY

Telephone: 061-886 1000 Fax: 061-872 1240 Technical Advisory Service Telephone: 061-886 1144

# Certificate of Calibration

GAUGE REF.	No	HT 94 B1	<u> </u>			
GAUGE RANGE		0 - 20kN/1bF				
MODEL No		0087C				
We certify that of plus or minu	this Gauge has be s 2% F.S.D.	en inspected an	d calibrated for acc	curacy and passed w	vithin our limits	
Results obtained at calibration stage are as follows:-						
MASTER	4.00	8.00	12.00	16.00	20.00	
ACTIAI	4.00	8.00	12.00	16.00	20.00	

#### Calibration traceable to N.P.L. Standards

Accuracy of gauges as stated above cannot be guaranteed should the unit be subjected to mis-use. Gauge will be permanently damaged should maximum load be exceeded.

This unic will b	e due for calibration on	28 June 1996
C	Hilti (Gt. Britain)	Limited
Customer	51/15762	28 June 1995
Order No	51715702	Date of Calibration

Approved Signatory						
Date	28	June	1995			

c

24
## CINTEC

## **Load Test Results**

A wall measuring 1000mm thick was built from medium density bricks to conduct destructive testing on the Cintec Anchoring system.

An hydraulic hollow ram cylinder with a load range of 0 to 300 kn in 5 kn increments was used for two of the tests, but this was changed to a hollow ram cylinder with a load range of 0 to 120 kn with 2 kn increments this enabled us to achieve greater accuracy on the displacement values.

Displacement was measured using a digital readout dial gauge in 0.01 mm increments.

The characteristics of the stainless steel sections utilised were as follows :-

M12 stainless steel studding -

Grade 304

144

M16 stainless steel studding.

Grade 304

15mm x 15mm x 1.5mm stainless steel square hollow section.

Grade 304 S15 Proof stress = 195 N/mm<sup>2</sup> Tensile strength = 500 N/mm<sup>2</sup> Elongation = 40%

20mm x 20mm x 2mm stainless steel square hollow section.

Grade 304 S15 Proof stress = 195 N/mm<sup>2</sup> Tensile strength = 500 N/mm<sup>2</sup> Elongation = 40%

(These values are for strip stainless steel and are altered slightly after forming into square hollow section )

The gout used was the standard Presstec Grout with the typical values as follows :-

Compression = 40 N/mm<sup>2</sup> @ 28 days Tension = 3.3 N/mm<sup>2</sup> @ 28 days

All anchor failure modes were steel to grout . None of the failures were grout to parent material.

			7		Ty	pe of Te	st:	Inst	all Date	Test Date
				4	Dire	ect Axial Pu	ll	1	04.01.96	30.04.96
									Anata	Tune
			-		Sit	e Address		-	M12 St	udding
1					War	rehouse			Line Of	addining .
Load - Start	Time Held	d Load - End	d Extension	Relaxation	Unit	23/24,			Embed	ment Depth
K.N.		KN	mm.	mm.	Alba	any Industr	ial Estate,		200mm	
1	2 mins.	1	0.00	0.00	New	vport,			-	
2	2 mins.	2	0.00	0.00	Bor	ough of Ne	wport,		Bore H	ole Diameter
4	2 mins	4	0.01	0.01	Sou	tri wales.			24 mm	
5	2 mins	5	0.08	0.08	-				Para	latericl
6	2 mins.	6	0.09	0.09	-			-	Medium	Density Brick
7	2 mins.	7	0.09	0.09					Integration	Density Drick
8	2 mins.	8	0.10	0.10					Require	d Load
9	2 mins.	9	0.11	0.11				_		
10	2 mins.	10	0.11	0.11	Anc	hor Locat	ion			
11	2 mins.	11	0.12	0.12	Test	wall			Grout T	ype
13	2 mins.	12	0.12	0.12	-		_		Presster	Standard
14	2 mins	14	0.13	0.13	-				Anata	Mada
15	2 mins.	15	0.14	0.14	1				Stainlas	steel
16	2 mins.	16	0.15	0.15					otannes	Gleen
17	2 mins.	17	0.15	0.15						
18	2 mins.	18	0.16	0.16	20	-	1			
19	2 mins.	19	0.16	0.16	18	-				+
	-	-			- 10					+
		-			- 10				٠	
					14					
					212	-	-	-	+	
					110		_			
					000				•	
					1			•		
					6			+	1	
					4.	-		-		
-					2	•	_			
-		-				+				
	-					0	0.05	01	0	15 0.0
							Ð	dension -	mm.	
mmanu Al	-		le di la	Letter 44	-	_		-		
with Look	ine:	mitad	Engineers N	ame:				Any Sta	mps or Seals	from Witnesses
VILY LOCK S	deres Li	mited	Peter Sobek			_				
mpany Ad	aress:		Engineers A	ddress;	-					
clory Hoad	1		Friedhofstr. 3	7b						
wport,			D63322 Rode	rmark						
rough of N	ewport,		Germany		-					
uth Wales.			-							
9 5FA		-	-							
	-							-		
sons Pres	sent	Company			Positi	on		0	making	
		Cavity	Custome Line		Tel		-	51	gnature	
This Lee		Cavity Lock	Systems Limit	ed	Techni	ical Adviso	r	-		
Sobek		Ingenieurbu	reau Sobek		Indepe	endent Witr	ness			

			1 7		Type	of Te	st:	Ins	tall Date	Test Date
			-	4	Direct A	xial Pu	ili	04.	01.96	25.06.96
									Anaba	Tune
-			and the second		Site Ar	drees			Ancho	Idding
					Wareho	150			M12 St	udding
neri - Start	Time Hald	Load Fred	Extension	Belevetie	Unit 29/	24			Embod	ment Death
KN	Time rieso	K.N.	CASEMIAION	mm	Albany	ndustr	ial Estate		200mm	ment beput
2	2 mins.	2	0.00	0.00	Newport					
4	2 mins.	4	0.03	0.04	Borough	of Ne	wport,		Bore H	ole Diameter
6	2 mins.	6	0.12	0.12	South W	ales.			24mm	
8	2 mins.	8	0.16	0.16						in the second
10	2 mins.	10	0.20	0.21			_		Base M	laterial
12	2 mins.	12	0.25	0.25		_			Medium	Density Brick
14	2 mins.	14	0.29	0.29	4					
16	2 mins.	16	0.32	0.32	-				Require	ed Load
18	2 mins.	18	0.37	0.37	Anabar	1				
20	2 mins.	20	0.43	0.44	Test We	Locat	ion	-	Crewit	-
24	2 mine	24	0.50	0.50	Test wa				Brooste	Standard
26	2 mins	26	0.58	0.50	-	-			Fresster	Standard
28	2 mins	28	0.62	0.62	1				Anchor	Material
30	2 mins.	30	0.66	0.67					Stainles	s Steel
32	2 mins.	32	0.72	0.73	1					0.000
34	2 mins.	34	0.77	0.78						
36	2 mins.	36	0.84	0.85	60	-	1	T	1	1 1
38	2 mins.	37.5	0.86	0.91		1.1	1 5			
40	2 mins.	38	0.95	0.97	50	STURN H	1	5.		
42	2 mins.	41.5	1.01	1.04		1.	1 1 1 1	4.00		
44	2 mins.	43	1.09	1.11	40 -	1			-	
40	2 mins.	44	1.16	1.18	KN	13.342				a server and
40					÷ 30 ·	15 427 41	A STATE			and a state of the
					Po -		Per la serie		なた	
				-	- 20 -		-	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		
		1				2			-	1
					10 -		1 - 2 - 1	2 22 22		2012/04 14 2012/045 14 14
								1 1 1		
					0 -	-	1	2.0		
				-	0.0	0 00	.20 0.4	0.60	0.80 1.0	00 1.20 1.4
								Extensi	on - mm.	
				-		_				
npany N	ame:	-	Engineers A	lame:				Any S	tamps or Seal	s from Witnesses
ity Lock S	Systems Li	mited	Peter Sobek	S						
npany A	ddress:	_	Engineers A	ddress:						4
tory Road	d,		Friedhofstr. 3	7b		1				
port,			D63322 Rode	ermark						
ough Of N	Newport,	-	Germany			1				
th Wales.					10.000	1				
5FA										
						1				
		-			-	Н	_			
sons Pre	sent	Company			Position	-		5	Signature	
nis Lee		Cavity Lock	Systems Limi	ited	Technical	Advis	or			
r Sobek		Ingenieurbu	reau Sobek		Independe	ent Wi	Iness			
	-							-		-

					Type of Test:	Inst	all Date	Test Date
					Diect Axial Pull	04.1	6.96	16.07.96
							Ancho	Туре
			10		Site Address:		M12 St	udding
Load - Direct	Time Hate	land Fr	Estantia	Belaveti	Varenouse		Entra	mant Devit
KN	rime rseid	K N	Extension	Heinxation	Albany Industrial Estate		Embed	ment Depth
2	2 mins.	2	0.00	0.00	Newport.		200mm	
4	2 mins.	4	0.00	0.00	Borough of Newport		Bore H	ole Diameter
6	2 mins.	6	0.01	0.01	South Wales.		24mm	
8	2 mins.	8	0.02	0.02			La traini	
10	2 mins.	10	0.03	0.03			Base M	laterial
12	2 mins.	12	0.03	0.03			Medium	Density Brick
14	2 mins.	14	0.04	0.04			-	
10	2 mins.	16	0.05	0.05	-		Require	ed Load
20	2 mins.	20	0.06	0.06	Anohorian		-	
22	2 mins	20	0.00	0.08	Test Well	-	Crowd 7	Vac
24	2 mins.	24	0.10	0.10	I Col Wall		Presetor	Standard
26	2 mins.	26	0.11	0.11		_	ressie	Standard
28	2 mins.	28	0.11	0.11			Anchor	Material
30	2 mins.	30	0.12	0.12			Stainles	s Steel
32	2 mins.	32	0.13	0.13				
34	2 mins.	34	0.14	0.14				
36	2 mins.	36	0.16	0.16	70	1		
38	2 mins.	38	0.18	0.18	60	1 in		
42	2 mins.	40	0.19	0.19		-		
44	2 mins	41	0.24	0.21	50	+		
46	2 mins.	45	0.26	0.26	z .	I	1.	1 1
48	2 mins.	47	0.3	0.31	2 40			
50	2 mins.	48	0.32	0.34	30	7.0 -3		1. 1. 1
52	2 mins.	50	0.36	0.37		10 - 10 - 13	Philade and	
54	2 mins.	52	0.41	0.44	20	1111	and the second	
50	2 mins.	54	0.49	0.52		1. 3	4 - Cat.	
60	2 mins.	56	0.58	0.61	10			
00			0.08		0	2.51	und to.	1 12 1
					0.00 0.10 0.20 0	.30 0.4	0 0.50 0	0.60 0.70 0.80
	- 2	-				Extensio	n - mm.	
mpany N	ame:		Engineers N	ame:		Lame Or		the second states
vity Lock	Systems Li	mited	Peter Sobek			Any Sta	imps or Seal	nom witnesses
mpany A	ddress:		Engineers A	ddress.				
tory Road	1,		Friedhofstr 3	7b				
wport,			D63322 Bode	mark				
rough of N	lewport.		Germany	ATTICAL				
th Wales								
9 5FA								
_	-							_
sons Pre	sent	Company			Position	S	gnature	
nis Lee		Cavity Lock	Systems Limit	ed	Technical Advisor			
ar Sahak		Inconieurt	Poly Ochali			-		
er Sobek		ingenieurou	reau Sobek		Independent Witness			

					Type of Te	est:	Install D	ate Test Dat	te
					Direct Axial P	uli	04.01.96	26.06.96	
		augu					17		
		Calif.	A State of the second s		Site Addres	0.		nchor Type	
					Warehouse	8.		12 Studding	_
Load - Start	Time Hel	d Load - En	Extension	Relaxatio	Unit 23/24		TE	mhedment Den	th
K.N.		K.N.	mm.	mm.	Albany Indust	rial Estate.	30	0mm	
2	2 mins	. 2	0.00	0.00	Newport,		-		-
4	2 mins	. 4	0.00	0.00	Borough of Ne	ewport,	B	ore Hole Diame	ter
6	2 mins	6	0.00	0.00	South Wales.		24	Imm	
8	2 mins.	8	0.00	0.00				Contractor of	
10	2 mins.	10	0.00	0.00			B	ase Material	
14	2 mins	14	0.05	0.05	-		M	edium Density B	rick
16	2 mine	16	0.10	0.10			10		_
18	2 mine	18	0.14	0.15	-		Re	equired Load	
20	2 mins	20	0.15	0.19	Anchorles	tion			-
22	2 mins	22	0.24	0.24	Test Wall	uon		aut Tumo	
24	2 mins.	24	0.33	0.34	i odt vrdii	-	- Gi	ester Standard	
26	2 mins.	26	0.38	0.39				salec stanuard	-
28	2 mins.	28	0.44	0.45			A	chor Material	-
30	2 mins.	30	0.48	0.50			Sta	ainless Steel	
32	2 mins.	31.5	0.56	0.57					-
34	2 mins.	34	0.62	0.63					-
36	2 mins.	36	0.67	0.67	70		1 1		-
38	2 mins.	38	0.68	0.68					
40	2 mins.	40	0.67	0.67	60	-4 -3			
42	2 mins.	42	0.74	0.74	50	-			
44	2 mins.	44	0.74	0.74		103 23 3	1		
48	2 mine	40	0.74	0.74	- × 40		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	23.	4
50	2 mins	49	1.28	1.91					
52	2 mins.	50	1.40	1.47			A CONTRACT	A A A A A A A	-
54	2 mins.	52	1.58	1.63	20		1 1		1.0
56	2 mins.	53	1.78	1.99			1 2	1	
58			-		10	a 1 194			-
	19 march 19			5					
					0 + +				_
					0.00 0.2	0 0.40 0.60 0	0.80 1.00 1.2	0 1.40 1.60 1.80	20
						E	xtension - mr	n.	
	_			_					
ompany N	ame:		Engineers N	lame:		1	Any Stamps	r Seals from Witness	-
avity Lock	Systems Li	imited	Peter Sobek	-		3		Sould north Tribles	305
mpany A	ddress:		Engineers A	ddress:					
ctory Roa	d,		Friedhofstr. 3	7b					
wport,		-	D63322 Rod	ermark					
rough of N	lewport.		Germany						
uth Wales			Gormany						
9 5FA									
rsons Pre	sent	Company			Position		Cimnet	100	_
		0	-		, oonion	-	Signat	ure .	_
nis Lee		Cavity Lock	Systems Limi	ted	Technical Advise	or			
er Sobek		Ingenieurbu	reau Sobek		Independent Wa	ness			
					independent wi	1055	-		_

			1 7		Type o	f Te	st:			Inst	all Da	ate	Te	est D	ate
				9	Direct A	cial P	ull			04.0	1.96	_	08	3.07.5	6
					-						TA	ncho	r Tu	no	-
			н.		Site Ad	dres	s:	-	-		M	12 St	uddi	ng	
		-			Warehou	Ise									
Load - Start	Time Heid	Load - End	Extension	Relaxation	Unit 23/2	4,			-	-	Er	nbea	imer	nt De	pth
K.N.		K.N.	mm.	mm.	Albany I	ndust	rial E	state	,	_	30	Omm	1		
2	2 mins.	2	0.00	0.00	Removal	of NI		-		-	TR	are H	lala	Diam	otor
4	2 mins.	6	0.00	0.00	South W	ales.	ewpo	n.,	-	-	24	mm	ore	Dian	erei
8	2 mins.	8	0.00	0.00			-				-				
10	2 mins.	10	0.01	0.01		-					Ba	se N	late	rial	
12	2 mins.	12	0.01	0.01				_			Me	edium	n De	nsity	Brick
14	2 mins.	14	0.02	0.02	-						10				_
16	2 mins.	10	0.04	0.04	-						Me	quin	ea L	.oad	
20	2 mins.	20	0.08	0.08	Anchor	Loca	tion	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
22	2 mins.	22	0.10	0.10	Test Wa	1					Gr	out	Type	,	-
24	2 mins.	24	0.13	0.13					14		Pr	esste	c St	anda	rd
26	2 mins.	26	0.16	0.16	-						-		_	_	_
-28	2 mins.	28	0.20	0.20	-						An	cnoi	Ma	teria	
30	2 mins.	30	0.24	0.24	4						Sta	ainies	is SI	eel	-
34	2 mins.	34	0.32	0.33	-								-	-	
36	2 mins.	36	0.37	0.38	70	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
38	2 mins.	38	0.43	0.45								1			
40	2 mins.	39.5	0.50	0.52	60			-		-			•	-	-
42	2 mins.	41.5	0.58	0.60	- 50 -	_		-			• •				
44	2 mins.	43.5	0.66	0.70		14 4	1	34			200	-	1		
48	2 mins.	47.5	0.85	0.90	- <u>5</u> 40	-				1	152		1.25	2151	
50	2 mins.	49	0.94	0.98	8 30						1	+ +3		- 10-	1-24
52	2 mins.	50	1.03	1.08	3		1	1	6.37			1		120	1.1
54	2 mins.	52	1.16	1.20	20 -	-	-	-	91				-	-	-
56	2 mins.	53	1.28	1.30	10									1.8	- 3
80	2 mins.	54	1.44	1.46					-	1	-	1			11
00	£ 11010.		1.70		0		_		-		-	-	_	-	
					0.0	0.00	20 0.4	0.0	<b>60 0.</b>	<b>BO 1.</b> (	00 1.4	20 1.4	10 1.	.60 1.	80 2.
									Ex	tensic	n - m	m.			
ompany	Name:		Engineers I	Vame:		1	-		Į,	Any St	amps	or Sea	ls fro	m With	18556
avity Lock	Systems L	imited	Peter Sobek	10					F						
ompany	Address:		Engineers A	Address:		1									
actory Roa	ad,		Friedhofstr.	37b											
ewport,			D63322 Rod	lermark		]									
brough of	Newport,		Germany .												
outh Wale	s.														
P9 5FA						-									
areone Pr	recent	Company			Desition	-	_		-		lane				_
ania lac		Controlary	Custome 11	it and	Technicol				-	3	gna	ure	-		
Inis Lee		Cavity Lock	Systems Lim	inted	rechnical	Advi	sor	-	+	-					
eter Sobel	(	Ingenieurbu	ireau Sobek		Independe	ent W	itnes	s	-				_		
1															

1			17		Type o	of Te	st:		Inst	all Date	Tes	t Date	
					Direct A	xial P	III		04.0	1.96	08.0	07.96	
					-					Anat			
-					Site Ad	dress		-	-	M12 S	studding		
-				_	Warehou	use			-	THILE C		4	-
Load - Start	Time Held	Load - End	Extension	Relaxation	Unit 23/2	24,		-		Embe	dment	Depth	-
K.N.		K.N.	mm.	mm.	Albany I	ndust	ial Es	tate,		300m	m		
2	2 mins.	2	0.00	0.00	Newport	1	-						
4	2 mins.	4	0.00	0.00	Borough	of Ne	wport	,		Bore	Hole D	iameter	
6	2 mins.	6	0.00	0.00	South W	ales.	_			24mm			_
10	2 mins.	10	0.00	0.00	-			_	-	Page	Mataria	1	_
12	2 mins.	12	0.02	0.00	-	-	-		-	Mediu	m Dens	ity Brick	
14	2 mins.	14	0.05	0.05	-				_	Inculu	in Dens	ary Diren	-
16	2 mins.	16	0.08	0.08						Requi	red Lo	ad	-
18	2 mins.	18	0.11	0.12									
20	2 mins.	20	0.16	0.16	Anchor	Loca	tion			-			
22	2 mins.	22	0.20	0.20	Test Wa	11				Grout	Type		
24	2 mins.	24	0.25	0.25	-					Presst	ec Star	ndard	
26	2 mins.	26	0.30	0.31								_	
-28	2 mins.	- 28	0.35	0.35	-	-				Ancho	or Mate	rial	
30	2 mins.	30	0.40	0.40	-					Stainle	ss Stee	əl	_
34	2 mine	31.5	0.45	0.47	-								-
36	2 mins	35.5	0.57	0.52	60				-			_	
38	2 mins.	38	0.63	0.65	-						-		1
40	2 mins.	39	0.70	0.72	50		-	-	-			•	
42	2 mins.	41.5	0.78	0.80			SINE_	- 10	1. 1				
44	2 mins.	43	0.86	0.89	40.		-	·波二个				_	
46	2 mins.	45	0.95	0.99	z	Ant -	1.15				-	14N -	
48	2 mins.	47	1.04	1.08	¥ 30 -	2	- ter			25 2 4 4 4 4		1	
50	2 mins.	48	1.16	1.20	oad	1 4 A	1.6	1 - 14	100	-	5	- the and	
52	2 mins.	50	1.27	1.31	20 -	13.3	<ul> <li>. •17</li> </ul>	an after	Sec.	1	2017年1日 武	No la	
54			1.45				1	1372.4		a service and			
		-			10		2.2.2	17.64	12.	at a state	1111		
			+ +				1	12.00	1.75		1 1 1 2 2	3	
					0	12 -		1	See.			1	4
					0.0	00 0.	20 0	.40 0	.60 0.1	80 1.00	1.20	1.40 1.	.60
					1			1	Extensio	n - mm.			
						-					_	_	
ompany M	lame:		Engineers N	Name:					Any St	amps or Se	als from	Witnesses	5
vity Lock	Systems Li	mited	Peter Sobek										
mpany A	ddress:		Engineers A	Address:									
ctory Roa	d,		Friedhofstr. 3	37b									
wport,			D63322 Rod	ermark									
rough of I	Newport,		Germany			1							
uth Wales	5.					1							
9 5FA													
						1							
rsons Pr	esent	Company			Position	_	_		S	ianature			-
		Cavity Lock	Systems Lim	ited	Technical	Achie	or						
Color		lange Lock	Optionis Lilli		Indessed	Auvis		-					-
ter Sobek		ingenieurou	reau Sobek		Independe	ent Wi	iness		-				_

4

			-		Type o	f Test	*	Inst	all Date	Test L	Date
					Direct Ax	ial Pull		04.0	1.96	25.06.	96
-				*	0				Ancho	Type	
					Site Add	iress:		-	M12 St	udding	
-	These Martin	Lince	Euternalise	Balanation	Linit 23/2	4		-	Ember	ment D	anth
K N	Time Held	Load - End	Extension	mm	Albany In	dustria	Estate		200mm		opui
2	2 mins.	2	0.00	0.00	Newport,						
4	2 mins.	4	0.00	0.00	Borough	of New	port,		Bore H	lole Dian	neter
6	2 mins.	6	0.00	0.00	South Wa	ales.			30mm		_
8	2 mins.	8	0.01	0.01					-	and a distant	
10	2 mins.	10	0.02	0.02	-			-	Base N	aterial	Driel
12	2 mins.	12	0.05	0.05	-				Medium	Density	BICK
14	2 mins.	14	0.07	0.08	-				Requir	ed l ced	-
18	2 mine	18	0.13	0.13	-				nequin		
20	2 mins.	20	0.16	0.17	Anchor	ocatio	n				
22	2 mins.	22	0.19	0.20	Test Wall				Grout	Туре	
24	2 mins.	24	0.23	0.23			-		Presste	c Standa	ard
26	2 mins.	26	0.26	0.27							
28	2 mins.	28	0.30	0.31					Ancho	Materia	N.
30	2 mins.	30	0.33	0.34	-				Stainles	is Steel	
34	2 mins.	32	0.32	0.32				_			
36	2 mins.	36	0.30	0.30	60 -		-				
38	2 mins	38	0.27	0.30	1		at #	WE -			
40	2 mins.	40	0.32	0.34	50 -		1	-		-	
42	2 mins.	42	0.37	0.40			1 4				
44	2 mins.	44	0.44	0.49	40 -			1		-	
46	2 mins.	45	0.49	0.52	CN.	1.22		1	The state	1 - B - S	100
48	2 mins.	47	0.58	0.63	30 -	49 -		1.180. 10			ring (a =
50	2 mins.	49	0.68	0.71	ou	5.57		192.20	1. 1. 1. 1.	ARONE .	11 A
54	2 mine	52	0.78	0.05	20-	-	10.00		Contractor of E		
56	E mina.	02	1.03	0.00			i seres i	-			
					10-	-	1	-	e - +		
							14.4	1.5	· 15.	Sec. 13	- 1.9
					04	0 0	20 0	40 0	60 0.00	1.00	
	+				0.0	~ 0	20 0.			1.00	1.1
					-				91 ° 8381.		
						1		-		-	_
ompany N	ame:		Engineers I	lame:				Any St	amps or Sea	als from Wi	itnesses
wity Lock	Ssytems L	imited	Peter Sobek								
ompany A	ddress:		Engineers A	ddress:							
ctory Road	d,		Friedhofstr.	37b		-					
wport,			D63322 Rod	ermark		1					
rough of N	lewport,		Germany			1					
uth Wales											
9 5FA			-			1					
			-			1					
rsons Pre	sent	Company			Position		_	5	Signature		_
nnis Lee		Cavity Lock	Systems Lim	ited	Technical	Adviso	r				
- Column		lange to the	Colori		India				1000		
er Sobek		Ingenieurbu	reau Sobek		independe	ent with	less	-		-	
				£							

					Type of Test:	Insta	II Date	Test Date
					Direct Axial Pull	04.01	.96	25.06.96
							Anch	Tune
					Site Address:		M12 St	udding
		-			Warehouse			
Load - Start	Time Held	Loed - End	Extension	Relaxation	Unit 23/24,		Embed	Iment Depth
K.N.	-	K.N.	mm.	mm.	Albany Industrial Estat	e,	200mm	
2	2 mins.	2	0.00	0.00	Newport,		-	
4	2 mins.	4	0.00	0.00	Borough of Newport,		Bore H	ole Diameter
8	2 mins.	8	0.00	0.00	South wales.		Somm	
10	2 mins.	10	0.03	0.03			Base M	laterial
12	2 mins.	12	0.04	0.04	-		Medium	Density Brick
14	2 mins.	14	0.07	0.07				
16	2 mins.	16	0.10	0.10			Requin	ed Load
18	2 mins.	18	0.12	0.12				
20	2 mins.	20	0.15	0.15	Anchor Location		0	
24	2 mins.	24	0.17	0.17	rest wall		Brosst	Standard
26	2 mins.	26	0.24	0.24			riesste	Stanuaro
28	2 mins.	- 28	0.26	0.26	-		Anchor	Material
30	2 mins.	30	0.29	0.30			Stainles	s Steel
32	2 mins.	32	0.31	0.32				
34	2 mins.	34	0.33	0.33				
36	2 mins.	36	0.35	0.36	70	1999年1月1日	R. S. F. L	
40	2 mins.	38	0.39	0.40	60		1 - 1	12 1419 - 40
42	2 mins.	40	0.45	0.50		and the		4
44	2 mins.	44	0.55	0.57	50			
46	2 mins.	45	0.60	0.62	z .		P. South	に小商権がつ
48	2 mins.	47	0.67	0.68	¥ 40	and the second	al and the se	His ME St
50	2 mins.	48	0.73	0.75	30	the Beeff		an des many in
52	2 mins.	50	0.76	0.81	Ľ.		1.1.43	1
54	2 mins.	51	0.88	0.89	20	ALL TOTAL		
58	2 mins	54	1.19	1.22	10	-	1. 22	5. NO.
60	= 111110.		1.10	1.22		5 CM -		
					0	Shine and an	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1. 1. 3 2 10 2
					0.00 0.20 0.4	0.60	0.80 1.0	00 1.20 1.40
						Extension	- mm.	
		-						
ompany N	lame:		Engineers N	lame:		Any Star	mps or Seal	s from Witnesses
avity Lock	Systems Li	mited	Peter Sobek					
ompany A	ddress:	-	Engineers A	ddress:				
actory Roa	d,		Friedhofstr. 3	37b				
ewport,			D63322 Rod	ermark				
brough of I	Newport,		Germany					
outh Wales	i.							
P9 5FA		_						
0.00								
rease D-	heart	Competent			Destilies	_		
asons Ph	sem	Company			Position	Sig	nature	
nnis Lee		Cavity Lock	Systems Lim	ited	Technical Advisor			-
ter Sobek		Ingenieurbu	reau Sobek		Independent Witness			
		- gor neur Du	NOUD COUCK		independent witness	-		

ST SATA

					Type o	of Test	:	1	Insta	II Date	Te	st Da	te
					Direct A	kial Pull		0	04.01	.96	16	.07.96	5
										Anaba			
			-10		Site Ad	dress:		-		M12 S	tuddir		
			2		Warehou	JSe			-	11120	(dddii	19	
Load - Start	Time Held	Load - End	Extension	Relaxation	Unit 23/2	24,				Embe	dmen	t Dep	oth
K.N.		K.N.	mm.	mm.	Albany I	ndustria	Estate	, ,		200mm	n	_	
2	2 mins.	2	0.00	0.00	Newport	of Maure			-	Barel	Jala I	Diam	-
6	2 mins.	6	0.00	0.00	South W	ales	pon,		-	30mm	iole L	Jian	rier
8	2 mins.	8	0.01	0.01									
10	2 mins.	10	0.03	0.03						Base	Mater	ial	
12	2 mins.	12	0.06	0.06			_	_		Mediur	n Der	nsity E	Brick
14	2 mins.	14	0.09	0.09	-					Denut		and	-
16	2 mins.	10	0.11	0.12	-					Mequi	rea Li	oad	
20	2 mins.	20	0.17	0.17	Anchor	Locatio	n			-	-	-	
22	2 mins.	22	0.20	0.20	Test Wa	1				Grout	Type	ň	_
24	2 mins.	24	0.25	0.25				1		Presst	ec Sta	andan	d
26	2 mins.	26	0.28	0.28	-								
28	2 mins.	28	0.32	0.33						Ancho	r Mat	erial	
32	2 mins.	32	0.43	0.38						Starrie	55 31	sei	
34	2 mins.	34	0.47	0.48									
36	2 mins.	36	0.53	0.54	70		T	TT	-	1	1		
38	2 mins.	38	0.58	0.60	-		1	1.4	-		A ich		
40	2 mins.	40	0.64	0.66		Call.		and the					
42 .	2 mins.	41.5	0.73	0.77	50		1				-		
46	2 mins.	44	0.90	0.93	ź.		ALC: NOT			and make	Seren.		
48	2 mins.	47	1.00	1.04	- <u>→</u> 40	14 M			to the	tions in		141.5	3
50	2 mins.	48	1.07	1.11	8 30	e		12	1.45	104	1.00	1.0	
52	2 mins.	51	1.21	1.28			3			ake n	12.6	104	ton à
54	2 mins.	53	1.34	1.38	20								-
58	2 mins.	56	1.75	1.79	10			-	-	ale - 3	4- 4		A'
60			1.87	1.10			1.32		1	12 34	建议	. 1	200
					0								
					- 0.	00 0.20	0.40 0.	60 0.80 Exte	ension	0 1.20 1. n - mm.	.40 1.1	50 1.8	0 2.00
	-											_	
company N	ame:		Engineers N	lame:				A	nv Sta	mps or Se	als trop	n With	esses
avity Lock	Systems Li	mited	Peter Sobek			1							
ompany A	ddress:	1	Engineers A	ddress:									
actory Road	1,		Friedhofstr. 3	37b									
lewport,			D63322 Rod	ermark									
orough of N	ewport,		Germany										
outh Wales													
IP9 5FA		-				-							
				-				_	_	-			
ersons Pre	sent	Company	Y P		Position		-	-	Si	gnature			
nnis Lee		Cavity Lock	Systems Lim	ited	Technical Advisor								
eter Sobek		Ingenieurbu	reau Sobek		Independe	ent Witn	ess			_	_		

					Type of Test:	In	stall Date	Test Date
				7	Direct Axial Pull	04	4.01.96	25.06.96
							Ancho	r Type
					Site Address:		M12 St	udding
		_	-		Warehouse			
Load - Start	Time Held	Load - End	Extension	Relaxation	Unit 23/24,		Embed	iment Depth
K.N.	Omina	K.N.	mm.	mm.	Albany Industrial	Estate,	[300mm	1
4	2 mins	4	0.00	0.00	Borough of Newro	ort	Bare	lala Diamatas
6	2 mins	6	0.00	0.00	South Wales	ort,	- 30mm	ole Diameter
8	2 mins	8	0.00	0.00	Count Huico.		100mm	
10	2 mins	10	0.01	0.01			Base M	faterial
12	2 mins	12	0.03	0.03			Medium	Density Brick
14	2 mins	14	0.05	0.05				
16	2 mins	16	0.06	0.06	-		Require	ed Load
18	2 mins	18	0.08	0.09	Anatoria			
20	2 mins	20	0.10	0.10	Anchor Location		-	
24	2 mins	24	0.15	0.13	Test wall	_	Broot	Standard
26	2 mins	26	0,19	0.19				Colandard
28	2 mins	28	0.22	0.22	1		Anchor	Material
30	2 mins	30	0.26	0.26	1		Stianles	s Steel
32	2 mins	32	0.30	0.31				
34	2 mins	34	0.34	0.35				
36	2 mins	36	0.37	0.38	70			1 1
38	2 mins	38	0.39	0.39			_	
40	2 mins	40	0.37	0.39				
44	2 mins	44	0.40	0.39	50			1. S
46	2 mins	46	0.43	0.44	ź	All All		in a man
48	2 mins	47	0.45	0.47		and the second second		
50	2 mins	49	0.49	0.5	30		一日月月	
52	2 mins	50	0.54	0.56	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 2 2 2	1 941 3
54	2 mins	52	0.61	0.62	20			
56	2 mins	54	0.66	0.68				
80	2 mins	56	0.69	0.72	10	Sec. 2 St	1	at we have a
00		50	0.70		0	and the second second	A STATE	「「「「「「」」
					0.00 0.10	0.20 0.30	0.40 0.50	0.60 0.70 0.8
						Exten	sion - mm	
						_		
ompany N	lame:		Engineers N	ame:		Am	Stamps or See	s from Witnesses
avity Lock	Systems Li	mited	Peter Sobek			Cally	Campo of Odd	o nom mulesses
ompany A	ddress:		Engineers A	ddress:				
actory Roa	d,		Friedhofstr. 3	7b				
ewport,			D63322 Rode	ermark				
orough of M	lewport,		Germany					
outh Wales								
P9 5FA								
ersons Pre	esent	Company			Position		Signature	
nis Lee		Cavity Lock	Systems Limi	ted	Technical Advisor			
Hor Sabal		Inconie	Patral		Index - India			-
nei Sobek		ingenieurou	reau Sobek		independent Witnes	55		

			13		Type of Direct A	xial P	ull		Insta 04.01	11 Date 1.96	Test 26.06	Date .96
										-		
			-		Site Ac	Idrac			_	M12 St	Indding	
			() +		Wareho	ures	5.		-	MIZ St	udding	
Louid Divid	Time Hale	Land Fre	Enternalism	Determetion	Linit 22/	24			-	Embas	mont F	lanth
Load - Start	Time meio	Losd - end	Extension	mm	Albany	nduet	rial Fe	tate	-	300mm	ment L	epui
2	2 mins	2	0.00	0.00	Newport	idust	I CAI LO	tate,		Sooman		
4	2 mins	4	0.00	0.00	Borough	of Ne	ewport		-	Bore H	ole Dia	meter
6	2 mins.	6	0.00	0.00	South W	ales.			-	30mm		
8	2 mins.	8	0.00	0.00					-		-	
10	2 mins.	10	0.00	0.00						Base N	lateria/	1
12	2 mins.	12	0.01	0.01						Medium	Densit	y Brick
14	2 mins.	14	0.02	0.02	1							
16	2 mins.	16	0.03	0.04						Requir	ed Loa	d
18	2 mins.	18	0.05	0.06	-							
20	2 mins.	20	0.07	0.07	Anchor	Loca	tion					
22	2 mins.	22	0.09	0.09	Test Wa	II				Grout 1	ype	
24	2 mins.	24	0.12	0.12				-		Presste	c Stand	ard
26	2 mins.	26	0.14	0.15								
28	2 mins.	28	0.17	0.17	the state	1.000				Anchor	Materi	a/
30	2 mins.	30	0.19	0.19	-					Stainles	s Steel	
32	2 mins.	32	0.21	0.21	-							
34	2 mins.	33.5	0.24	0.25	- 60							
38	2 mine	38	0.27	0.20		1.5	1	10 71 3				$\{v, t\} \geq \frac{1}{2}$
40	2 mins	30	0.33	0.30	- 50		-la	fue.	1		-	3
42	2 mins.	41	0.44	0.55		1		1200	P		•	1
44	2 mins	43	0.49	0.51				1.		+ 1		
46	2 mins.	45	0.55	0.56	z *	12.04	1.7	1. 28. 29. 2.		·8.		
48	2 mins.	46	0.61	0.63		1.00	1 3		100	a server to a	1.00	
50			0.70		30.	Hore -		-	ingles and	Come of	-	1
					1 3 ~	18.14		19.3	20.53	1. 1		12.1
							( Deter	- Salesa	1. N. Fr	1019 1 103	1.0	-
		1				10		1 - AL			-	almen 1
					10	1. 17		1		-0.4.2 P	14	1
		-						12	See.	- Saide	1	1 1
		1			0.	00 0	10 0			0.50		+
		-				00 0	.10 0		30 0.4	0 0.50	0.60 0	.70 0.8
								E	xtension	n - mm.		
	_	-			-	-		-	_			_
ompany N	lame:		Engineers N	lame:					Any Sta	mps or Sea	s from W	imesses
avity Lock	Systems L	imited	Peter Sobek					1				
ompany A	ddress:		Engineers A	ddress:				2				
actory Roa	d,		Friedhofstr. 3	37b								
ewport,			D63322 Rod	ermark								
brough of N	lewport,		Germany			1			-			
outh Wales	i.											
P9 5FA						1						
						1						
ersons Pre	esent	Company			Position	-			Si	gnature		
nnis Lee		Cavity Lock	Systems Lim	ited	Technical	Advis	or					
ter Sobek		Ingenieurb	reau Sobek		Independe	ent W	itness					

I

			17		Type o	f Tes	t:	Insta	II Date	Test Date
				4	Diriec Ax	tial Pul	1	04.01	1.96	26.06.96
									Ancho	Tuno
			-		Site Ad	dress:	-	_	M12 St	uddina
				-	Wraehou	Ise				Junig
Load - Start	Time Held	Load - En	d Extension	Relaxation	n Unit 23/2	4,			Embed	iment Depth
K.N.		K.N.	mm.	mm.	Albany In	ndustria	al Estate,		300mm	1
2	2 mins.	2	0.00	0.00	Newport				-	
4	2 mins.	4	0.00	0.00	Borough	of Nev	vport,		Bore h	lole Diameter
6	2 mins.	6	0.00	0.00	South W	ales.		-	30mm	
10	2 mins.	10	0.00	0.00	-		-		Pere A	Interiol
12	2 mins.	12	0.05	0.05				_	Modium	Density Brick
14	2 mins.	14	0.08	0.08	-				Inedian	T Density Drick
16	2 mins.	16	0.10	0.10					Requir	ed Load
18	2 mins.	18	0.13	0.13	-					
20	2 mins.	20	0.15	0.15	Anchor	Locati	on		-	
22	2 mins.	22	0.17	0.10	Test Wal	1			Grout	Туре
24	2 mins.	24	0.20	0.20					Presste	c Standard
26	2 mins.	26	0.23	0.24	-				-	
28	2 mins.	28	0.26	0.27	_				Ancho	Material
30	2 mins.	30	0.30	0.31	-				Stainles	is Steel
32	2 mins.	32	0.35	0.35	-					1
34	2 mins.	34	0.40	0.40		_				
30	2 mins.	30	0.44	0.44	- 00 -	1	1			
40	2 mins.	30	0.55	0.45		10	S. PE			
42	2 mins.	42	0.61	0.61		100	1 - 12.	5.64	. *	
44	2 mins.	43	0.67	0.68	- 40		1			
46	2 mins.	44	0.73	0.74	z	1.54	1		Children B	man and the second
48	2 mins.	46	0.80	0.82	× an			1. St. 1.		
50	2 mins.	48	0.90	0.92	Pe	the set		13.62 - 17	in the state	21 1 - 1
52	2 mins.	48	1.03	1.10	3 20.		1 3 AVE			
54					_		1 2 22			
					10-		1		Sec. Car	All - Statistics
	-	-			_			C Land	11 mar 1	S. S. S. S. S.
		-	-		- 0	Sino:	- INCR	二 把 正式	and the	Same and A
		-			0.0	0 0	0.20 0.4	0.6	0 0.80	1.00 1.20
		-		-	-			Extension	n - mm.	
		-			-					
		-	Ter			1		-	_	
mpany N	ame:		Engineers	vame:		-		Any Sta	mps or Sea	is from Witnesses
IVITY LOCK	Systems L	imited	Peter Sobek			4				
mpany A	ddress:	-	Engineers /	Address:						
ctory Roa	d,		Friedhofstr.	37b						
wport,			D63322 Rod	ermark				1		
rough of N	lewport,		Germany							
uth Wales										
9 5FA										
								1		
rsons Pre	sent	Company			Position	-		Si	anature	
nnis I ee		Cavity Loo	Systeme Lim	ited	Technical	Adviso				
Cat of		Surry LUCI	Coysterns Lin		recifices	10150				
ler Sobek		Ingenieurb	ureau Sobek		Independe	nt Witr	ness	-		

					Type o	of Test:		Install Date	Test Date
					Direct A	xial Pull		04.01.96	25.06.96
								-	
-				~~~~	Cite A .	drama		Anche	or Type
1					Warehou	use			x Iomm x I.omn
Lond - Start	Time Held	Load - End	Extension	Belayation	Unit 23/2	24		Embe	dment Denth
K.N.		KN.	mm.	mm.	Albany I	ndustrial E	state,	500mr	n
2	2 mins.	2	0.00	0.00	Newport	,			1.5 million (17)
4	2 mins.	4	0.00	0.00	Borough	of Newpo	ort,	Bore	Hole Diameter
6	2 mins.	6	0.00	0.00	South W	ales.		30mm	
8	2 mins.	8	0.00	0.00	-			-	
10	2 mins.	10	0.01	0.01	-			Base	Material Density Driels
14	2 mine	14	0.04	0.04	-			IMediu	TI Density Brick
16	2 mins.	16	0.18	0.18	-			Requi	red Load
18	2 mins.	18	0.28	0.28	-			negun	
20	2 mins.	20	0.36	0.37	Anchor	Location			-
22	2 mins.	21	0.52	0.56	Test Wa	1		Grout	Туре
24	2 mins.	22	0.86	0.96				Presst	ec Standard
26	2 mins.	24	1.82	1.92		4			
28	2 mins.	26	2.38	2.49	-			Ancho	r Material
30	2 mins.	21	2.88	3.01	-			Stainle	ss Steel
						00 0.40	0.80 1.20	0 1.60 2.00 Clension - mm.	2.40 2.80 3.20
					-	-		_	
ompany N	ame:	mitad	Engineers	Name:		-	4	Any Stamps or Se	als from Witnesses
avity LOCK 2	ddarens Li	mileo	Feter Sobel			-			
ompany A	mpany Address: tory Road, vport, ough of Newport		Engineers	adoress:	-	-			
autory Hoad			Deagon D	3/0		-			
swport,			003322 HO	ermark		-			
nough of N	ough of Newport,		Germany			-			
DO EEA						-			
PS DFA						-			
ersons Pre	sent	Company			Position			Cimpoture	
nnis Lee	Cont	Cavity Lock	Systems Lin	ited	Technical	Advisor		Signature	
ater Sobek		Ingenieurbu	Systems Lin	integ	Independent	AUVISOF			
ner Sobek		ingenieurou	Teau Sobek		Independe	ant witnes	5	_	

The state

01633 246110 -

			17		Type of Test:	nstall Date	Test Date
				9	Direct Axial Pull	4.01.96	25.06.96
						Ancho	r Type
				1	Site Address:	15mm	x 15mm 1.5mm
					Warehouse		
Load - Start	Time Held	Load - End	Extension	Relaxation	Unit 23/24,	Embed	iment Depth
KN.		K.N.	mm.	mm.	Albany Industrial Estate,	500mm	1
2	2 mins	2	0.00	0.00	Newport,	-	-I- D'-
4	2 mins	4	0.00	0.00	Borough of Newport,	Borer	iole Diameter
8	2 mins	8	0.00	0.00	South wates.	Isomin	
10	2 mins	10	0.00	0.01		Base	Aaterial
12	2 mins	12	0.02	0.02		Mediur	n Density Brick
14	2 mins	14	0.08	0.08			
16	2 mins	16	0.14	0.15		Requir	ed Load
18	2 mins	18	0.23	0.23			
20	2 mins	20	0.32	0.32	Anchor Location	_	
22	2 mins	22	0.69	0.69	Test Wall	Grout	Type
24	2 mins	24	1.46	1.50	1	Presste	c Standard
20	2 mins	20	2.20	2.40	-	Anaha	Material
30	2 111115	24	2.23	2.40	-	Stainle	s Steel
					20 15 10 5 0 0.00 0.40 0.80 1. Exte	20 1.60 2 maion - mm.	.00 2.40 2.6
omnany N	ame:		Engineers	Vama:		Charmen as Ca	to farme Million and a
avity Lock	Systems Li	mited	Peter Sobek		Ar	y stamps or se	ale ITOTTI WITTINESSES
ompany A	ddress.	in tou	Engineers	Address	3		
actory Board	1		Friedhofetr	37b			
ewport	-1		D63322 Bor	lemark			
orough of h	lewport		Germany	CITICIA			
outh Wales	h Wales						
DO EEA	•						
F9 OFA	5FA						
	sent	Company		-	Position	Signature	
Persons Pre		Cavity Lock	Systems Lim	nited	Technical Advisor		
Persons Pre							
ersons Pre		Ingenieurb	reau Sabak		Independent Witness		

			1 7		Type o	of Tes	t:		Instal	Date	Tes	t Date
				4	Direct A	cial Pul	1		04.01.	96	08.0	7.96
										Anaba	Ture	
		100 mg		-	Site Ad	dress				15mm	15m	n x 1 5m
					Warehou	JSe			-	Lionin's	( TOTA	
Load - Start	Time Held	Load - End	Extension	Relaxation	Unit 23/2	4.		-		Embed	ment	Depth
K.N.		K.N.	mm.	mm.	Albany I	ndustria	al Estat	e,		500mm		
2	2 mins.	2	0.00	0.00	Newport	,						
4	2 mins.	4	0.00	0.00	Borough	of New	vport,	-		Bore H	ole Di	ameter
6	2 mins.	6	0.00	0.00	South W	ales.				30mm	_	
8	2 mins.	8	0.00	0.00					_			
10	2 mins.	10	0.01	0.01	-				_	Base M	lateria	1
12	2 mins.	12	0.02	0.02	-	_				Medium	Dens	ity Brick
14	2 mins.	14	0.03	0.03	-							
16	2 mins.	16	0.04	0.04	-					Hequin	ad Los	a
18	2 mins.	18	0.07	0.07	Anthon	Land			-		-	
20	2 mins.	20	0.10	0.10	Tost We	Locati	on		-	Grout 1	Tune	
24	2 mine	22	0.27	0.30	Test wa			-	-	Preseto	Stan	dard
26	2 mins	24	2.37	2.43	-		-	-		103518	Glan	Jaiu
28			3.12							Anchor Stainles	Mate s Stee	<b>rial</b> I
	_				30	1			1.15		<u> </u>	• 3
					25	•	•					-
					20 N X							
				1	Poor		1.0		Contra Co			
					10			Barth 3	1410 - 11 - 120	11.30		11-1-1
-					5			a and the		1		
					0.	00 0.	50 1.	.00 1.	.50	2.00 2.	50 3	3.00 3.9
	-		-					Ext	noiene	- mm.		
company N	ame:		Engineers I	Vame:		]		A	ny Stan	nps or Sea	Is from	Witnesses
avity Lock	Systems L	imited	Peter Sobek									
company A	ddress:		Engineers /	Address:								
actory Road	d,		Friedhofstr.	37b								
lewport,			D63322 Rod	lermark								
orough of N	lewport,		Germany									
outh Wales												
IP9 5FA						-						
ersons Pre	sent	Company			Position	-			Sic	nature		
ennis Lee		Cavity Lock	Systems Lim	nited	Technical	Adviso	or					
eter Sobek		Ingenieurbu	reau Sobek		Independe	ent Wit	ness			_		
								-				

F

-14.0

1

1

					Type of Test:	Inst	all Date	Test Date			
					Direct Axial Pull	04.0	1.96	25.06.96			
					Site Address		Ancho 15mm	r Type			
			_		Warehouse		Lionar	A TOMMER TOMM			
Load - Start	Time Held	Load - End	Extension	Relaxatio	unit 23/24.		Embedment Denth				
K.N.		K.N.	mm.	mm.	Albany Industrial Esta	te.	500mm				
2	2 mins.	2	0.00	0.00	Newport,						
4	2 mins.	4	0.00	0.00	Borough of Newport,		Bore H	lole Diameter			
6	2 mins.	6	0.00	0.00	South Wales.		55mm				
8	2 mins.	8	0.00	0.00							
10	2 mins.	10	0.01	. 0.01			Base N	faterial			
12	2 mins.	12	0.04	0.04			Medium	Density Brick			
14	2 mins.	14	0.09	0.09	_		-				
16	2 mins.	16	0.14	0.14	_		Requin	ed Load			
18	2 mins.	18	0.22	0.22							
20	2 mins.	20	0.28	0.28	Anchor Location		10.00				
22	2 mins.	22	0.36	0.36	lest Wall		Grout	ype			
24	2 mins.	24	0.44	0.44	-	- 2	Presste	c Standard			
20	2 mins.	20	0.53	0.53	-		Anth	Madantal			
30	2 mins	30	0.04	0.05	-		Ancho	Material			
32	2 mins	32	0.88	0.90	-		Stames	o oleel			
34	2 mins	34	1.00	1.02	1	E.					
36	2 mins.	36	1.14	1.17	60						
38	2 mins.	38	1.30	1.32							
40	2 mins.	39	1.45	1.49	50	5 A					
42	2 mins.	41	1.64	1.69		-		•			
44	2 mins.	43	1.84	1.88	40	-	•				
46	2 mins.	45	2.04	2.08	z		11				
48	2 mins.	47	2.28	2.34	¥ 30		1. 1. 1. 1.	1			
50	2 mins.	48	2.53	2.60		1.2 19 1	1.1				
52	2 mins.	50	2.82	2.88	- 20	1 1 2 - 1	Se parti				
54						in page	- W to read				
		-			10	一部 日本	and the second				
		-					A. Station				
					- 0		ショーないが	The second second			
		-			0.00 0.40 0.80	1.20 1.6	50 2.00	2.40 2.80 3.20			
						Extensio	n - mm.				
manut	lamer		Engineers	Vener							
with Leal	Custome I	and a d	Engineers I	vame:		Any St	amps or Sea	Is from Witnesses			
WILL LOCK	Systems L	miteo	Peter Sobek								
mpany A	daress:		Engineers A	Address:							
ctory Roa	α,		Friedhofstr.	37b							
wport,			D63322 Rod	ermark							
rough of N	lewport,		Germany								
uth Wales	k.										
9 5FA											
	a a m d	0									
ns Pre	esent	Company	0	6 A	Position	S	ignature				
Innis Lee	-	Davity Lock	Systems Lim	ited	Technical Advisor						
el Sobek		ingenieurbu	rea Sobek		Independent Witness	-					

- 100-120-120

					Type of Test:	Inst	all Date	Test Date		
					Diect Axial Pull	04.0	1.96	25.06.96		
					01. 1 11.		Ancho	r Type		
					Site Address:		15mm :	x 15mm x 1.5mn		
	1	The second	T and		Warehouse		Embodment Denth			
Load - Start	Time Held	Load - End	Extension	Fielaxation	Albany Industrial Esta	to	500mm	inen Depui		
K.N.	2 mine	2	0.00	0.00	Newport	ue,	Soonin			
4	2 mins.	4	0.00	0.00	Borough of Newport,		Bore H	lole Diameter		
6	2 mins.	6	0.00	0.00	South Wales.		55mm			
8	2 mins.	8	0.00	. 0.00						
10	2 mins.	10	0.01	0.01			Base M	laterial		
12	2 mins.	12	0.02	0.03			Mmediu	um Density Brick		
14	2 mins.	14	0.05	0.05	-		-			
16	2 mins.	16	0.09	0.09	-		Requir	ed Load		
18	2 mins.	18	0.11	0.11	Anchorleastion		-			
20	2 mins.	20	0.15	0.15	Test Wall		Grout	Type		
24	2 mins	24	0.29	0.30	Tool Trail		Presste	Standard		
26	2 mins.	26	0.39	0.39						
-28	2 mins.	- 28 -	0.48	0.48		++	Ancho	r Material		
30	2 mins.	30	0.58	0.50			Stainles	ss Steel		
32	2 mins.	32	0.67	0.55						
34	2 mins.	34	0.79	0.68			-			
36	2 mins.	36	0.91	0.81	70	direct -	1			
38	2 mins.	38	1.05	0.93	60		-			
40	2 mins.	40	1.19	1.05		a spilled				
42	2 mins.	42	1.38	1.22	50	-		and and a		
44	2 mins.	44	1.50	1.43	-			the shall be		
40	2 mins.	40	2.01	1.01	- 2 40	The second second	1 + 3 - 1 × 1			
50	2 mins	49	2.01	2.07		19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 -	12000			
52	2 mins.	51	2.48	2.34	3 30	A Contract	A star	1000		
54	2 mins.	52	2.77	2.56	20	10.50	and the second			
56	2 mins.		3.12	2.85		10-31	12.			
58			3.48		10	1	1	n Alt A		
60			4.00			1.54	A TRAT	1 Lander		
62			4.53							
				-	0.00 0.75	Fytenei	3.00 3	./5 4.30 5.25		
	-	-					<b>W</b> 01 - 1010105			
omnany	Vame		Engineers	Name:		[tour	Inmos or Con	ale from Witnesser		
avity I ook	Systeme L	mited	Peter Sobel	·ame.		Any S	amps of Set	ala nom winesses		
omnenu	Address	inted	Engineers	Address						
actory De	duress:		Eriodhefett	276						
Citory MOS	iu,		Desson Des	lormort						
swport,	Mourset		Company H00	ermark						
nough of	Newport,		Germany							
outh Wale	5.									
9 SFA										
		1.0								
ersons Pr	esent	Company		•	Position	-	signature			
is Lee		Cavity Lock	Systems Lim	nited	Technical Advisor			_		
ter Sobek	(	Ingenieurbu	rea Sobek		Independent Witness					
			and the second		a second and a second second					

			17		Type o	of Test:	Insta	II Date	Test Date
			1 7	4	Diect Ax	ial Pull	04.0	1.96	25.06.96
								Ancho	r Type
					Site Ad	dress:		15mm :	x 15mm x 1.5mm
	-	-			Warehou	lse		1	
Lond - Start	Time Held	Load - End	Extension	Relaxation	Alberry la	4, dustrial Estate		500mm	ment Depth
2	2 mine	2	0.00	0.00	Newport	ousinal Estati	o,	Soona	
4	2 mins.	4	0.00	0.00	Borough	of Newport.		Bore H	ole Diameter
6	2 mins.	6	0.00	. 0.00	South W	ales.		55mm	
8	2 mins.	8	0.00	0.00					
10	2 mins.	10	0.01	0.01	_			Base N	laterial
12	2 mins.	12	0.02	0.03	-			Mmediu	Im Density Brick
14	2 mins.	14	0.05	0.05	-			Poquia	ad Load
18	2 mins.	18	0.03	0.09	-			neguin	00 1080
20	2 mins.	20	0.15	0.15	Anchor	Location		-	
22	2 mins.	22	0.22	0.22	Test Wal	1		Grout	Type
24	2 mins.	24	0.29	0.30				Presste	c Standard
26	2 mins.	26	0.39	0.39					
28	2 mins.	28	0.48	0.48	-		Contract of the second second	Ancho	Material
30	2 mins.	30	0.58	0.50	-			Stainles	s Steel
32	2 mins.	32	0.0/	0.55	-				_
36	2 mins.	36	0.79	0.81	70 -				
38	2 mins.	38	1.05	0.93	1		1.2	212	
40	2 mins.	40	1.19	1.05	60	and a state of a	1. 345 T		• •
42	2 mins.	42	1.38	1.22		A. A. Barres	aut a la	•	1.
44	2 mins.	44	1.56	1.43	- 00			527	
46	2 mins.	46	1.75	1.61	¥ 40 -			s))))均474	13. T. T.
48	2 mins.	47	2.01	1.79			8 - 4 S ( C ( )	- the the the	1 10 1
50	2 mins.	49	2.2/	2.07	8 30				1
54	2 mins.	52	2.40	2.54		1	19 19 21 2		
56	2 mins.		3.12	2.85	201		1.1	1 60	a seld
58			3.48		10			-	
60			4.00					11 133	and the second
62	-		4.53		01	0 075 4	0 0.07	200 -	
		-			0.0	0.75 1.8	Extensio	3.00 3. n - mm.	.75 4.50 5.25
ompany	Vame:	-	Engineers N	lame:		1	Any Ste	mos or See	ls from Witnesser
avity Lock	Systems L	imited	Peter Sobek			1	Null Sta	1000	
ompany	Address:		Engineers A	ddress:		1			
actory Roa	ad.		Friedhofstr 3	37b		1			
ewport.			D63322 Rod	ermark					
orough of outh Wale	Newport, s.		Germany			-			
P9 5FA						1			
ersons Dr	recent	Company			Position	_		anotics	_
ciaulis Pl	cocin	company			rosition		5	gnature	
nnis Lee		Cavity Lock	Systems Lim	ited	Technical	Advisor	-		
BIEL SODER		Ingenieurou	Irea SODEK		incepende	ent witness			

			1 7		Type of Test:	Ins	tall Date	Test Date
					Direct Axial Pull	04.	01.96	02.09.96
					Site Address		Ancho	туре
				_	Warehouse		[15mm)	x 15mm x 1.5mm
Load - Start	Time Heid	Load - Fod	Extension	Relaxation	Unit 23/24		Embed	ment Depth
K.N.		K.N.	mm.	mm.	Albany Industrial Es	tate,	1000m	n
2	3 mins.	2	0.00	0.00	Newport,			
4	3 mins.	4	0.00	0.00	Borough of Newport	4	Bore H	ole Diameter
6	3 mins.	6	0.00	0.00	South Wales.		30mm	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (
8	3 mins.	8	0.01	0.01				
10	3 mins.	10	0.02	0.02			Base M	laterial
14	3 mins.	12	0.04	0.04			Medium	Density Brick
16	3 mins.	16	0.11	0.11	-		Require	ed Load
18	3 mins.	18	0.16	0.16				
20	3 mins.	20	0.23	0.23	Anchor Location			
22	3 mins.	22	0.36	0.36	Test Wall		Grout 7	ype
24	3 mins.	24	0.47	0.47		3	Presste	c Standard
26	3 mins.	26	0.60	0.60				
28	3 mins.	28	0.74	0.74	-		Anchor	Material
32	3 mins.	31	1.33	1.02	-		Stainles	s Steel
34	3 mins	33	2.20	2.22				
36	3 mins.	34	3.30	3.40	45			
38	3 mins.	36	3.98	4.07	40	50.62	1.	
40	3 mins.	38	4.72	4.82	40	Marte La		
42			5.36		35			
					30	aprix line of the	5	1 2
		1	-		Ž 25			
		-		_			1 Para Side	a fair and
		-		-	20	ALC: NOT	Sec. 1	12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		-			15	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	North Carlos and Carlos	
					10	1494 - S.		and the second
					1 200 500	The del to the	10. 计算机	
				A		10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -	1 1	19 30 19 1
						Proceedings.	12 34 1 20	
					0.00 0.75 1	.50 2.25 3	.00 3.75	4.50 5.25 6.00
						Extensi	on - mm.	
	_						7	
Company N	lame:		Engineers I	Vame:		Any S	tamps or Seal	Is from Witnesses
avity Lock	Systems L	imited	Peter Sobek					
Company A	ddress:		Engineers A	Address:				
actory Roa	d,		Friedhofstr. 3	37b				
lewport,			D63322 Rod	ermark				
Borough of N	Newport,		Germany					
outh Wales	5.							
IP9 5FA								
	-							
		-					1	
ersons Pre	esent	Company			Position		Signature	
nnis Lee		Cavity Lock	Systems Lim	ited	Technical Advisor			
eter Sobek		Ingenieurbu	reau Sobek		Independent Witness			
								41

1.14

					Type o	f Tes	it:	Ins	tall Date	Test Date
					Direct Ax	ial Pu	11	04.0	01.96	02.09.96
									The state	
*		-			Site Ad	irace			Ancho 15mm	r Type v 15mm v 15mr
					Warehou	CO	-		Lionin.	A TOMMER T.OM
Load - Start	Time Held	Load - End	Extension	Belaxation	Unit 23/2	4.			Ember	iment Depth
K.N.	THIN THE	KN	mm.	mm.	Albany In	dustri	al Estate.		1000m	m
2	3 mins.	2	0.00	0.00	Newport,					
4	3 mins.	4	0.00	0.00	Borough	of New	wport,		Bore H	lole Diameter
6	3 mins.	6	0.00	0.00	South Wa	ales.			30mm	
8	3 mins.	8	0.00	0.00						
10	3 mins.	10	0.00	0.00	-		_		Base h	Aaterial
12	3 mins.	12	0.03	0.03	-				Mediun	n Density Brick
14	3 mins.	14	0.08	0.08	-				Permin	bed I ned
18	3 mine	18	0.11	0.14	-				neguin	CU LOBU
20	3 mins	20	0.20	0.21	Anchor	ocati	on	-	-	
22	3 mins	22	0.31	0.31	Test Wall			-	Grout	Type
24	3 mins.	24	0.49	0.49		-		3	Presste	c Standard
26	3 mins.	26	0.69	0.70						
28	3 mins.	27	0.91	0.92					Ancho	Material
30	3 mins.	29	1.69	1.70					Stainles	is Steel
32	3 mins.	31	2.92	2.95	-		-			
34	3 mins.	32	3.77	3.85						
36		-	4.41	-	- 40 ]	-	125 2 21	Acres	B	
			-		- 35	-	1		-	
		-					1	1799-199		and the second
		-	-		- 301		+	a land a	. Lain	and the state
					z 25		C ALL MAR	A PLAN CO	later - Speed of the	
	-				ㅋ 포		1997年			
					20		14° 2.5°	15 Alas	Cart California	12 - 22 - 1
					15 <u></u>			11 - 14 - 15 - 15 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16		
					10	16		金の神	1000	
						10	12. 12.	ALC AND	X	here and
					5		- 10 - 10 - 1	2.0		
						6	1991	100	1. J. J.	
		-	-	1	0.0	0 0	75 1.50	2.25	3.00 3	75 4 50 5 25
		-	-		-			Extend		
					-			-		
	. And .				-	7		-		
ompany N	ame:		Engineers	Name:		1		Any S	tamps or Sea	als from Witnesses
vity Lock	Systems L	imited	Peter Sobel	(						
ompany A	ddress:		Engineers	Address:		1				
ctory Road	i,		Friedhofstr.	37b						
wport,			D63322 Roc	dermark						
rough of N	lewport,		Germany							
uth Wales						]				
9 5FA										
				-		1				
rsons Pre	sent	Company		*	Position	-			Signature	
nie I oo		Cavity Lock	Sustame Lin	oited	Techning	Achrica				-
Color		Cavity LOCK	Systems Lin	inteu	recifical	AUVISO		-		
ter Sobek		Ingenieurbu	Irea Sobek		Independe	nt Wit	ness			

					Type of	of Tes	t:	Ins	tall Date	Test Date
					Direct A	xial Pul	ł	04.0	01.96	02.09.96
					-				Anaha	
				1	Site Ac	Idrope				15mm v 15mm
					Wareho	use				
Load - Start	Time Held	Load - End	Extension	Belavation	Unit 23/2	24			Embed	ment Denth
K.N.		K.N.	mm.	mm.	Albany I	ndustria	al Estate.		1000mr	n
2	3 mins.	2	0.00	0.00	Newport					
4	3 mins.	4	0.00	0.00	Borough	of Nev	vport,		Bore H	ole Diameter
6	3 mins.	6	0.00	0.00	South W	ales.			55mm	
8	3 mins.	8	0.00	0.00						
10	3 mins.	10	0.00	0.00					Base M	aterial
12	3 mins.	12	0.04	0.04	1	-			Medium	Density Brick
14	3 mins.	14	0.09	0.09	_					
16	3 mins.	16	0.10	0.10	-				Require	d Load
18	3 mins.	18	0.17	0.17	Anal	1				
20	3 mins.	20	0.28	0.28	Anchor	LOCATI	on		Creat	
24	3 mine	24	0.41	0.41	Test wa				Brook I	ype Grout
26	3 mine	26	0.56	0.30	-				ressie	GIOUL
28	3 mins	28	0.91	0.70	-				Anchor	Material
30	3 mins.	30	1.19	1.19					Stainles	s Steel
32	3 mins.	32	1.42	1.43	-				- otali noo	5 01001
34	3 mins.	34	1.69	1.70						
36	3 mins.	36	1.91	1.92	70	<b>I</b>		T T	1. 1	
38	3 mins.	38	2.17	2.18						
40	3 mins.	39	2.43	2.47	60		2.29	1	- 1	
42	3 mins.	41	2.72	2.75	50	-	-			•
44	3 mins.	43	3.02	3.07		2170125 <sup>122</sup>	3 13	1.50	<ul> <li>1</li> </ul>	Total a
46	3 mins.	45	3.33	3.38	× 40	NATION IN	- Hart			Real Production of the
48	3 mins.	4/	3./3	3.79		1. 1.20		also a	a pression	
50	3 mins.	40	4.13	4.20	<b>3</b> 0-	1.1.1	-	1 4 4 4 4		
54	3 mins.	50	5.01	4.57	- 20		一片語言		11 11	1 - 1 - 1 - 1
56	3 mins.	54	5.54	5.62	- 20 -	1.1.1	- EN	1 3 3 1	1. 1. 24 1. 1	1
58	e mine.		6.05	0.02	10	1. S. S. S.	1	位于3月27年11	er and he	174 yrs
			0.00			100	1 1747	a strate	130.40	100
					0	対信律	19200		- Star and and	1 8 M
					0.0	00 0.75	1.50 2	Extension	3.75 4.50 on - mm.	5.25 6.00 6.75
Company M	lame.		Engineere	leme.		7		[and		- A
Cavity Look	Systeme Li	mited	Datar Cabal	di 116.		-		Any St	umps or Sea	S ITOM WITNESSES
Company A	ddreese	rated	Engineers	delesso		-				
Easton Door	441638; H		Englineers A	100/055:		-				
Nourset	u,		Deano D.			-				
Newport,	lour art		D03322 H00	ermark		-				
Dorough of M	wewport,		Germany			-				
South Wales						-				
NP9 5FA						-				
ersone Dra	sent	Compeny			Position	-			Signature	
Dennis Lee		Cavity Lock	ck Systems Limited		Technical	Adviso	r		nynaun e	
Peter Sobek		Ingenieurbu	reau Sobek		Independe	ent With	ness			

					Type	of Test:	Inst	all Date	Test Date			
					Direct A	xial Pull	04.0	1.96	07.01.96			
								-				
					-			Ancho	Туре			
	**			_	Site Ac	idress:		15mm )	(15mm x 1.5mm			
			1		vvareno	use		Entra	and Dentil			
Load - Start	Time Heic	Load - End	Extension	Helaxation	Albany I	24, nductrial Estat		Embed	ment Deptn			
2	3 mins.	2	0.00	0.00	Newport	Houstnai Estat	<del>,</del>					
4	3 mins.	4	0.00	0.00	Borough	of Newport.		Bore H	ole Diameter			
6	3 mins.	6	0.00	0.00	South W	ales.		55mm				
8	3 mins.	8	0.00	0.00								
10	3 mins.	10	0.01	0.01				Base M	aterial			
12	3 mins.	12	0.03	0.03				Medium	Density Brick			
14	3 mins.	14	0.08	0.08								
16	3 mins.	16	0.14	0.14				Require	ed Load			
18	3 mins.	18	0.19	0.19								
20	3 mins.	20	0.29	0.30	Anchor	Location		-				
22	3 mins.	22	0.43	0.44	Test Wa	11		Grout 7	ype			
24	3 mins.	24	0.61	0.61				Presster	Standard			
20	3 mins.	20	0.82	0.83	-			[ And				
20	3 mins.	28	1.10	1.12	-		-	Anchor	Material			
30	3 mine	30	1.52	1.34	-			Stainles	s Steel			
34	3 mine	34	1.00	1.00	-							
36	3 mins.	34	2.06	2.00	60				1			
38	3 mins	38	2.32	2.09	-	1	-	- y / 201219	1 1 1 1 1			
40	3 mins.	40	2.64	2.68	50							
42	3 mins.	42	2.93	2.98		C	-		1 marine in			
44	3 mins.	44	3.23	3.28	40.	in the second		•				
46	3 mins.	45	3.55	3.60	z	「日子をする		计合体计算机	1 45 A			
48	3 mins.	47	3.85	3.92	¥ 20							
50	3 mins.	48	4.21	4.29			1. The second	1 the set				
52	3 mins.	50	4.59	4.65	ا م <sup>2</sup> ا		人口語言語	Ale Later				
54	3 mins.	52	5.01	5.09	201		C - GREAT	100 × 1 1000	a state and			
56			5.48				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
					10	11-13 C 28	745 56 (1) 19	and the stand of the state	A			
							11月1日 11月1日 11月1日					
		-			0+	0.075 4.50	0.05 0.0					
	-	-			0.0	0 0.75 1.50	2.25 3.0	0 3.75	.50 5.25 6.00			
							Extensio	n <b>- min</b> .				
						-	_					
company N	ame:		Engineers N	iame:		-	Any Sta	mps or Seal	s from Witnesses			
avity Lock	System Lin	nited	Peter Sobek			_						
ompany A	ddress:		Engineers A	ddress:		-						
-actory Road	d,		Friedhofstr. 3	17b		1						
Newport,			D63322 Rod	ermark								
Borough of N	lewport,		Germany									
South Wales	uth Wales.											
IP9 5FA	9 5FA					-						
arsons Pre	sent	Compeny			Doching	-		lanet				
		Company			rusidon		S	gnature				
Jennis Lee		Cavity Lock	Systems Limi	ted	Technical	Advisor	-					

80

Cavity Lock Systems Limited, Factory Road, Newport, Borough of Newport, South Wales, NP9 5FA Tel: 01633 246614 - Fax: 01633 246110

			- 7		Type o	of Tes	t:		Insta	II Date	Test D	ate
					Direct A	kial Pul	1		04.01	.96	07.10.9	6
										[ Amotor		
-					Site Ad	draca		_	_	Ancho	r Type	1.5mm
	-				Warehou	uress:	-		-	Tornari.	A ISHIMIY	1.51111
Load - Start	Time Held	Load - End	Extension	Relaxation	Unit 23/2	24.	-		-	Embed	iment De	oth
K.N.		K.N.	mm.	mm.	Albany I	ndustria	al Esta	te,		1000m	m	
2	3 mins	2	0.00	0.00	Newport		-					
4	3 mins	4	0.00	0.00	Borough	of New	vport,			Bore h	lole Diam	eter
6	3 mins	6	0.00	0.00	South W	ales.				55mm		
8	3 mins	8	0.00	0.00	-	_			-	Percel	Interiol	
10	3 mins	10	0.03	0.05	-		-		-	Medium	Density	Brick
14	3 mins	14	0.09	0.09	-		-			Inedium	Density	DIICK
16	3 mins	16	0.13	0.13	-					Requir	ed Load	
18	3 mins	18	0.19	0.19								
20	3 mins	20	0.24	0.24	Anchor	Locati	on					
22	3 mins	22	0.41	0.41	Test wall			_		Grout	Туре	-
24	3 mins	24	0.62	0.62	-	-				Presste	c Standa	rd
26	3 mins	26	0.89	0.89	-					[And	-	
28	3 mins	28	1.11	1.11	-					Ancho	Materia	
30	3 mins	30	1.5/	1.39	-					Stainles	s Steel	-
34	3 mine	34	1.01	1.88	-							
36	3 mins	36	2.13	2.15	70					T 40		
38	3 mins	38	2.37	2.41	-	See.	- 12	一日日				1 14
40	3 mins	40	2.62	2.67	60	-		19.121		-	-	
42	3 mins	42	2.94	2.98	- 50	Sec. 2.	1.45		-		•	
44	3 mins	43	3.25	3.3			1.1					
46	3 mins	45	3.58	3.63	¥ 40			4.78.45	-	14000		1.1
48	3 mins	4/	3.99	4.05	4 - 2 - 1	1 -1			2 11	2.5	1 64	
50	3 mins	48	4.34	4.43	<b>§</b> 30	100	-	1.1		··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and mail	
54	3 mins	52	5.25	5.33	20.		4.8		1 3	(Basel)	の一般	à- 1
56	3 mins	54	5.73	5.82			100	- 15	1- 1-3			
58			6.25		10		STA	1	MACT SE		C. Territ	180.02
								1		34.	S 1	
					0						_	
					- 0.	00 0.7	5 1.50	2.20	3.00	3./5 4.50	5.25 6.	00 6.75
	-		-		-			-	AUDITOIO			
Company	Vame:		Engineers	Name		7		1	Amy Dra	-	ale from Mile	
avity Look	Sevtome 1	imited	Pater Cohol	ane.	-	-			Any Sta	mps of Set	as nom wit	162262
Company LOCK	ddrees:	and eu	Engineers	Addresse		-						
actory Dec	duress:		Eriodheiete	a7h		-						
actory Hoa	iu,		Deagon De	doment.		-						
emport,	Nowport		Germanu	Jennark		-						
outh Wel-	e e		Germany			-						
DO SEA	ə.					-		5				
I'S OFA						-						
						_						
ersons Pr	resent	Company		1	Position				Si	ignature		
ennis Lee		Cavity Lock	Systems Lin	nited	Technical	Adviso	or		1			
eter Sobek		Ingenieurbu	reau Sobek		Independ	ent Wit	ness					
		1										-

			17	C	Type Direct	of T Axial	est: Pull			<b>Inst</b> 04.0	all Date 1.96	,	Test	Date .96	
					Site A	ddre	ss:	_	1		Anci 20mi	hor m x i	Type 20mm	x 2mm	
Load - Start	Time Hel	d Losd - E	nd Extension	Relaxatio	m Unit 23	/24,			-	_	Emb	edn	ent D	epth	
5	2 mins	5	0.00	mm.	Albany	Indus	strial	Estate	θ,	_	2000	mm			
10	2 mins	. 5	0.05	0.05	Boroug	h of N	Jewn	ort		-	Rem	Hal	- Die		
15	2 mins	. 5	0.10	0.08	South V	Nales	i.	Urc,		-	40mm	n	e Diai	neter	
20	2 mins	. 15	0.17	0.17							1				
30	2 mins	. 12	0.28	0.24	-	-					Base	Ma	terial		
35	2 mins	30	0.41	0.41	-			_			Mediu	um D	ensity	Brick	
40	2 mins.	40	0.70	0.56	-								_		
45	2 mins.	45	0.87	0.87	-						Requ	ired	Load		
50	2 mins.	45	1.08	1.08	Ancho	Loca	ation	-		-	-	-			
55	2 mins.	53	1.31	1.32	Test wa	11		_		-	Groun	tTw	24		
60	2 mins.	10	1.74			_			:		Press	tec s	Standa	rd	
											Anche	or M	<b>ateria</b> Steel	,	
					70	-		-		-				-	
					- ~		明	12.00	(4) X &	14	1. 1. 1.	1	100	-	
			-		60	-		1. 1.	2-14 A.	1		+		C.0. 1	
		-			50			122		The second				and a	
				-		Parts.	2.2		A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	•	11 18	11	-	Dives -	
					- 2 40						5 13 3				
	4				2 30	7 -	•	And Alexandre		- 2 4 10 2		10-	12.32		
		-			1 3	1			an harder		1	2 10 kg	1	1.0	
		-			20	•			No. Provent	- Frank			10 10	the state	
							20	. AR	here		Sec.	br?	-	State of	
	1				10	ther in	32	and a		utions and	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1			Sec. 1	
					0.	ų. –	- in	1.265	The la	德国教	-	12.00			
					0.0	00 0.2	0 0.4	0 0.6	0.80	1.00	1.20 1.	40 1	.60 1.1	30 2.00	
					1				Exte	insion	- mm.				
mpany Na	me:		Engineers N	eme.	-	7	-	-	E	-	-	_	_	_	
ty Lock Sa	sytems Li	mited	Peter Sobek	ante.		-			An	y Star	ps or Sea	als fro	m With	esses	
mpany Add	dress:		Engineers A	ddress:											
tory Road,			Friedhofstr, 37	7b											
vport,			D63322 Rode	rmark		1									
ough of Ner	wport,		Germany			1									
th Wales.						1									
5FA		-				1									
sons Pres	ent 1	Company				1			L	-	_	_			
nis Lee		Cavity Lock	Systems Limit	ed	Technical	Advis	-	-	-	Sig	nature	-		-	
r Sobek		Ingenieurbu	reau Sobek		Independ	at Wat			-	-		-		-	
		gomeurou	Cau CODER		independe	nt Wit	ness								

1 - - こののため」 A MARTINE INCOME II YI

ł

132

I

								reat Date
				4	Direct Axial Pull	04.01	.96	17.07.96
					1.		-	
					Site Address:		Anchor	Type
	1				Warehouse		2011111 X	20mm x 2mm
Load - Start	Time Held	Load - End	Extension	Belavation	Unit 23/24	-	Embed	mant Danth
K.N.		KN	mm	mm	Albany Industrial Estate		2000mm	
4	3 mins.	4	0.00	0.00	Newport.		20001111	
8	3 mins.	8	0.00	0.00	Borough of Newport		Bore H	ale Diemeter
12	3 mins.	12	0.00	0.00	South Wales.		40mm	ne planeter
16	3 mins.	16	0.03	0.03				
20	3 mins.	20	0.08	0.08			Base M	aterial
24	3 mins.	24	0.14	0.14			Medium	Density Brick
28	3 mins.	28	0.23	. 0.24				
32	3 mins.	32	0.32	0.32			Require	d Load
34	3 mins.	34	0.44	0.44	the commence			
40	3 mins.	40	0.58	0.59	Anchor Location			
44	3 mins.	44	0.76	0.78	Test wall		Grout T	ype
48	3 mins.	48	0.95	0.97			Presster	Standard
52	3 mins.	51	1.18	1.22	-		-	
00	3 mins.	54	1.50	1.52	-		Anchor	Material
62	3 mins.	58	1.88	1.93	-		Stainless	Steel
64	2 mine	00	2.18	2.23				
66	3 mins.	03	2.41	2.45				
68	3 mine	67	2.70	2.74		Ser 1		4 - 1 - 3
70	3 mine	69	3.02	3.07	70	15 At. 1	- 1 A.	
	•					1.50 2.00 Extension	2.50 3	0.00 3.50 4.0
ompany Name:			Engineers Name:			Any Stan	nps or Seals	from Witnesses
ompony LOCK S	Ivity Lock Ssytems Limited			11				
ompany Address:			Engineers A	aaress:		1		
ictory Hoad,			-neanoistr. 3	70				
wport,			063322 Rode	rmark		1		
brough of N	ewport,	0	Germany					
buth Wales.								
P9 5FA								
rsons Pre	sent	Company	2		Position	Sic	nature	
ennis Lee	nnis Lee Cavity Lock		Systems Limited		Technical Advisor			
ter Sobek	ter Sobek		au Sobak		Independent Without			
ater Sodek		Ingenieurbureau Sobek			independent witness			

Cavity Lock Systems Limited, Factory Road, Newport, Borough of Newport, South Wales, NP9 5FA Tel: 01633 246614 - Fax: 01633 246110

				Type of Test:	Install	Date Test Date
				Direct Axial Pull	04.01.9	6 04.11.96
			11			Anchor Type
				Site Address:		8mm mild steel rebar "u
Land Start Tim	while I have be	A Desertes	Deleverin	Warenouse		Embadmant Danth
Load - Start Tim	Loed - En	d Extension	Helaxation	Albany Industrial Esta		Embeament Deptn
2	2	0.00	0.00	Newport	le, [	Joonnin
4	4	0.00	0.00	Borough of Newport.		Bore Hole Diameter
6	6	0.00	0.00	South Wales.		55mm
8	8	0.00	0.00			
10	10	0.00	0.00	1		Base Material
12	12	0.00	0.00			Medium Density Brick
14	14	0.00	0.00			
16	16	0.00	0.00		1	Required Load
18	18	0.01	0.01			
20	20	0.03	0.03	Anchor Location		
22	22	0.04	0.05	lest wall		Grout Type
24	24	0.06	0.07		[F	resstec Standard
28	20	0.09	0.10	-		nahor Hotarial
30	30	0.12	0.13			Anchor Material
32	32	0.20	0.21	-	L	orall liess oreel
34	34	0.24	0.27			4
36	36	0.31	0.33	60		
38	38	0.38	0.40		1. 1. 1. 1.	
40	40	0.47	0.51	50	Wind .	· · · · ·
42	42	0.56	0.62			C -13 2 - 1 1
44	43	0.7	0.75	40		
46	45	0.85	0.91	z		· 私主 (1998)
48	46	1.02	1.11	30 30	and appendiate and a second second	
50	48	1.33	1.4			
52		1.93		20	and the particular and	自然人的"目的"自己"我们就是
40		1.01		- Statistical and the	1997 - 1992 - 1992 - 1992 - 1992 - 1992 - 1992 - 1992 - 1992 - 1992 - 1992 - 1992 - 1992 - 1992 - 1992 - 1992 -	
30		1.81		10		
20		1.74	-			
10		1.69	1	0	の生活が開発したない。	
5		1.67		0.00 0.50	1.00	1.50 2.00 2.50
0		1.22			Extension -	mm.
Company Name:		Engineers N	Name:		Any Stamp	s or Seals from Witnesses
Cavity Lock Ssyte	ms Limited	Peter Sobek				
Company Addres	ss:	Engineers A	ddress:			
actory Road,		Friedhofstr. 3	37b			
Newport,		D63322 Rod	ermark			
Borough of Newpo	ort,	Germany				
South Wales.						
IP9 5FA						
-		12				
rsons Present	Company			Position	Sign	ature
ennis Lee	Cavity Loc	k Systems Lim	ited	Technical Advisor		
Peter Sobek Ingenie		ureau Sobek		Independent Witness		

RCU BY: XEROX TELECOPIER 7010 ;

12

1 care-

,∓ ÷

CINTEC

;

Anchor Type: 8mm mild steel rebar "u" Anchor Length: 500mm Bore Hole Diameter: 55mm Prototype Anchor for Germany Frankfurt II Additional concrete floor reinforcement		Sock Diameter: Base Material: Installation Date	55mm Medium density bri :: 03/10/96	ck Positi	Test Date: 04/11/96 Position of Anchor:		
		Engineers Name	Peter Sobek	Site N	ame/Project: Test data		
		Engineers Addr	LSS :	Site A	Site Address: Warehouse Albany industrial estate		
		Friedhoffstra.8e	176	Alben			
		D-63322 Roderm	ark	Alban	Albany road		
Land Amiliat at Stort	"Enny Hetal	Certifiany	et en anno	Roberting	Relaxation Comments		
KN INI	1100.11113	KN P	st st	access satisfy	s to brands		
2		2	0.00	0.00			
4		4	0.00	0.00			
6		6	0.00	0.00			
8		8	0.00	0.00			
10		10	0.00	0.00			
12		12	0.00	0.00			
14		14	0.00	0.00			
16		16	0.00	0.00			
18		18	0.01	0.01			
20		20	0.03	0.03			
22		22	0.04	0.05			
24		24	0.06	0.07			
26		26	0.09	0.10			
28		28	0.12	0.13			
30		30	0.15	0.17			
32		32	0.20	0.21			
34		34	0.24	0.27			
36		36	0.31	0.33			
38		38	0.38	0.40			
40		40	0.47	0.51			
42		42	0.56	0.62			
44		43	0.70	0.75			
46		45	0.85	0.91			
48		46	1.02	1.11			
50		48	1.33	1.40			
52			1.93		Test stopped.		
					No bond failure		
10			1.91				
30			1.83				
20			1.74				
10			1.69				
5		-	1.67				
0			1.22	0			
				1			
nis Lee (Cinter T	ent: echnical Advis	or)	Signed: Officer) for & on beha Signed:	If of Cavity	(Testing Lock Systems Limit ton		
		No. 1 Berneth of No.	for & on beha	If of:	I = A		